

SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

JOHN A. SANCHEZ Lieutenant Governor

# State of New Mexico ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

# Office of the Secretary

Harold Runnels Building 1190 Saint Francis Drive, PO Box 5469 Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Telephone (505) 827-2855 Fax (505) 827-2836 www.nmenv.state.nm.us



DAVE MARTIN Secretary

BUTCH TONGAGE Deputy Secretary

## **CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

January 31, 2012

Edward Ziemianski, Acting Manager Carlsbad Field Office Department of Energy P. O. Box 3090 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221-3090 M. Farok Sharif Washington TRU Solutions LLC P. O. Box 2078 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221-5608

RE: APPROVAL AND PARTIAL DENIAL OF PERMIT MODIFICATION REQUESTS TO UPDATE VENTILATION LANGUAGE, ADD A SHIELDED CONTAINER, AND REVISE THE WIPP GROUNDWATER DETECTION MONITORING PROGRAM PLAN WIPP HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY PERMIT EPA I.D. NUMBER NM4890139088 WIPP-11-010

Dear Messrs. Ziemianski and Sharif:

The New Mexico Environment Department (Department) has received a Permit Modification Request to Update Ventilation Language, Add a Shielded Container, and Revise the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan, dated September 29, 2011, from the U.S. Department of Energy Carlsbad Field Office and Washington TRU Solutions LLC (the Permittees). The Permittees seek to modify the Hazardous Waste Facility Permit for the WIPP and request that the Department process the request as a Class 2 permit modification under the regulations at 40 CFR § 270.42(b). For the reasons explained below, I approve with changes two items of the modification request and deny one item.

All items in the permit modification request were subject to a sixty (60) day public comment period, which ran from October 5, 2011 through December 5, 2011. The Department received written comments on the request from eighty individuals and organizations. A large majority (77) of public comments addressed item 2, the request to add provisions to the permit for shielded containers for remotely-handled radioactive waste. The Department received only a few minor public comments regarding item 1, the request to modify the ventilation requirements

Messrs. Ziemianski and Sharif January 31, 2012 Page 2

at the facility, and item 3, the request to modify the facility groundwater monitoring plan. The Department approves these modifications in accordance with 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 270.42(b)). The Department has made some changes to the permit modification request. Changes include revision of the ventilation language so that the requirement for ventilation in an active remote handled waste room with workers present is not removed. This revision to the proposed language is made several section of the permit so that the requirement is consistent throughout the permit.

Under 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 270.42(b)(7)), the Department may deny a Class 2 permit modification request if the modification request is incomplete; it does not comply with applicable requirements; or it fails to protect human health and the environment. During its technical review of the modification request for shielded containers, the Department noted that numerous sections in Part 3, Attachment A1, A2, C1, D, E and G must be revised to conform to the permit modification. In addition, 40 CFR 270.42(b), Appendix I, item F.3.a states changes of storage of different wastes in containers that do not require additional or different management practices from those authorized in the permit are Class 2 changes. The use of shielded containers does not fit this category as the facility will not be using different waste but will be using different containers.

Numerous public commenters identified similar issues with the modification request. Furthermore, the Department does not have sufficient information to correct the technical inadequacies in the application and approve the modifications "with changes" under 20.4.1.900 (incorporating 40 CFR § 270.42(b)(6)(i)(A)). Consequently, the Department is denying the permit modification request to add provisions for shielded containers.

Enclosed are the revised pages of the modified permit in redline-strikeout to help the reader rapidly identify each modification. Also enclosed is an electronic version of the modified permit, dated January 31, 2012. An electronic version of the modified permit has been posted for the public on the Department's WIPP Information Page at <a href="http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/wipp/download.html">http://www.nmenv.state.nm.us/wipp/download.html</a>

The enclosed revised permit also includes the two Class 1 permit modifications submitted since November 2011. A separate letter sent January 18, 2012 addressed the specifics of those changes.

The permit modification shall become effective thirty days after notice of the decision has been served on the Permittees pursuant to 20.4.1.901.A(10) NMAC. Thus, the effective date is March 1, 2012.

The Department will provide full response to all public comments under separate cover.

Messrs. Ziemianski and Sharif January 31, 2012 Page 3

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please call Trais Kliphuis at (505) 476-6051.

Sincerely,

Martin

Dave Martin Cabinet Secretary

Enclosures: Redline/strikeout pages showing modifications Electronic version of modified permit dated January 31, 2012

cc: J. Davis, NMED RPD
J. Kieling, NMED HWB
T. Kliphuis, NMED HWB
R. Flynn, NMED OGC
C. de Saillan, NMED OGC
L. King, EPA Region 6
T. Peake, EPA ORIA
C. Walker, Trinity Engineering
File: WIPP 2012 and Reading

. i. vehicle impacts. The substantial barrier incorporates the chain link and brattice cloth room closure specified in Permit Attachment A2.

## 1.5.14. Bulkhead

"Bulkhead" means a steel structure, with flexible flashing, that is used to block ventilation as specified in Permit Attachment A2 (Geologic Repository).

### 1.5.15. Explosion-Isolation Wall

"Explosion-isolation wall" means the 12-foot wall intended as an explosion isolation device that is part of the approved panel-closure system specified in Permit Attachment G1 (Detailed Design Report for an Operation Phase Panel Closure System).

### 1.5.16. Filled Panel

"Filled panel" means an Underground Hazardous Waste Disposal Unit specified in Permit Part 4 that will no longer receive waste for emplacement.

### 1.5.17. Internal Container

"Internal container" means a container inside the outermost container examined during radiography or visual examination (VE). Drum liners, liner bags, plastic bags used for contamination control, capillary-type labware, and debris not designed to hold liquid at the time of original waste packaging are not internal containers.

### 1.5.18. Observable Liquid

"Observable liquid" means liquid that is observable using radiography or VE as specified in Permit Attachment C (Waste Analysis Plan).

## 1.5.19. Filled Room

"Filled Room" means a room in an Underground Hazardous Waste Disposal Unit as specified in Permit Part 4 will no longer receive waste for emplacement.

## 1.5.20. Active Room

"Active Room" means a room in an Underground Hazardous Waste Disposal Unit as specified in Permit Part 4 that contains emplaced TRU waste and is not a filled room.

## 2.7.4. Inspection Remediation

The Permittees shall remedy any deterioration or malfunction of equipment or structures which an inspection reveals, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(c)).

## 2.7.5. Inspection Records

Beginning with the effective date of this Permit, the Permittees shall maintain inspection logbooks and forms in the operating record until closure, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.15(d) and 264.73(b)(5)).

## 2.8. PERSONNEL TRAINING

The Permittees shall conduct personnel training, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.16).

## 2.8.1. Personnel Training Content

The personnel training program shall include the requirements specified in Permit Attachment F (Personnel Training) and Permit Attachment F2 (Training Course and Qualification Card Outlines), as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.16).

## 2.8.2. Personnel Training Requirements

The Permittees shall train all persons involved in the management of mixed and hazardous waste in procedures relevant to the positions in which they are employed, as specified in Permit Attachment F1 (RCRA Hazardous Waste Management Job Titles and Descriptions), and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.16).

## 2.8.3. Personnel Training Records

The Permittees shall maintain training documents and records, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.16(d) and (e)).

## 2.8.4. Continuing Training

Unless otherwise specified by this Permit, continuing training required by this Permit on an annual or biennial basis shall be completed by the end of the month of the anniversary date when the training was previously completed.

PPA, whether by personnel or vehicles, shall be through controlled gates and doors. Only properly identified and authorized persons, vehicles, and property shall be allowed entrance to and exit from the active portion of the facility. Security shall require employees to identify themselves with an identification badge when entering or leaving the premises, and shall require visitors to show proper authorization prior to allowing them to enter the active portion of the facility. Visitors shall be required to wear an approved badge and may require an authorized escort.

For the purposes of entry control to areas where wastes are managed, stored, or disposed, these areas shall be posted as Controlled Areas, and access shall be limited to trained and qualified individuals and visitors escorted by trained and qualified individuals.

## 2.6.4. Warning Signs

The Permittees shall post "No Trespassing" signs and "Danger: Authorized Personnel Only" signs in English and Spanish at approximately 50 ft intervals on the permanent chain-link fence surrounding the PPA. The signs shall be legible from a distance of 25 ft and shall be visible from any approach to the facility. These same signs, plus security and traffic signs, shall also be located on the controlled gates, in compliance with 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.14(c)).

## 2.7. GENERAL INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS

## 2.7.1. Inspection Schedule

The Permittees shall implement the inspection schedule specified in Permit Attachment E (Inspection Schedule, Process and Forms) to detect any malfunctions and deteriorations, operator errors, and discharges, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(b)).

## 2.7.2. Inspection Log Forms

The Permittees shall use the inspection logbooks and forms as specified in Permit Attachment E. Original copies of these completed forms are maintained in the Operating Record. The Permittees shall record the date and time of the inspection, the name of the inspector, a notation of the observations made, and the date and nature of any repairs or other remedial actions, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(d)).

## 2.7.3. Inspection Frequency

The Permittees shall inspect monitoring equipment, safety and emergency equipment, security devices, and operating and structural equipment at the frequency specified in Tables E-1 and E-2 of Permit Attachment E, and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(b)).

Prior to disposal of TRU mixed waste in a newly constructed Underground HWDU, the Permittees shall comply with the certification requirements specified in Permit Section 1.5.11.

### 4.5.3. Repository Operation

### 4.5.3.1. Underground Traffic Flow

The Permittees shall restrict and separate the ventilation and traffic flow areas in the underground TRU mixed waste handling and disposal areas from the ventilation and traffic flow areas for mining and construction equipment, except that during waste transport in W-30, ventilation need not be separated north of S-1600.

The Permittees shall designate routes for the traffic flow of TRU mixed waste handling equipment and construction equipment as required by Permit Attachment A4 (Traffic Patterns), Section A4-4, "Underground Traffic." These routes will be recorded on a mine map that is posted in a location where persons entering the underground can read it. Whenever the routes are changed, the map will be updated. Maps will be available in facility files until facility closure.

## 4.5.3.2. Ventilation

The Permittees shall maintain a minimum running annual average mine ventilation exhaust rate of 260,000 standard ft<sup>3</sup>/min and a minimum active room ventilation rate of 35,000 standard ft<sup>3</sup>/min <u>in each active</u> room where waste disposal is taking place and when workers are present in the room, as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-2a(3), "Subsurface Structures (Underground Ventilation System Description)" and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.601(c)).

## 4.5.3.3. Ventilation Barriers

The Permittees shall construct ventilation barricades in active Underground HWDUs to restrict the flow of mine ventilation air through full disposal rooms, as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-2a(3), "Subsurface Structures (Underground Ventilation System Description)" and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.601(c)).

## 4.6. MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The Permittees shall maintain and monitor the Underground HWDUs as specified by the following conditions and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.601 and 264.602):

PERMIT PART 4 Page 4-7 of 15

## 4.6.1. Geomechanical Monitoring

### 4.6.1.1. Implementation of Geomechanical Monitoring Program

The Permittees shall implement a geomechanical monitoring program in each Underground HWDU as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-5b(2), "Geomechanical Monitoring" and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.602).

## 4.6.1.2. Reporting Requirements

The Permittees shall submit to the Secretary an annual report in October evaluating the geomechanical monitoring program and shall include geomechanical data collected from each Underground HWDU during the previous year, as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-5b(2), "Geomechanical Monitoring", and shall also include a map showing the current status of HWDU mining. The Permittees shall also submit at that time an annual certification by a registered professional engineer certifying the stability of any explosion-isolation walls. The Permittees shall post a link to the geomechanical monitoring report transmittal letter on the WIPP Home Page and inform those on the e-mail notification list as specified in Permit Section 1.11.

## 4.6.1.3. Notification of Adverse Conditions

When evaluation of the geomechanical monitoring system data identifies a trend towards unstable conditions which requires a decision whether to terminate waste disposal activities in any Underground HWDU, the Permittees shall provide the Secretary with the same report provided to the WIPP Operations Manager within seven calendar days of its issuance, as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-5b(2)(a), "Description of the Geomechanical Monitoring System". The Permittees shall post a link to the adverse condition notice transmittal letter on the WIPP Home Page and inform those on the e-mail notification list as specified in Permit Section 1.11.

### 4.6.2. Repository Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring

### 4.6.2.1. Implementation of Repository VOC Monitoring

The Permittees shall implement repository VOC monitoring as specified in Permit Attachment N (Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan) and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.602 and §264.601(c)). The Permittees shall implement repository VOC monitoring until the certified closure of all Underground HWDUs.

## 4.6.4. Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring

### 4.6.4.1. Implementation of Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan

The Permittees shall implement the Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan specified in Permit Attachment O (WIPP Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan) until the certified closure of all Underground HWDUs and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.602 and §264.601(c)).

## 4.6.4.2. <u>Reporting Requirements</u>

The Permittees shall report to the Secretary annually in October the results of the data and analysis of the Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan.

## 4.6.4.3. Notification Requirements

The Permittees shall calculate the running annual average mine ventilation exhaust rate on a monthly basis. In addition, the Permittees shall evaluate compliance with the minimum active room ventilation rate specified in Permit Section <u>4.5.3.2</u> on a monthly basis. Whenever the evaluation of the mine ventilation monitoring program data identifies that the ventilation rates specified in Permit Section <u>4.5.3.2</u> have not been achieved, tThe Permittees shall notify report to the Secretary in writing within seven calendar days, the annual report specified in Permit Section <u>4.6.2.2</u> whenever the evaluation of the mine ventilation monitoring program data identifies that the ventilation monitoring program data identifies that the ventilation rates specified in the Permit Section <u>4.6.2.2</u> whenever the evaluation of the mine ventilation monitoring program data identifies that the ventilation rates specified in the Permit Section <u>4.5.3.2</u> have not been achieved.

## 4.6.5. Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring

## 4.6.5.1. Implementation of Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring

The Permittees shall implement the Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring Plan specified in Permit Attachment N1 (Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring Plan).

## 4.6.5.2. Reporting Requirements

The Permittees shall report to the Secretary semi-annually in April and October the data and analysis of the Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring Plan.

> PERMIT PART 4 Page 4-12 of 15

Table 4.6.3.2 - A	ction Levels for Disposal Roo	m Monitoring
Compound	50% Action Level for VOC Constituents of Concern in Any Closed Room, ppmv	95% Action Level for VOC Constituents of Concern in Active Open or Immediately Adjacent Closed Room, ppmv
Carbon Tetrachloride	4,813	9,145
Chlorobenzene	6,500	12,350
Chloroform	4,965	9,433
1,1-Dichloroethene	2,745	5,215
1,2-Dichloroethane	1,200	2,280
Methylene Chloride	50,000	95,000
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,480	2,812
Toluene	5,500	10,450
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	16,850	32,015

### 4.6.3.3. Remedial Action

Upon receiving validated analytical results that indicate one or more of the VOCs specified in Table 4.4.1 in any of the closed rooms in an active panel has reached the "50% Action Level" in Table 4.6.3.2, the sampling frequency for such closed rooms will increase to once per week. The once per week sampling will continue either until the concentrations in the closed room(s) fall below the "50% Action Level" in Table 4.6.3.2, or until closure of Room 1 of the panel, whichever occurs first. If one or more of the VOCs in Table 4.4.1 in the active open room or immediately adjacent closed room reaches the "95% Action Level" in Table 4.6.3.2, another sample will be taken to confirm the existence of such a condition. If the second sample confirms that one or more of VOCs in the immediately adjacent closed room have reached the "95% Action Level" in Table 4.6.3.2, the active open room will be abandoned, ventilation barriers will be installed as specified in Permit Section 4.5.3.3, waste emplacement will proceed in the next open room, and monitoring of the subject closed room will continue at a frequency of once per week until commencement of panel closure.

#### PART 5 - GROUND-WATER DETECTION MONITORING

#### 5.1. DETECTION MONITORING PROGRAM

This Part specifies the requirements of the Detection Monitoring Program (DMP). The DMP shall establish background ground-water quality and monitor indicator parameters and waste constituents that provide a reliable indication of the presence of hazardous constituents in the ground-water, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97 and 264.98).

The DMP consists of six Detection Monitoring Wells (DMWs) located hydraulically upgradient and at the downgradient point of compliance of the WIPP Underground Hazardous Waste Disposal Units (Underground HWDUs). The DMWs are screened in the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation.

A DMP is necessary to demonstrate compliance with the environmental performance standard for the Underground HWDUs, as specified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.601(a)). This environmental performance standard requires prevention of any releases that may have adverse effects on human health or the environment due to migration of waste constituents in the prevent water groundwater or subsurface environment.

#### 5.2. IDENTIFICATION OF POINT OF COMPLIANCE

The point of compliance is the vertical surface located perpendicular to the groundwater flow direction at the DMWs that extends to the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation [20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.95, 264.601, and 264.602)]. The Permittees shall conduct the DMP at DMWs specified in Table <u>5.3.1</u>, and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.98 and 264.601).

### 5.3. WELL LOCATION, MAINTENANCE, AND PLUGGING AND ABANDONING

The Permittees shall conduct the DMP according to the requirements of this Permit and 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 Subpart F) for the DMWs in the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation.

The Permittees shall maintain the DMP in compliance with 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97), and as specified below:

### 5.3.1. Well Locations

The Permittees shall maintain the DMWs at the locations specified on the map in Figure L-8 of Permit Attachment L (WIPP Ground-water Detection Monitoring Program Plan), as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(a) and §264.98(b)), and as specified in Table 5.3.1 below:

> PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-1 of 11

#### Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Konsulture Mit 2010/remark 31, 2012

Table 5.3.1 - Well Locations				
Well Name	State Plane Coordinates	Top of Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	Screen Interval Depth (ft below ground surface)	Sampled Unit
WQSP-1	663595E, 503784N	3419.2	702 - 727	Culebra
WQSP-2	667580E, 505537N	3463.9	811 - 836	Culebra
WQSP-3	670573E, 503991N	3480.1	844 - 869	Culebra
WQSP-4	670645E, 494986N	3433.1	764 - 789	Culebra
WQSP-5	667165E, 493665N	3384.4	646 - 671	Culebra
WQSP-6	663681E, 494948N	3364.7	581 - 606	Culebra

### 5.3.2. Well Maintenance

I

The Permittees shall maintain the DMWs specified in Table <u>5.3.1</u> and in Permit Attachment L, Section L-3b and Figures L-<u>10-7</u> through L-<u>1612</u>, and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(c) and §264.98(b)).

5.3.3. Well Plugging and Abandoning

The Permittees may propose to plug and abandon a DMW by submitting a permit modification request to the Secretary in compliance with 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §270.42). The Permittees shall plug and abandon any DMW in a manner which eliminates physical hazards, prevents ground-water contamination, conserves hydrostatic head, and prevents intermixing of subsurface water. The Permittees shall submit a report to the Secretary which summarizes and certifies DMW plugging and abandoning methods within 90 calendar days from the date a DMW is removed from the DMP.

### 5.4. DETECTION MONITORING PROGRAM PARAMETERS AND CONSTITUENTS

The Permittees shall conduct the DMP at the DMWs as specified in Table <u>5.3.1</u> for the indicator parameters listed in Table <u>5.4.a</u> and the hazardous constituents listed in Table <u>5.4.b</u> below and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(a)):

Table 5	.4.a - Indicator Parameters
pH	Specific conductance
Total organic carbon (TOC)	Total organic halogen (TOH)
Total dissolved solids (TDS)	Total suspended solids (TSS)
DensitySpecific Gravity	Calcium
Magnesium	Potassium
Chloride	Iron (Total Fe)

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-2 of 11

Table 5	5.4.b – Hazardous Constituents	
Chloroform	1,2-dichloroethane	
Carbon tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	
1,1-dichloroethylene	1,1-dichloroethane	_
Methylene chloride	1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	
Toluene	1,1,1-trichloroethane	
Cresols	1,4-dichlorobenzene	
1,2-dichlorobenzene	trans-1,2-dichloroethylene	
2,4-dinitrophenol	2,4-dinitrotoluene	1
Hexachloroethane	Hexachlorobenzene	
Isobutanol	Methyl ethyl ketone	
	Pentachlorophenol	
Pyridine	Tetrachloroethylene	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethylene	
Trichlorofluoromethane	Xylenes	
Nitrobenzene	Vinyl chloride	
Arsenic	Barium	
Cadmium	Chromium	
Lead	Mercury	
Selenium	Silver	
Antimony	Beryllium	
Nickel	Thallium	
Vanadium		

### 5.5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Except as provided in Permit Section <u>5.6</u>, the Permittees shall use the following techniques and procedures to obtain and analyze DMP samples, including background ground-water quality samples, from the DMWs specified in Table <u>5.3.1</u>, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(d) and (e)):

5.5.1. Sample Collection Procedures

The Permittees shall collect one DMP sample and one DMP sample duplicate semiannually from each DMW using the procedures specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c, as

> PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-3 of 11

required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97(g)(2), 264.98(d), and 264.601(a)).

### 5.5.2. Sample Preservation and Shipment Procedures

The Permittees shall preserve and ship DMP samples using the procedures specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(iv).

### 5.5.3. Analytical Procedures

The Permittees shall analyze DMP samples using the procedures specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(3).

### 5.5,4. Chain of Custody Procedures

The Permittees shall track and control DMP samples using the chain of custody procedures specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(v).

### 5.6. BACKGROUND GROUND-WATER QUALITY

For those hazardous constituents listed in Table <u>5.4.b</u>, and for all substances listed in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 Appendix IX), the background ground-water quality values specified in Table <u>5.6</u> are established as specified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97(g) and 264.98(d)).

Table 5.6 – WQSP Well Background Values						
Hazardous Constituent	WQSP-1	WQSP-2	WQSP-3	WQSP-4	WQSP-5	WQSP-6
Chloroform	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
1,2-dichloroethane	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Carbon tetrachloride	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Chlorobenzene	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
1,1-dichloroethylene	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
1,1-dichloroethane	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Methylene chloride	35.00 μg/L	3 <u>5</u> .00 μg/L	35.00 μg/L	3 <u>5</u> .00 μg/L	45.00 μg/L	35.00 μg/L
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Toluene	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
1,1,1-trichloroethane	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Cresols	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
1,4-dichlorobenzene	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
1,2-dichlorobenzene	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-4 of 11

1.

1	Table 5.6 - 1	WQSP Well	Backgroun	d Values		
Hazardous Constituent	WQSP-1	WQSP-2	WQSP-3	WQSP-4	WQSP-5	WQSP-6
trans-1,2-dichloroethylene	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
2,4-dinitrophenol	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
2,4-dinitrotoluene	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Hexachloroethane	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Hexachlorobenzene	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Isobutanol	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Methyl ethyl ketone	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Pentachlorophenol	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Pyridine	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Tetrachloroethylene	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Trichloroethylene	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Xylenes	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Nitrobenzene	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L	5.00 µg/L
Vinyl chloride	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L	1.00 µg/L
Arsenic	0.10 mg/L	0.06 mg/L	0.21 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L
Barium	1.00 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	1.00 mg/L
Cadmium	0.20 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.05 mg/L	0.05 mg/L
Chromium	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	2.00 mg/L	2.00 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L
Lead	0.11 mg/L	0.17 mg/L	0.80 mg/L	0.53 mg/L	0.05 mg/L	0.15 mg/L
Mercury	.002 mg/L	.002 mg/L	.002 mg/L	.002 mg/L	.002 mg/L	.002 mg/L
Selenium	0.15 mg/L	0.15 mg/L	2.00 mg/L	2.00 mg/L	0.10 mg/L	0.10 mg/L
Silver	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.31 mg/L	0.52 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L
Antimony	0.33 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	0.80 mg/L	0.07 mg/L	0.14 mg/L
Beryllium	0.02 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	0.10 mg/L	0.25 mg/L	0.02 mg/L	0.02 mg/L
Nickel	0.50 mg/L	0.50 mg/L	5.00 mg/L	5.00 mg/L	0.10 mg/L	0.50 mg/L
Thallium	1.00 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	5.80 mg/L	1.00 mg/L	0.21 mg/L	0.56 mg/L
Vanadium	0.10 mg/L	0.10 mg/L	5.00 mg/L	5.00 mg/L	2.70 mg/L	0.10 mg/L

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-5 of 11

### 5.7. GROUND-WATER SURFACE ELEVATION DETERMINATION

### 5.7.1. DMP Ground Wwater Surface Elevation Determination

The Permittees shall determine the ground-water surface elevation at each DMW specified in Table 5.3.1 each time the ground-water is sampled in compliance with Permit Sections 5.5.1 and 5.9.2, using the methods specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1), and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR \$264.97(f)).

#### 5.7.2. Regional Ground-Wwater Surface Elevation Determination

The Permittees shall determine the ground-water surface elevation on a monthly basis for each well completed in the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation in the WIPP Ground-Wwater Level Monitoring Program, as specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1).

### 5.8. GROUND-WATER FLOW DETERMINATION

The Permittees shall determine the ground-water flow rate and direction in the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation at least annually, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(e)). The Permittees shall use ground-water surface elevation data specified in Permit Section 5.7 to determine ground-water flow.

#### 5.9. DATA EVALUATION

### 5.9.1. Statistical Procedures

The Permittees shall use the statistical analysis methods specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4e, to evaluate DMP data for each hazardous constituent as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(h)). These statistical analysis methods shall comply with the appropriate performance standards specified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(i)).

### 5.9.2. Groundw-Water Quality Determination

The Permittees shall sample DMWs as specified in Permit Section <u>5.5.1</u> and conduct statistical tests to determine whether there is statistically significant evidence of contamination for any hazardous constituent specified in Table <u>5.4.b</u> during the active life of the WIPP facility and post-closure care period as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.90(c)).

#### 5.9.3. Data Evaluation

The Permittees shall determine whether there is statistically significant evidence of contamination for any hazardous constituent identified in Table 5.4.b each time the DMWs are sampled as specified in Permit Section 5.9.2. In determining whether statistically significant evidence of contamination exists, the Permittees shall compare the ground-water quality at each DMW specified in Table 5.3.1 to the background ground-water quality

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-6 of 11

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Hazardous Waste Permit

determined pursuant to Permit Section <u>5.6</u>, in compliance with the statistical procedures specified in Permit Section <u>5.9.1</u>, and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(f)).

### 5.9.4. Data Evaluation Timeframe

The Permittees shall perform the data evaluations specified in Permit Section 5.9.3 within 120 calendar days after completion of DMP sampling, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR \$264.98(f)(2)).

### 5.10. RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING

### 5.10.1. Operating Record Requirements

The Permittees shall enter all DMP monitoring, testing, and analytical data in the operating record as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.73(b)(6)). The Permittees shall enter these data, as measured and in a form appropriate for the determination of statistically significant evidence of contamination, into the operating record as specified in Permit Section <u>5.9.1</u> and as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(c)).

#### 5.10.2. Submittal of Results

### 5.10.2.1. Data Evaluation Results

The Permittees shall submit to the Secretary the analytical results required by Permit Sections 5.5.1 and 5.9.2, and the results of the statistical analyses required by Permit Section 5.9.3, in compliance with the schedule on Table 5.10.2.1 below, and in the Annual Culebra Groundwater Report by November 30 of each year as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(j)).#

4	6. Table 5.10.2.1 Analytical	Results Submittal Schedule +	Formatted: Boo or numbering
	7, Samples to be collected during the preceding months of:	8. Results due to the NMED Secretary by:	Formatted: Boo or numbering
	9, March May	10. 120calendar days after final sample is collected	Formatted: Boo bullets or number
	11. September- November	12. 120 calendar days after final sample is collected	Formatted: Boo bullets or number

Formatted: BodyHeading4, None, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: BodyHeading4, None, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: BodyHeading4, Left, None, No bullets or numbering, Don't keep with next

Formatted: BodyHeading4, Left, None, No bullets or numbering, Don't keep with next



Formatted: BodyHeading4

Analytical results of a sampling round may be included in the report specified in Permit Section 5.10.2.3 if publication of the report coincides with the 120 calendar day report submittal schedule.

#### 12 1 1 + 5 10 2.2. Groundw-Water Surface Elevation Results

The Permittees shall submit to the Secretary ground-water surface elevation data specified in Permit Section <u>5.7</u>. This submittal shall include both ground-water surface elevations calculated from field measurements and fresh-water head elevations calculated as specified in Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1). Water level data shall be submitted within 30 calendar days after data are collected.

121125.10.2.3. Groundw-Water Flow and Radionuclide Sampling Results

The Permittees shall submit to the Secretary an evaluation of the groundwater flow data specified in Permit Section <u>5.8</u> and the results of radionuclide-specific analysis of groundwaters sampled from the DMWs in the Annual Site Environmental Report by October 1 of each calendar year.

12.1.2.5.10.3. Determination of Contamination

If the Permittees determine, pursuant to Permit Section <u>5.9</u> and 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)), that there is statistically significant evidence of contamination for any hazardous constituent specified in Table <u>5.4.b</u>, the Permittees shall comply with the following:

121215.10.3.1 Notification

The Permittees shall notify the Secretary in writing within seven calendar days, indicating what hazardous constituents have shown statistically significant evidence of contamination, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(1)).

### 121.2.2.5.10.3.2. Appendix IX Sampling

The Permittees shall immediately, but no later than one month, sample the ground water in all DMWs specified in Table <u>5.3.1</u> for which there was statistically significant evidence of contamination. The remaining DMWs shall be sampled within two months after statistically significant evidence of contamination is found in any DMW. All DMWs shall be sampled to determine the concentration of all substances identified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 Appendix IX), as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(2)).

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-8 of 11

#### 1212251033. Veri

Verification Sampling

As specified by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR \$264.98(g)(3)), for any substances found in the initial analysis pursuant to Permit Section <u>5.10.3.2</u>, the Permittees may resample within one month and repeat the analysis for those compounds detected. If the results of the second analysis confirm the initial analysis, these substances shall form the basis for compliance monitoring specified in Permit Section <u>5.10.3.4</u>. If the Permittees do not resample, the substances found during the initial analysis specified in Permit Section <u>5.10.3.4</u>.

### 121 24 5.10.3.4. Submittal of Compliance Monitoring Program

The Permittees shall, within 90 calendar days, submit to the Secretary an application for a permit modification to establish a compliance monitoring program meeting the requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.99). The application shall include the following information, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(4)):

- An identification of the concentration of any hazardous constituent specified in Table <u>5.4.b</u> or any Appendix IX substance detected in the ground water at each DMW at the compliance point.
- Any proposed changes to the DMP necessary to meet the compliance monitoring requirements as specified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.99).
- Any proposed additions or changes to the monitoring frequency, sampling and analysis procedures or methods, or statistical methods used necessary to meet the compliance monitoring requirements as specified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.99).
- iv. For each hazardous constituent detected at the compliance point, a proposed concentration limit or a notice of intent to seek an alternate concentration limit for a hazardous constituent required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.94).

### 12.1.2.5.5.10.3.5. Submittal of Additional Information

The Permittees shall, within 180 calendar days, submit to the Secretary the following information, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(5)):

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-9 of 11

1

- All data necessary to justify an alternate concentration limit proposed in compliance with Permit Section <u>5.10.3.4.iv</u>.
- An engineering feasibility plan for corrective action required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.100), if necessary.

#### 1213510.4. Demonstration of Outside Contamination

If the Permittees determine, pursuant to Permit Section <u>5.9</u>, that there is a statistically significant difference for hazardous constituents specified in Table <u>5.4.b</u> at any DMW at the compliance point, they may demonstrate that a source other than a regulated unit caused the increase or that the detection is an artifact caused by an error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, or natural variation in the ground water. In such cases, the Permittees shall comply with the following:

### 1213151041. Notification

The Permittees shall notify the Secretary in writing within seven calendar days of determining statistically significant evidence of contamination at the compliance point that they intend to make a demonstration of outside contamination, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(6)(i)).

#### 121325,10.4.2. Submittal of Demonstration

The Permittees shall, within 90 calendar days, submit a report to the Secretary which demonstrates that a source other than a regulated unit caused the contamination, or that the contamination resulted from error in sampling, analysis, or evaluation, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(6)(ii)).

### 1213351043 Submittal of Modification Request

The Permittees shall, within 90 calendar days, submit to the Secretary an application for a permit modification to make any appropriate changes to the DMP, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(6)(iii)).

121345,10.4.4. Continued Monitoring

The Permittees shall continue to monitor in compliance with the DMP, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(6)(iv)).

### 12 25 11 REQUEST FOR PERMIT MODIFICATION

If the Permittees or the Secretary determines that the DMP no longer satisfies the requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 Subpart F) and this Permit Part, the Permittees

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-10 of 11

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Serber 20, 2010 Junuary 31, 2012

2

shall, within 90 calendar days of the determination, submit an application for a permit modification to make any appropriate changes to the program in compliance with 20.4.1.500 and .900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(h) and §270.42).

÷

1

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-11 of 11 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Planember 40, 2010/anuary, 31, 2013

### PERMIT ATTACHMENTS

.

.

Permit Attachment L (as modified from WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Amended Renewal Application, "WIPP Ground-water Detection Monitoring Program Plan" - Chapter L).

PERMIT PART 5 Page 5-12 of 11

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15 January 31, 2012 - 2011

# ATTACHMENT A2

## GEOLOGIC REPOSITORY

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

A2-1	Description of the Geologic Repository1
A2-2	Geologic Repository Design and Process Description
	A2-2a(1) CH TRU Mixed Waste Handling Equipment
	A2-2a(3) Subsurface Structures
	A2-2b Geologic Repository Process Description
A2-3	Waste Characterization
A2-4	Treatment Effectiveness
A2-5	Maintenance, Monitoring, and Inspection
	A2-5b Monitoring
	A2-5b(2)(a) Description of the Geomechanical Monitoring System
Refer	ences

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-i Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15 January 31, 20112012

### LIST OF TABLES

14

## Table

T

### Title

Table A2-1	CH TRU Mixed Waste Handling Equipment Capacities
Table A2-2	Instrumentation Used in Support of the Geomechanical Monitoring System
Table A2-3	RH TRU Mixed Waste Handling Equipment Capacities

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

### Title

Figure A2-1	Repository Horizon
Figure A2-2	Spatial View of the Miscellaneous Unit and Waste Handling Facility
Figure A2-3	Facility Pallet for Seven-Pack of Drums
Figure A2-5	Typical Backfill Sacks Emplaced on Drum Stacks
Figure A2-5a	Potential MgO Emplacement Configurations
Figure A2-6	Waste Transfer Cage to Transporter
Figure A2-7	Push-Pull Attachment to Forklift to Allow Handling of Waste Containers
Figure A2-8	Typical RH and CH Transuranic Mixed Waste Container Disposal Configuration
Figure A2-9	Underground Ventilation System Airflow
Figure A2-11	Typical Room Barricade
Figure A2-11a	Typical Bulkhead
Figure A2-12	WIPP Facility Surface and Underground CH Transuranic Mixed Waste Process Flow Diagram
Figure A2-12	WIPP Facility Surface and Underground CH Transuranic Mixed Waste Process Flow Diagram (Continued)
Figure A2-13	Lavout and Instrumentation - As of 1/96
Figure A2-14	Facility Cask Transfer Car (Side View)
Figure A2-15	Typical Horizontal Emplacement and Retrieval Equipment
Figure A2-15a	Typical Horizontal Emplacement Equipment
Figure A2-16	RH TRU Waste Facility Cask Unloading from Waste Shaft Conveyance
Figure A2-17	Facility Cask Installed on the Herizontal Typical Emplacement and Rotrieval Equipment
Figure A2-18	Installing Shield Plug
Figure A2-19	Shield Plug Supplemental Shielding Plate(s)
Figure A2-20	Shielding Layers to Supplement RH Borehole Shield Plugs
Figure A2-21	Shield Plug Configuration

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-ii

2	height will be mined to at least 14 ft.
3	All other drifts that are part of the waste transport route will be at least 20 ft wide and 14 ft high to accommodate waste transport equipment
	high to accommodate make transport equipment.
5	Other drifts (i.e. mains and cross-cuts) vary in width and height according to their function
6	typically ranging from 14 ft to 20 ft wide and 12 ft to 20 ft high.
7	The layout of these excavations is shown on Figure A2-1.
8	Underground Facilities Ventilation System
9	The underground facilities ventilation system will provide a safe and suitable environment for
10	underground operations during normal WIPP facility operations. The underground system is
11	designed to provide control of potential airborne contaminants in the event of an accidental
12	release or an underground fire.
13	The main underground ventilation system is divided into four separate flows (Figure A2-9): one
14	flow serving the mining areas, one serving the northern experimental areas, one serving the
15	disposal areas, and one serving the Waste Shaft and station area. The four main airflows are
16	recombined near the bottom of the Exhaust Shaft, which serves as a common exhaust route
17	from the underground level to the surface.
18	Underground Ventilation System Description
19	The underground ventilation system consists of six centrifugal exhaust fans, two identical

The W-30 waste transport route south of S-700 is mined to be 20 ft wide nominally and its

1

20 HEPA-filter assemblies arranged in parallel, isolation dampers, a filter bypass arrangement, and associated ductwork. The six fans, connected by the ductwork to the underground exhaust shaft 21 so that they can independently draw air through the Exhaust Shaft, are divided into two groups. 22 One group consists of three main exhaust fans, two of which are utilized to provide the nominal 23 air flow of 425,000 standard ft<sup>3</sup> per min (SCFM) throughout the WIPP facility underground during 24 normal operation. One main fan may be operated in the alternate mode to provide 260,000 25 SCFM underground ventilation flow. These fans are located near the Exhaust Shaft. The 26 second group consists of the remaining three filtration fans, and each can provide 60,000 SCFM 27 of air flow. These fans, located at the Exhaust Filter Building, are capable of being employed 28 during the filtration mode, where exhaust is diverted through HEPA filters, or in the reduced or 20 minimum ventilation mode where air is not drawn through the HEPA filters. In order to ensure 30 31 the miscellaneous unit environmental performance standards are met, a minimum running annual average exhaust rate of 260,000 SCFM will be maintained. 32 The underground mine ventilation is designed to supply sufficient quantities of air to all areas of 33

the underground mine ventilation is designed to supply sufficient quantities of air to air areas of the repository. During normal operating mode (simultaneous mining and waste emplacement operations), approximately 140,000 actual ft<sup>3</sup> (3,962 m<sup>3</sup>) per min can be supplied to the panel area. This quantity is necessary in order to support the level of activity and the pieces of diesel equipment that are expected to be in operation.

At any given time during waste emplacement activities, there may be significant activities in multiple rooms in a panel. For example, one room may be receiving CH TRU mixed waste

40 containers, another room may be receiving RH TRU mixed waste canisters, and the drilling of

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-7 of 4647 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 14 January 31, 20112012

RH TRU mixed waste emplacement boreholes may be occurring in another room. The 1 remaining rooms in a panel will either be completely filled with waste; be idle, awaiting waste 2 handling operations; or being prepared for waste receipt. A minimum ventilation rate of 35,000 3 ft<sup>3</sup> (990 m<sup>3</sup>) per minute will be maintained in each active room where waste disposal is taking 4 place when and workers are present in the room. This quantity of air is required to support the 5 numbers and types of diesel equipment that are expected to be in operation in the area, to 6 support the underground personnel working in that area, and to exceed a minimum air velocity 7 of 60 ft (18 m) per minute. The remainder of the air is needed in order to account for air leakage 8 through inactive rooms. 9 10 Air will be routed into a panel from the intake side. Air is routed through the individual rooms within a panel using underground bulkheads and air regulators. Bulkheads are constructed by 11 erecting framing of rectangular steel tubing and screwing galvanized sheet metal to the framing. 12 Bulkhead members use telescoping extensions that are attached to framing and the salt which 13 adjust to creep. Rubber or sheet metal Flexible flashing attached to the bulkhead on one side 14 and the salt on the other completes the seal of the ventilation. Where controlled airflow is 15 required, a louver-style damper on a slide-gate (sliding panel) regulator is installed on the 16 bulkhead. Personnel access is available through most bulkheads, and vehicular access is 17 possible through selected bulkheads. Vehicle roll-up doors in the panel areas are not equipped 18 with warning bells or strobe lights since these doors are to be used for limited periodic 19 maintenance activities in the return air path. Flow is also controlled using brattice cloth 20 barricades. These consist of chain link fence that is bolted to the salt and covered with brattice 21 cloth; and are used in instances where the only flow control requirement is to block the air. A 22 brattice cloth air barricade is shown in Figure A2-11. Ventilation will be maintained only in all 23 active rooms within a panel until waste emplacement activities are completed and the panel-24 closure system is installed. The air will be routed simultaneously through all the active rooms 25 within the panel. The filled rooms that are filled with waste will be isolated from the ventilation 26 system, while the active rooms that are actively being filled will receive a minimum of 35,000 27 SCFM of air when workers are present to assure worker safety. After all rooms within a panel 28 are filled, the panel will be closed using a closure system described Permit Attachment G and 20 Permit Attachment G1. 30

31 Once a disposal room is filled and is no longer needed for emplacement activities, it will be

32 barricaded against entry and isolated from the mine ventilation system by removing the air 33 regulator bulkhead and constructing chain link/brattice cloth barricades and, if necessary,

bulkheads at each end. A typical bulkhead is shown in Figure A2-11a. There is no requirement

s for air for these rooms since personnel and/or equipment will not be in these areas.

The ventilation path for the waste disposal side is separated from the mining side by means of air locks, bulkheads, and salt pillars. A pressure differential is maintained between the mining side and the waste disposal side to ensure that any leakage is towards the disposal side. The pressure differential is produced by the surface fans in conjunction with the underground air

40 regulators.

### 41 Underground Ventilation Modes of Operation

42 The underground ventilation system is designed to perform under two types of operation:

43 normal (the HEPA exhaust filtration system is bypassed), and filtered (the exhaust is filtered

44 through the HEPA filtration system, if radioactive contaminants are detected or suspected.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-8 of 46

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15 January 31, 2012-2014



Figure A2-14 Facility Cask Transfer Car (Side View)

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-39 of 4647 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 16 January 31, 2014 2012

, |



Figure A2-15 Horizontal-Typical Emplacement and Retrieval Equipment

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-40 of 46

ā.



Figure A2-15a Typical Emplacement Equipment

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-41 of 4647 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15 January 31, 2014 2012

11



Figure A2-16 RH TRU Waste Facility Cask Unloading from Waste Shaft Conveyance

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-42 of 46

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 16 January 31, 2012, 2014



Figure A2-17 Facility Cask Installed on the Herizontal <u>Typical</u> Emplacement and Retrieval Equipment

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-43 of 4647

1

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 46 January 31, 2011 2012

FACILITY CASK AGAINST SHIELD COLLAR, TRANSFER CARRIAGE RETRACTED, SHIELD PLUG CARRIAGE ON STAGING PLATFORM, SHIELD PLUG BEING INSTALLED



Figure A2-18 Installing Shield Plug

PERMIT ATTACHMENT A2 Page A2-44 of 46

# ATTACHMENT C3

# QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES AND DATA VALIDATION TECHNIQUES FOR WASTE CHARACTERIZATION SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

C3-1	Validation Methods1				
C3-2	Headspace-Gas Sampling6				
C3-3	Sampling of Homogeneous Solids and Soils/Gravel				
C3-4	Non Destructive Examination Methods       10         C3-4a Radiography       10         C3-4b Visual Examination       11				
C3-5	Gas Volatile Organic Compound Analysis				
C3-6	Total Volatile Organic Compound Analysis				
C3-7	Total Semivolatile Organic Compound Analysis				
C3-8	Total Metal Analysis				
C3-9	Acceptable Knowledge				
C3-10	Data Review, Validation, and Verification Requirements       19         C3-10a       Data Generation Level       20         C3-10a(1)       Independent Technical Review       21         C3-10b       Project Level       2223         C3-10b(1)       Site Project Manager Review       23         C3-10b(2)       Prepare Site Project Manager Summary and Data Validation       24         C3-10b(3)       Prepare Waste Stream Characterization Package       24         C3-10cPermittee Level       2425				
C3-11	Reconciliation with Data Quality Objectives.25C3-11aReconciliation at the Project Level.25C3-11bReconciliation at the Permittee Level.27				
C3-12	Data Reporting Requirements27C3-12aData Generation Level27C3-12bProject Level27C3-12b(1)Waste Stream Profile Form28C3-12b(2)Characterization Information Summary2829C3-12b(3)Waste Stream Characterization Package2930C3-12b(4)WIPP Waste Information System (WWIS)Data ReportingC3-12b(4)WIPP Waste Information System (WWIS)Data Reporting				
C3-13	Nonconformances				
C3-14	Special Training Requirements and Certifications				
C3-15	5 Changes to WAP-Related Plans or Procedures				
C3-16	List of References				

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C3 Page C3-i

# LIST OF TABLES

## Table

## Title

Table C2.1	Waste Material Parameters and Descriptions
Table Co-1	Waste Material Falameters and Descriptions
Table C3-2	Gas volatile Organic Compounds Target Analyte List and Quality Assurance Objectives
Table C3-3	Summary of Laboratory Quality Control Samples and Frequencies for Gas Volatile Organic Compound Analysis
Table C3-4	Volatile Organic Compounds Target Analyte List and Quality Assurance Objectives
Table C3-5	Summary of Laboratory Quality Control Samples and Frequencies for Volatile Organic Compound Analysis
Table C3-6	Semi-Volatile Organic Compound Target Analyte List and Quality Assurance Objectives
Table C3-7	Summary of Laboratory Quality Control Samples and Frequencies for Semi- Volatile Organic Compounds Analysis
Table C3-8	Metals Target Analyte List and Quality Assurance Objectives
Table C3-9	Summary of Laboratory Quality Control Samples and Frequencies for Metals Analysis
Table C3-10	Minimum Training and Qualifications Requirements <sup>a</sup>
Table C3-11	Testing Batch Data Report Contents
Table C3-12	Sampling Batch Data Report Contents
Table C3-13	Analytical Batch Data Report Contents
T-1-1- 00 44	Date Describer Class

Table C3-14 Data Reporting Flags

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

Title

Figure C3-1 Overall Headspace-Gas Sampling Scheme Illustrating Manifold Sampling
- 1 A NCR shall be prepared for each nonconformance identified. Each NCR shall be initiated by
- 2 the individual(s) identifying the nonconformance. The NCR shall then be processed by
- 3 knowledgeable and appropriate personnel. For this purpose, a NCR including, or referencing as
- 4 appropriate, results of laboratory analysis, QC tests, audit reports, internal memoranda, or
- 5 letters shall be prepared. The NCR must provide the following information:
- Identification of the individual(s) identifying or originating the nonconformance
   Description of the nonconformance
- Method(s) or suggestions for correcting the nonconformance (corrective action)
- Schedule for completing the corrective action
- An indication of the potential ramifications and overall usability of the data, if applicable
- Any approval signatures specified in the site nonconformance procedures
- The Permittees shall require the Site Project Manager to oversee the NCR process and be
   responsible for developing a plan to identify and track all nonconformances and report this
   information to the Permittees. The Site Project Manager is also responsible for notifying project
- 15 personnel of the nonconformance and verifying completion of the corrective action for
- 16 nonconformances.
- 17 Nonconformance to DQOs
- 18 For any non-administrative nonconformance related to applicable requirements specified in this
- 19 WAP which are first identified at the Site Project Manager signature release level (i.e., a failure
- to meet a DQO), the Permittees shall receive written notification within seven calendar days of
- 21 identification and shall also receive a NCR within 30 calendar days of identification of the
- 22 incident. DOE shall require the generator/storage site to implement a corrective action which
- 23 remedies the nonconformance prior to management, storage, or disposal of the waste at WIPP.
- 24 The Permittees shall send NMED a monthly summary of nonconformances identified during the
- previous month, indicating the number of nonconformances received and the generator/storage
- 26 sites responsible.
- 27 DOE's Corrective Action Process
- 28 DOE shall initiate a corrective action process when internal nonconformances and
- nonconformances at the generator/storage sites are identified. Activities and processes that do
- 30 not meet requirements are documented as deficiencies.
- When a deficiency is identified by the Permittees, the following process action steps are required:
- The condition is documented on a Corrective Action Report (CAR) by the individual identifying the problem.
- DOE has designated the CAR Initiator and Assessment Team Leader to review the CAR,
   determine validity of the finding (determine that a requirement has been violated),
   classify the significance of the condition, assign a response due date, and issue the
   CAR to the responsible party.

1

deficiency and provides a response to DOE, indicating remedial actions and actions to 2 preclude recurrence that will be taken. 3 DOE reviews the response from the responsible organization and, if acceptable, 4 communicates the acceptance to the responsible organization. 5 6 The responsible organization completes remedial actions and actions to preclude recurrence of the condition. 7 After all corrective actions have been completed, DOE schedules and performs a 8 verification to ensure that corrective actions have been completed and are effective. 9 When all actions have been completed and verified as being effective, the CAR is 10 closed by the CAR Initiator and Assessment Team Leader on behalf of DOE. 11 As part of the planning process for subsequent audits and surveillances, past deficiencies 12 are reviewed and the previous deficient activity or process is subject to reassessment. 13 C3-14 Special Training Requirements and Certifications 14 Before performing activities that affect WAP quality, all personnel are required to receive 15 indoctrination into the applicable scope, purpose, and objectives of the WAP and the specific 16 17 QAOs of the assigned task. Personnel assigned to perform activities for the WAP shall have the education, experience, and training applicable to the functions associated with the work. 18 Evidence of personnel proficiency and demonstration of competence in the task(s) assigned 19 must be demonstrated and documented. All personnel designated to work on specific aspects of 20 the WAP shall maintain qualification (i.e., training and certification) throughout the duration of 21 the work as specified in this WAP and applicable QAPiPs/procedures. Job performance shall be 22 evaluated and documented at periodic intervals, as specified in the implementing procedures. 23 Personnel involved in WAP activities shall receive continuing training to ensure that job 24 proficiency is maintained. If not specified by this WAP, the due date for required continuing 25 training courses and regualification shall be the end of the month of the anniversary date when 26 the training was previously completed. Training includes both education in principles and 27 enhancement of skills. Each participating site shall include in its QAPiP a description of the 28

The responsible organization reviews the CAR, evaluates the extent and cause of the

- 29 procedures for implementing personnel qualification and training. All training records that
- specify the scope of the training, the date of completion, and documentation of job proficiency
- 31 shall be maintained as QA Records in the site project file.
- Analytical laboratory line management must ensure that analytical personnel are qualified to perform the analytical method(s) for which they are responsible. The minimum qualifications for certain specified positions for the WAP are summarized in Table C3-10. QAPjPs, or their implementing SOPs, shall specify the site-specific titles and minimum training and qualification requirements for personnel performing WAP activities. QAPjPs/procedures shall also contain the requirements for maintaining records of the qualification, training, and demonstrations of proficiency by these personnel.
- An evaluation of personnel qualifications shall include comparing and evaluating the requirements specified in the job/position description and the skills, training, and experience included in the current resume of the person. This evaluation also must be performed for

	WAP Requirement <sup>1</sup>	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/ Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
		Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	procedure since last audit, etc.)
	Wast	e Stream Ide	entification			
1	Does the generator/storage site define "waste stream" as waste materials that have common physical form, that contain similar hazardous constituents, and that are -generated from a single process or from an activity that is similar in material, physical form, and hazardous constituents? (Attachment C Section C-0a)					
2	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns one of the Summary Category Groups (S3000-homogeneous solids, S4000- soils/gravel, S5000-debris waste) to each waste stream? (Section C-1b)					
3	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns Waste Matrix Code Groups (e.g., solidified inorganics, solidified organics, salt waste, soils, combustible waste, filters, graphite, heterogeneous debris waste, inorganic nonmetal waste, lead/cadmium metal, uncategorized metal) to each waste stream? (Section C-0a)					
ŧ	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site assigns a Waste Stream WIPP Identifier (ID) to each waste stream? (Section C3-12b(1))					

## Waste Analysis Plan (WAP) General Checklist for use at DOE'S Generator/Storage Sites

1

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page C6-11 of 109

	WAP Requirement <sup>1</sup>	Procedure	Documented	Example of Implementation/ Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
		Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	procedure since last audit, etc.)
4a	Are procedures in place for generator/storage sites to submit an AK Sufficiency Determination (Determination Request) to the Permittees to meet all or part of the waste characterization requirements including:	1				
	All information specified in Permit Attachment C4, Section C4-3d					
	<ul> <li>Identification of relevant hazardous constituents, and correctly identifies all toxicity characteristic and listed hazardous waste numbers</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>All hazardous waste number assignments must be substantiated by supporting data and, if not, whether this lack of substantiation compromises the interpretation</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Resolution of data discrepancies between different AK sources must be technically correct and documented</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>The AK Summary includes all the identification of waste material parameter weights by percentage of the material in the waste stream, and determinations are technically correct</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>All prohibited items specified in the TSDF-WAC should be addressed, and conclusions drawn are technically adequate and substantiated by supporting information</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>If the AK record includes process control information specified in Permit Attachment C4, Section C4-3b, the information should include procedures, waste manifests, or other documentation demonstrating that the controls were adequate and sufficient.</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>The site must provide the supporting information necessary to substantiate technical conclusions within the Determination Request, and this information must be correctly interpreted.</li> </ul>					
	(Section C-0b, Section C4-3d)					
4b	If a generator/storage site does not submit a Determination Request or if the Determination Request is not approved, are procedures in place for the generator/storage site to perform radiography or VE on 100% of the containers in a waste stream and chemical sampling and analysis on a representative sample of the waste stream using headspace gas sampling and analysis (for debris waste) or solids sampling and analysis (for homogeneous solid or sol/gravel waste) as specified in Permit Attachments C1 andC2? (Section C-0b)					

			Procedure Documented		nple of ion/ Objective as applicable	Comment
	WAP Requirement <sup>1</sup>	Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
31	Are procedures in place to ensure that the following Quality Assurance Objectives are adequately defined and assessed for each characterization method:		1	1		
	<ul> <li>Precision as a measure of the mutual agreement among multiple measurements.</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Accuracy as the degree of agreement between a measurement result and a true or known value.</li> </ul>			4		
	<ul> <li>Completeness is a measure of the amount of valid data obtained from a method compared to the total amount of data obtained that is expressed as a percentage.</li> </ul>			17		
	<ul> <li>Comparability is the degree to which one data set can be compared to another data set.</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Representativeness as an expression of the degree to which data represent characteristics of a population.</li> </ul>					
	(Section C-4a(2))					
32	With respect to data generation, are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site's waste characterization program meets the following general requirements:	-				
	<ul> <li>Analytical data packages and batch data reports must be reported accurately in a pre-approved format, must be maintained in permanent files, and must be traceable?</li> </ul>					
	All data must receive a technical review by another qualified analyst or the technical supervisor, and the laboratory QA officer?					
	(Section C3-10a)		12 h		11	
33	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site performs validation of waste characterization data for each waste container? (Section C-4)					
34	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site has a pre- approved format for reporting waste characterization data? (Section C- 4a(4))					
35	Are procedures in place to ensure that the generator/storage site prepares analytical, testing, and sampling batch data reports to meet the requirements of their own site-specific QAPjP and/or SOPs? (Section C- 4a(4))					

			Procedure Documented		nple of ion/ Objective as applicable	Comment
WAP Requirement <sup>1</sup>		Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	ltem Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	procedure since last audit, etc.)
and the second	Are procedures in place to ensure that all raw data is collected and managed at the data generation level in accordance with the following criteria:					
	<ul> <li>All raw data shall be signed and dated in reproducible ink by the individual collecting the data, or signed and dated using electronic signatures</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>All data shall be recorded clearly, legibly, and accurately in field and laboratory records and include applicable sample identification numbers</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>All changes to original data shall be lined out, initialed, and dated by the individual making the change. Original data may not be obliterated or otherwise be made unreadable</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>All data shall be transferred and reduced from field and laboratory records completely and accurately</li> </ul>	6				
	<ul> <li>All field and laboratory records shall be maintained as specified in Table C- 6 of Attachment C</li> </ul>	i.				
	<ul> <li>Data shall be organized into standard reporting formats for reporting purposes.</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>All electronic and video data must be stored to ensure that waste container, sample and QC data are readily retrievable</li> </ul>					
	(Section C3-10a)					

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page C6-22 of 409108

	WAP Requirement <sup>1</sup>	Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/ Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
		Location	Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	(e.g., any change in procedure since last audit, etc.)
200	Do procedures adequately assign the Site site Project project QA Officermanager with the responsibility of monitoring field QC results and initiate the nonconformance report process in the event the following acceptance criteria are not met or sample collection frequencies are not met:					
	<ul> <li>Field and equipment blanks shall be less than 3 times the detection limits specified in Table C3-2 and equipment blank results determined by FTIR shall be less than the PRQL specified in Table C3-2 (Section C1-1b(1) and C1-1b(2))</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Field reference standards shall have a recovery of between 70 and 130% (Table C1-3)</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Field Duplicates shall have an RPD of less than or equal to 25</li> </ul>				()	
	(Sections C1-1b and C1-1b(4); Table C1-3)					
201	Are procedures in place to ensure that field reference standards meet the following criteria:					
	<ul> <li>Field reference standards shall contain a minimum of 6 analytes listed in Table C3-2 at a range of between 10 and 100 ppmv and at concentrations greater than the MDL</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Field reference standards shall be traceable to a nationally recognized standard, if available</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>If commercial gases are used, they shall be accompanied by a Certificate of Analysis and all field reference standards are traceable to certificates.</li> </ul>	si -				
	<ul> <li>Commercial gases are not used past the manufacturer specified shelf life.</li> </ul>		1			
	<ul> <li>Field reference samples are submitted blind to the laboratory at a frequency of one per sampling batch. (Note: Field reference standards may be discontinued for direct canister method if QAO accuracy objectives are met)</li> </ul>					
	(Section C1-1b(3))	· · · · · · · · ·	1			
202	Are procedures in place to ensure that field duplicate samples are collected sequentially and in accordance with Table C1-1. (Section C1-1b(4))					

PERMIT ATTACHMENT C6 Page C6-85 of 109

1

		Procedure Documented		Example of Implementation/ Objective Evidence, as applicable		Comment
1	WAP Requirement <sup>1</sup>		Adequate? Y/N (Why?)	Item Reviewed	Adequate? Y/N	procedure since last audit, etc.)
-	Sample Equipment Te	esting, Insp	ection and Mai	ntenance		
203	Are procedures in place to ensure that sample containers are cleaned in accordance with the following specifications:					
	<ul> <li>All sampling components that contact sample gases are constructed of inert materials such as stainless steel or Teflon<sup>®</sup></li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>The sampling manifold and canisters are properly cleaned and leak checked prior to each sampling event in accordance to or equivalent with TO-14A or TO-15 methodology</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>SUMMA<sup>®</sup> canisters or equivalent are cleaned on an equipment cleaning batch basis. An equipment cleaning batch is defined as the number of canisters that can be cleaned together at one time using the same cleaning method</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>The cleaning system consists of an optional oven and a vacuum manifold which uses a dry vacuum pump or a cryogenic trap backed by an oil sealed pump</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Prior to cleaning a 24 hour leak check shall be performed (+/- 2 psig) on all canisters</li> </ul>					
	Canisters that shall be checked for leaks, repaired, and reprocessed					
	<ul> <li>One canister per equipment cleaning batch is filled with humid zero air or humid high purity nitrogen and analyzed for VOCs</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>A batch is considered clean if VOC concentrations are less than 3 times the MDLs specified in Table C3-2</li> </ul>				2	
	<ul> <li>Certified leak-free canisters are evacuated to 0.1 mm Hg or less for storage</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Canister cleaning certification documentation is available at the cleaning facility and the cleaning facility initiates canister tags.</li> </ul>				8	
	(Section C1-1c, C1-1c(1))				1	
204	Are procedures in place to ensure that manifold pressure sensors and ambient air temperature sensors are certified prior to initial use and annually using NIST traceable standards. In addition OVAs if used shall be calibrated daily using known calibration gases and the balance of the OVA calibration is consistent with the manifold purge gas. (Section C1-1d)					

Equipment	Description and Capabilities	Location
	Medical Resources	
Ambulance #1	Equipped as per Federal Specifications KKK-A-1822 and New Mexico Emergency Medical Services Act General Order 35; equipped with a radio to Carlsbad Medical Center, VHF radio, UHF medical frequency, cellular phone	Surface (Safety and Emergency Services Facility)
Ambulance #2	Diesel and/or electric hardcab ambulance equipped with first aid kit, 2 stretchers, and other associated medical supplies	Underground
Rescue Truck	Special purpose vehicle; light and heavy duty rescue equipment; transports 1 litter patient, medical oxygen and supplies for mass casualties, fire suppression support equipment (rescue tool, air bag, K-12 Rescue Saw, 5,000- watt generator, self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA), and much more equipment	Surface (Safety and Emergency Services Facility)
	Fire Detection and Fire Suppression Equipment	
Building Smoke, Thermal Detectors, or Manual Pull Stations	lonization and photoelectric or fixed temperature/rate of rise detectors; visual display and alarm in CMR; manual pull stations. The underground has manual fire alarm pull stations located where personnel have access when evacuating. These are connected to the U/G evacuation alarm.	Guard and Security Building, Warehouse/Shops, Support Building, CMR/Computer Room, Waste Handling Building, TRUPACT Maintenance Facility, Waste Shaft Collar, Underground Fuel Station, SH Hoisthouse, Engineering Building, Industrial Safety Building, Training Facility
Fire Truck # 1	Equipped per Class "A" fire truck per NFPA; capacity 750 gallons, with pump capacity of 1200 gallons per minute	Surface (Safety and Emergency Services Facility)
Rescue Truck # 2 (U/G)	<ul><li>(1) 125-pound dry chemical extinguisher</li><li>(1) 150-pound foam extinguisher</li></ul>	Underground
Extinguishers	Individual fire extinguisher stations; various types located throughout the facility, conforming to NFPA-10.	Buildings, underground, and underground vehicles
Automatic Dry Chemical Extinguishing Systems	Automatic; 1,000-pound system (Dry Chemical); actuated by thermal detectors or by manual pull stations	Underground fuel station
Sprinkler Systems	Fire alarms activated by water flow	Pumphouse, Guard and Security Building, Support Building, Waste Handling Building (contact- transuranic waste area only), Warehouse/Shops Building, Auxiliary Warehouse Building, TRUPACT Maintenance Facility, Training Facility, SH Shaft Hoisthouse, Exhaust Filter Building, Engineering Building, and Safety Building

Equipment	Description and Capabilities	Location
Hand Tools	Containment and cleanup; Underground rescue truck: (1)12# Sledge Hammer (1)3/8" Drive Socket Set (1)½" Drive Socket Set (1)25' ½" Chain (1)6' Wrecking Bar (1)25' ½" Chain (1)6' Wrecking Bar (1)80ttle Jack (1)4# Hammer (1)18" Crescent Wrench (1)5' Pry Bar (1)2' Pry Bar (1)2' Pry Bar (1)2' Pry Bar (1)10' Extension Cord (1)4' Nylon Sling (1)6' Nylon Sling (1)10' Nylon Sling These tools are located in the HAZMAT Trailer. They are non-sparking. (1)14"L adjustable pipe wrench (1)15" multi-opening bung wrench (1)15" multi-opening bung wrench (1)hammer/crate opener (1)8" pipe pilers (1)8" blade Phillips (1)#2 screwdriver (1)6" blade standard screwdriver (1)Claw Hammer	Underground rescue truck, HAZMAT trailer
Come-a-longs	(1) 4-ton; cable-type Ratchet lever tool designed specifically for lifting, lowering and pulling applications including jobs requiring rigging, positioning, and stretching. Used in rescue for extrication.	Surface rescue truck and underground rescue truck
Porta-power	(1) 10-ton hydraulic, hand-powered jaws used for extrication during rescues.	Surface rescue truck
Jugs	Containment or cleanup; (4) 1-gallon plastic	HAZMAT trailer
Pails	Containment or cleanup; (3) 5-gallon plastic with lid	HAZMAT trailer
Portable Lighting	(1) Emergency lighting system; 120 volts; 500-watt bulbs, suitable for wet location	Underground rescue truck
Patching Kit	Series A Hazardous Response Kit; Class A; contains nonsparking equipment to control and plug leaks.	HAZMAT trailer
Scoops and Shovels	Cleanup; plastic; various sizes; nonsparking; nonwood handles (1) Scoop (3) Shovels	HAZMAT trailer

1 TRU underground transporter, the facility transfer vehicle, the trailer jockey, and the push-pull attachment. RH TRU mixed waste equipment that is controlled by a logbook includes the 2 140/25-ton RH Bay overhead bridge crane, cask transfer cars, 25-ton cask unloading room 3 crane, transfer cell shuttle car, RH Bay cask lifting yoke, facility grapple, 6.2- ton overhead hoist, 4 facility cask rotating device, hot cell overhead powered manipulator, 15-ton hot cell crane, 5 facility cask transfer car, 41-ton forklift, facility cask, and horizontal emplacement and retrieval 6 equipment. Inspections of the Cask Unloading Room, Hot Cell, Transfer Cell, Facility Cask 7 Loading Room, RH Bay and radiation monitoring equipment will be recorded on data sheets. In 8 addition to the inspections listed in Tables E-1 and E-1a, many pieces of equipment are subject 9 to regular preventive maintenance. This includes more in-depth inspections of mechanical 10 11 systems, load testing of lifting systems, calibration of measurement equipment and other actions as recommended by the equipment manufacturer or as required by DOE Orders. These 12 preventive maintenance activities along with the inspections in Tables E-1 and E-1a make 13 14 mechanical failure of waste handling equipment unlikely. The WIPP Safety Analysis Report (DOE, 1999) and the WIPP Remote-Handled Waste Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (RH 15 PSAR) (DOE, 2000) contain the results of a systematic analysis of waste handling equipment 16 and the hazards associated with potential mechanical failures. Equipment subject to failures that 17 cannot practically be mitigated is retained for analysis and is the basis for contingency planning. 18 The inspection procedures maintained in the Operating Record for operational and preventive 19 maintenance are implemented to assure the equipment is maintained. An example equipment 20 inspection checklist and a typical logbook form are shown as Figures E-1 and E-2. Actual 21 checklists or forms are maintained within the Operating Record. 22

23 E-1a General Inspection Requirements

Tables E-1, E-1a, and E-2 of this Permit Attachment list the major categories of monitoring 24 equipment, safety and emergency systems, security devices, and operating and structural 25 26 equipment that are important to the prevention or detection of, or the response to, environmental or human health hazards caused by hazardous waste. These systems may 27 include numerous subsystems. These systems are inspected according to the frequency listed 28 in Tables E-1 and E-1a, a copy of which is maintained at the WIPP facility. The frequency of 29 inspections is based on the nature of the equipment or the hazard and regulatory requirements. 30 When in use, daily inspections are made of areas subject to spills, such as TRU mixed waste 31 loading and unloading areas in the WHB Unit, looking for deterioration in structures, mechanical 32 items, floor coatings, equipment, malfunctions, etc., in accordance with 20.4.1.500 NMAC 33 (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(b)(4)). 34

As required in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.33), the WIPP facility inspection procedures for communication and alarm systems, fire-protection equipment, and spill control and decontamination equipment include provisions for testing and maintenance to ensure that the equipment will be operable in an emergency.

39 E-1a(1) Types of Problems

The inspections for the systems, equipment, structures, etc., listed in Tables E-1 and E-1a, include the types of problems (e.g., malfunctions, visible cracks in coatings or welds, and deterioration) to be looked for during the inspection of each item or system, if applicable, and are in compliance with 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(b)(3)).

#### E-1a(2) Frequency of Inspections 1

Tables E-1, E-1a, and E-2 of this Permit Attachment list the inspection frequencies and 2

monitoring schedule for equipment and systems subject to the 20.4.1 NMAC hazardous waste 3

4 management requirements. The frequency is based on the rate of possible deterioration of the

equipment and the probability of an environmental or human health incident if the deterioration 5

or malfunction, or any operator error, goes undetected between inspections. Areas subject to 6

spills, such as loading and unloading areas, are inspected daily when in use, consistent with the 7 requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(b)(4)). 8

9 When RH TRU mixed waste is present in the RH Complex, inspections are conducted visually and/or using closed-circuit video cameras in order to manage worker dose and to minimize 10 occupational radiation exposures to as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). More extensive 11 inspections of these areas are performed at least annually during routine maintenance periods 12 and when RH TRU mixed waste is not present.

13

#### E-1a(3) Monitoring Systems 14

There are two monitoring systems used at the WIPP to provide assurance that facility systems 15

are operating correctly, that areas can be used safely, and that there have been no releases of 16

hazardous waste constituents. These systems are shown in Table E-2 and include the 17

geomechanical monitoring system and the central monitoring system (CMS). The 18

geomechanical monitoring system is used to assess the condition of mined excavations to 19

assure no unsafe conditions are allowed to develop. The CMS continuously assesses the status 20

of the fixed radiation monitoring equipment, electrical power, fire alarm systems, ventilation 21

system, and other facility systems including water tank levels. In addition, the CMS collects data 22

from the meteorological monitoring system. 23

#### Specific Process Inspection Requirements E-1b 24

20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.15(b)(4)), requires inspections of specific 25

portions of a facility, rather than the general facility. These include container storage areas and 26 miscellaneous units. Both are addressed below. 27

E-1b(1) Container Inspection 28

Containers are used to manage TRU mixed waste at the WIPP facility. These containers are 29 described in Permit Part 3. Off-site CH TRU mixed waste will arrive in 55-gallon drums arranged 30 as seven (7)-packs, in Ten Drum Overpacks (TDOP), in 85-gallon drums arranged as four (4) 31 packs, in 100-gallon drums arranged as three (3) packs, in standard waste boxes (SWB) or in 32 standard large box 2s (SLB2s). The waste containers will be visually inspected to ensure that 33 the waste containers are in good condition and that there are no signs that a release has 34 occurred. This visual inspection shall not include the center drums of 7-packs and waste 35 containers positioned such that visual observation is precluded due to the arrangement of waste 36 assemblies on the facility pallets. If CH TRU mixed waste handling operations should stop for 37 any reason with containers located on the TRUPACT-II Unloading Dock (TRUDOCK storage 38 area of the WHB Unit) or in room 108 while still in the Contact-Handled Packages, primary 39 waste container inspections could not be accomplished until the containers of waste are 40 41

removed from the shipping containers.

# Table E-1 Inspection Schedule/Procedures

System/Equipment Name	Responsible Organization	Inspection a Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection	Procedure Number and Inspection Criteria
Air Intake Shaft Hoist	Underground Operations	Preoperational <sup>c</sup> See Lists 1b and c	WP 04-HO1004 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Safety Equipment, Communication Systems, and Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> in accordance with Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) requirements
Ambulances (Surface and Underground) and related emergency supplies and equipment	Emergency Services	Weekly See List 11	PM00003012-FP0030 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and Required Equipment <sup>n</sup>
Adjustable Center of Gravity Lift Fixture	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1410 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> and Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Backup Power Supply Diesel Generators	Facility Operations	Monthly See List 3	WP 04-ED1301 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> and Leaks/Spills by starting and operating both generators. Results of this inspection are logged in accordance with WP 04-AD3008.
Facility Inspections (Water Diversion Berms)	Facility Engineering	Annually See List 4	WP 10-WC3008 Inspecting for Damage, Impediments to water flow, and Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Central Monitoring Systems (CMS)	Facility Operations	Continuous See List 3	Automatic Self-Checking
Contact-Handled (CH) TRU Underground Transporter	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1603 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and area around transporter clear of obstacles
Conveyance Loading Car	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1406 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , path clear of obstacles, and guards in the proper place
Facility Transfer Vehicle	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1204 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , path clear of obstacles, and guards in the proper place

1 2

System/Equipment Name	Responsible Organization	Inspection a Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection	Procedure Number and Inspection Criteria
Exhaust Shaft	Underground Operations	Quarterly See List 1a	PM041099 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Leaks/Spills
Eye Wash and Shower Equipment	Equipment Custodian	Weekly See List 5	WP 12-IS1832 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
		Semi-annually See List 2a	WP 12-IS1832 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Fluid Levels-Replace as Required
Fire Detection and Alarm System	Emergency Services	Semiannually See List 11	PM00002712-FP0027 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Operability of indicator lights and, underground fuel station dry chemical suppression system. Inspection is per NFPA 17
Fire Extinguishers <sup>i</sup>	Emergency Services	Monthly See List 11	PM00003612-FP0036 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, Expiration, seals, fullness, and pressure
Fire Hoses	Emergency Services	Annually (minimum) See List 11	12-FP0031PM000031 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Leaks/Spills
Fire Hydrants	Emergency Services	Semi-annual/ annually See List 11	PM00003412-FP0034 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Leaks/Spills
Fire Pumps	Emergency Services	Weekly/annually See List 11	WP 12-FP0026 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, valves, and panel lights
Fire Sprinkler Systems	Emergency Services	Monthly/ quarterly See List 11	WP 12-FP0025 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, static pressures, and removable strainers
Fire and Emergency Response Trucks (Seagrave Fire Apparatus, Emergency One Apparatus, and Underground Rescue Truck)	Emergency Services	Weekly See List 11	PM00003312-FP0033 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, and Required Equipment <sup>n</sup>
Forklifts Used for Waste Handling (Electric and Diesel forklifts, Push-Pull Attachment)	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1201, WP 05-WH1207, WP 05-WH1401, WP 05-WH1402, WP 05-WH1403, and WP 05- WH1412 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and On board fire supression sustant

System/Equipment Name	Responsible Organization	Inspection a Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection	Procedure Number and Inspection Criteria
Hazardous Material Response Equipment	Emergency Services	Weekly See List 11	12-FP0033PM000033 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and Required Equipment <sup>n</sup>
Miners First Aid Station	Emergency Services	Quarterly See List 11	12-FP0035PM000035 Inspecting for Required Equipment <sup>n</sup>
Mine Pager Phones (between surface and underground)	Facility Operations	Monthly See List 3	WP 04-PC3017 Testing of PA and Underground Alarms and Mine Page Phones at essential locations
MSHA Air Quality Monitor	Maintenance/ Underground Operations	Daily <sup>I</sup> See Lists 1 and 10	WP 12-IH1828 Inspecting for Alr Quality Monitoring Equipment Functional Check
Perimeter Fence, Gates, Signs	Security	Daily See List 6	PF0-008010 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Posted Warnings
Personal Protective Equipment (not otherwise contained in emergency vehicles or issued to individuals): —Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus	Emergency Services	Weekly See List 11	12-FP0029PM000029 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Pressure
Public Address (and Intercom System)	Facility Operations	Monthly See List 3	WP 04-PC3017 Testing of PA and Underground Alarms and Mine Page Phones at essential locations Systems operated in test mode
Radio Equipment	Facility Operations	Daily <sup>/</sup> See List 3	Radios are operated daily and are repaired upon failure
Rescue Truck (Surface and Underground)	Emergency Services	Weekly See List 11	12-FP0030PM000030 and 12- FP0033PM000033 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, and Required Equipment <sup>n</sup>
Salt Handling Shaft Hoist	Underground Operations	Preoperational See List 1b and c	WP 04-HO1002 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Safety Equipment, Communication Systems, and Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> in accordance with MSHA requirements

System/Equipment Name	Responsible Organization	Inspection a Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection	Procedure Number and Inspection Criteria
Self-Rescuers	Underground Operations	Quarterly See List 1c	WP 04-AU1026 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Functionality in accordance with MSHA requirements
Surface TRU Mixed Waste Handling Area <sup>k</sup>	Waste Handling	Preoperational or Weekly <sup>®</sup> See List 8	WP 05-WH1101 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, Required Aisle Space, Posted Warnings, Communication Systems, Container Condition, and Floor coating integrity
TRU Mixed Waste Decontamination Equipment	Waste Handling	Annually See List 8	WP 05-WH1101 Inspecting for Required Equipment <sup>n</sup>
Underground Openings— Roof Bolts and Travelways	Underground Operations	Weekly See List 1a	WP 04-AU1007 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Underground— Geomechanical Instrumentation System (GIS)	Geotechnical Engineering	Monthly See List 9	WP 07-EU1301 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Underground TRU Mixed Waste Disposal Area	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1810 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Leaks/Spills, mine pager phones, equipment, unobstructed access, signs, debris, and ventilation
Uninterruptible Power Supply (Central UPS)	Facility Operations	Daily See List 3	WP 04-ED1542 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> and Deterioration <sup>b</sup> with no malfunction alarms. Results of this inspection are logged in accordance with WP 04- AD3008.
TDOP Upender	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1010
			Operability <sup>m</sup> and Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Vehicle Siren	Emergency Services	Weekly See List 11	Functional Test included with inspection of the Ambulances, Fire Trucks, and Rescue Trucks
Ventilation Exhaust	Maintenance Operations	Quarterly See List 10	IC041098 Check for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> and Calibration of Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Equipment

System/Equipment Name	Responsible Organization	Inspection a Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection	Procedure Number and Inspection Criteria
Waste Handling Cranes	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1407 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and Leaks/Spills
Waste Hoist	Underground Operations	Preoperational See List 1b and c	WP 04-HO1003 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Safety Equipment, Communication Systems, and Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Leaks/Spills, in accordance with MSHA requirements
Water Tank Level	Facility Operations	Daily See List 3	SDD-WD00 Inspecting for Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and water levels. Results of this inspection are logged in accordance with WP 04-AD3008.
Push-Pull Attachment	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1401 Inspecting for Damage and Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Trailer Jockey	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1405 Inspecting for Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> and Deterioration <sup>b</sup>
Explosion-Isolation Walls	Underground Operations	Quarterly See List 1	Integrity and Deterioration <sup>b</sup> of Accessible Areas
Bulkhead in Filled Panels	Underground Operations	Monthly See List 1	Integrity and Deterioration <sup>b</sup> of Accessible Areas
Bolting Robot	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1203 Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup>
Yard Transfer Vehicle	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1205 Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , Path clear of obstacles and Guards in proper place
Payload Transfer Station	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1208 Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and Guards in proper place
Monorail Hoist	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1202 Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and leaks/spills

System/Equipment Name	Responsible Organization	Inspection a Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection	Procedure Number and Inspection Criteria
Bolting Station	Waste Handling	Preoperational See List 8	WP 05-WH1203 Mechanical Operability <sup>m</sup> , Deterioration <sup>b</sup> , and Guards in proper place

1

#### Table E-1 (Continued) Inspection Schedule/Procedures Lists

List 1: Underground Operations

- a. Mining Technician \* Senior Mining Technician \* Continuous Mining Specialist \* Senior Mining Specialist \* Mine OPS Supervisor \*
- Waste Hoist Operator
   Waste Hoist Shaft Tender
- U/G Facility Operations\* Self Rescuers Shaft Technician \*
- d. Operations Engineer Supervisor U/G Services\* Senior Operations Engineer\*

List 2: Industrial Safety

- a. Safety Technician \* Senior Safety Technician \* Safety Specialist \* Safety Engineer \* Industrial Hygienist \*
- b. Fire Protection Engineering \* List 3: Facility Operations

Facilities Technician \* Senior Facilities Technician \* Facility Operations Specialist \* Central Monitoring Room Operator \* Central Monitoring Room Specialist \* Operations Engineer Senior Operations Engineer \* Facility Shift Manager Operations Technical Coordinator \* List 4: Facility Engineering Senior Engineer \* List 5: General

Equipment Custodian\* List 6: Security Security Protective \* Security Protective Supervisor \* List 8: Waste Handling Manager, Waste Operations **TRU-Waste Handler** List 9: Geotechnical Engineering Engineer Technician \* Associate Engineer \* Engineer \* Senior Engineer \* Principal Engineer\* List 10: Maintenance Operations Maintenance Technician \* Maintenance Specialist \* Senior Maintenance Specialist \* Contractor \* List 11: Emergency Services

Qualified Emergency Services Personnel Fire Protection Technician

1 2

#### Table E-1 (Continued) Inspection Schedule/Procedures Notes

- <sup>a</sup> Inspection may be accomplished as part of or in addition to regularly scheduled preventive maintenance inspections for each item or system. Certain structural systems of the WHB, Waste Hoist and Station A are also subject to inspection following severe natural events including earthquakes, tornados, and severe storms. Structural systems include columns, beams, girders, anchor bolts and concrete walls.
- <sup>b</sup> Deterioration includes: obvious visible cracks, erosion, salt build-up, damage, corrosion, loose or missing parts, malfunctions, and structural deterioration.
- <sup>o</sup> "Preoperational" signifies that inspections are required prior to the first use during a calendar day. For calendar days in which the equipment is not in use, no inspections are required. For an area this includes: area is clean and free of obstructions (for emergency equipment); adequate aisle space; emergency and communications equipment is readily available, properly located and sign-posted, visible, and operational. For equipment, this includes: checking fluid levels, pressures, valve and switch positions, battery charge levels, pressures, general cleanliness, and that all functional components and emergency equipment is present and operational.
- \* These weekly inspections apply to container storage areas when containers of waste are present for a week or more.
- <sup>9</sup> In addition, the water tank levels are maintained by the CMR and level readouts are available at any time.
- <sup>h</sup> This organization is responsible for obtaining licenses for radios and frequency assignments. They do periodic checks of frequencies and handle repairs which are performed by a vendor.
- Radios are not routinely "inspected." They are operated daily and many are used in day-to-day operations. They are used until they fail, at which time they are replaced and repaired. Radios are used routinely by Emergency Services, Security, Environmental Monitoring, and Facility Operations.
- <sup>1</sup> Fire extinguisher inspection is paperless. Information is recorded into a database using barcodes. The database is then printed out.
- <sup>k</sup> Surface CH TRU mixed waste handling areas include the Parking Area Unit, the WHB unit, and unloading areas.
- <sup>1</sup> No log forms are used for daily readings. However, readings that are out of tolerance are reported to the CMR and logged by CMR operator. Inspection includes daily functional checks of portable equipment.
- <sup>m</sup> Mechanical Operability means that the equipment has been checked and is operating in accordance with site safety requirements (e.g. proper fluid levels and tire pressure; functioning lights, alarms, sirens, and power/battery units; and belts, cables, nuts/bolts, and gears in good condition), as appropriate.
- <sup>n</sup> Required Equipment means that the equipment identified in Table F-6 is available and usable (i.e. not expired/depleted and works as designed).
- Positions are not considered RCRA positions (i.e., personnel do not manage TRU mixed waste).

3

1 2

PERMIT ATTACHMENT E Page E-20 of 2526

1

	Responsible Organization <sup>J</sup>	Inspection <sup>4</sup> Frequency and Job Title of Personnel Normally Making Inspection <sup>3</sup>	Procedure Number (Latest Revision)	Inspection Criteria		
System/ Equipment Name				Deterioration <sup>b</sup>	Leaks/ spills	Other
Horizontal Emplacement and Retrieval Equipment or <u>functionally</u> equivalent equipment	Waste Operations	Pre-evolution <sup>c.d.e.t</sup> See List 1	WP05-WH1700 PM052010 (Semi-Annual) <sup>k</sup> PM052011 (Annual) PM052013 PM052012 PM052014 (Annual)	Yes	Yes	Assembly and Operating Instructions. Electrical Inspection. Position Transducer Calibration. Till Sensor Calibration.
41-Ton Forklift	Waste Operations	Preoperational <sup>c.d.e.i</sup> See List 1	WP05-WH1602 PM074061 PM052003 (Hours of Use) PM074027 (Quarterly) PM074029 & PM074029 & PM074051 (Annual)	Yes	Yes	Pre-Operational Checks. PM performed every 100 hours of operation, every 500 hours of operation or every 5 Years. Quarterly Engine Emission Test. Annual Electrical Inspection. Annual NDE.
RH Bay	Waste Operations	Preoperational <sup>c.d.e.h.i</sup> See List 1	WP05-WH1744	Yes	NA	Floor integrity
Surface RH TRU Mixed Waste Handling Area	Waste Operations	Preoperational ' See List 1	WP- 05 WH1744	Yes	Yes	Posted Warning, Communications

1

1 C. 11

#### Table E-1a (Continued) RH TRU Mixed Waste Inspection Schedule/Procedures Lists

List 1: Waste Operations

RH Waste Handling Engineer Qualified TRU-Waste Handler List 2: Radiological Control

Radiological Control Technician

3

1

2

# ATTACHMENT L

# WIPP GROUND-WATER DETECTION MONITORING PROGRAM PLAN

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

L-1	Introd	uction						
	L-1a	Geologic	and Hydrolog	ic Characteristics				
		L-1a(1)	Geology					
		L-1a(2)	Ground-wat	er Hydrology43				
			L-1a(2)(i)	The Castile				
			L-1a(2)(ii)	The Salado				
			L-1a(2)(iii)	The Rustler				
1-2	Gene	al Regulat	orv Requireme	ents				
1.3	WIPP	Ground-w	ater Detection	Monitoring Program (DMP)—Overview				
	1.30	1-3a Scope 10						
	L-3h	Current V	119					
	L-00	L-36/1)	DMP Detect	ion Monitoring Well Construction Specification 1310				
		L-00(1)	Lab(1)(i)	WOSP-1				
			1.26/11/01	WOSP 2 1410				
			20/11/10	WOCR 2 1411				
			L 26(1)(b)	WOOD A 1411				
			1-30(1)(w)	WOOD 6 1511				
			E-30(1)(V)	WOOD 6 1519				
			F-ap(+)(Ai)	Wuar-o				
L-4	Monit	oring Progr	ram Descriptio	n <u>15</u> 42				
	L-4a	Monitorin	g Frequency.	<u>15</u> 42				
	L-4b Analytical Parameters and Hazardous Constituents							
	L-4c	Ground-w	water Surface	Elevation Measurement, Sample Collection and				
		Laborato	rv Analysis	<u></u>				
		L-4c(1)	Ground-wat	er Surface Elevation Monitoring Methodology				
			L-4c(1)(i)	Field Methods and Data Collection				
				Requirements				
			L-4c(1)(ii)	Ground-water Surface Elevation Records and				
				Document Control 1946				
		1-4c(2)	Ground-wat	er Sampling. 2017				
		- +0(-)	1 - 4c(2)(i)	Ground-water Pumping and Sampling Systems 2017				
			1-4c(2)(ii)	Serial Samples 2219				
			L-4c(2)(iii)	Final Samples 2420				
			L-4c(2)(iv)	Sample Preservation Tracking Packaging and				
			E-40(2)(iv)	Transportation 2622				
			1-40(2)(4)	Sample Documentation and Custody 2622				
		1.40(2)	Laboratory	Analysis 2824				
	I Ad	Calibratic	Laboratory	2025				
	L-40	L Ad/1	Camolina	ad Groundwater Elevation Manitoring Equipment				
		L-40(1)	Calibration	Page and a second secon				
		1 44/01	Calibration	as Surface Elevation Manifering Equipment				
		L-40(2)	Ground-wat	er Sunace Elevation Monitoring Equipment				
	1.4-	Otaliation	Calibration	charatany Applicational Data				
	L-40	Statistica	a Analysis of L	aboratory Analytical Data				

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-i

	L-4e(1) L-4e(2) L-4e(3) L-4e(4)	Temporal ar Distributions Data Anoma Comparisor	nd Spatial Analysis
L-5	Reporting L-5a Laboratory L-5b Statistical L-5c Annual Set	/ Data Repor Analysis and a Environme	ts
L-6	<b>Records Manager</b>	ment	
L-7	Project Organizat L-7a Environme L-7b Team Lea L-7c Field Team L-7d Safety Ma L-7e Analytical L-71 Quality As	en and Resp Intal Monitor der nager Laboratory A surance (QA	eensibilities
L-8 <u>7</u>	Quality Assurance L-897a Data Qual Overview L-897a(1)	Data Quality L-7a(1)(i) L-7a(1)(ii)	nts
	L-7atzrouality As	surance Obj	3784
	L-86 <u>7a(2)</u> L-7a(2)(ii)	(1) Precision L-8b(1)(i) L-8b(1)(ii)	Accuracy Accuracy Objectives for Field Measurements 38 Accuracy Objectives for Laboratory Measurements 3922
	L-8b(2)	Precision L-8b(2)(i) L-8b(2)(ii)	Precision Objectives for Field Measurements 3932 Precision Objectives for Laboratory Measurements 3022
	L-8b(2)7a L-7a(2)(iv) L-7a(2)(v) L-7a(2)(v) L-8e7b Design Co L-8e7c Instruction L-8e7d Document L-8e7d Document L-8e7e Inspection L-8e7e Inspection L-8e7f Control of L-8i7g Control of L-8i7h Corrective L-8k7i Quality As	(2)(iii) (8b(4) (8b(6))) (8b(6) (8b(6))) (8b(6)) (8b(6))) (8b(6	Contamination Completeness Representativeness Comparability es, and Drawings
L-98	References		

3834

3932 4033 4033

4133

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-ii

### LIST OF TABLES

### Table

Title

Table L-1	Hydrological Parameters for Rock Units Above the Salado at WIPP	
Table L-2	Ground-water Surface Elevation Measurement Frequency	
Table L 3	Analytical Parameter List for the WIPP Detection Monitoring Program	
Table L-3	Standard Operating Procedures Applicable to the DMP	
Table L-4	List of Culebra Weils in the DMP, Current as of January 2011	
Table L-5	Details of Construction for the Six Culebra Detection Monitoring Wells	
Table L-46	Analytical Parameter and Sample Requirements	

Comment [tk1]: Need to add correct hyperlinks

#### LIST OF FIGURES

### Figure

# Title

Figure L-1	General Location of the WIPP Facility
Figure L-2	WIPP Facility Boundaries Showing 16-Square-Mile Land Withdrawal Boundary
Figure L-3	Site Geologic Column
Figure L-4	Generalized Stratigraphic Cross Section above Bell Canyon Formation at WIPP Site
Figure L-5	Schematic North-South Cross Section Through the North Delaware
	BasinCulebra Freshwater-Head Potentiometric Surface
Figure L-6	Gulebra Freshwater Head Contour Surface
Figure L-7	Total Dissolved Solids Distribution in the Gulebra
Figure L-86	WQSP Detection Monitoring Well Locations
Figure L-0	WIPP DMP Monitor Well Locations and Potentiometric Surface of the Culebra
	Near the WIPP Site as of 12/96 (adjusted to equivalent freshwater head)
Figure L-107	As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-1
Figure L-148	As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-2
Figure L-129	As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-3
Figure L-1310	As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-4
Figure L-1411	As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-5
Figure L-1512	As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-6
Figure L-16	Reserved
Figure L-17a1	3 Example Chain-of-Custody Record
Figure L 17b	Example Request for Analysis
Figure L-18	Ground-water Surface Elevation Monitoring Locations
Figure L-14	Groundwater Level Surveillance Wells (insert represents the groundwater
	surveillance wells in WIPP Land Withdrawal Area)

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-iii

1

	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS/UNITS
ASER	Annual Site Environmental Report
ARAVR	Approval/Variation Request
Bell Canyon	Bell Canyon Formation
ogs	below ground surface
Castile	Castile Formation
cm	centimeter(s)
Culebra	Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation
CofC/RFA	Chain chain of Custodycustody/request for analysis
C	degree(s) Celsius
%C	percent completeness
Dewey Lake	Dewey Lake Redbeds Formation
DI	deionized
DMP	Detection Monitoring Program
DMW	Detection Monitoring Well
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DQO	data quality objectives
EM	Environmental Monitoring
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ES&H	Environment, Safety, and Health Department
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
ft	foot (feet)
t <sup>2</sup>	square foot (square feet)
g/cm <sup>3</sup>	gram(s) per cubic centimeter
SWSP	Groundwater Surveillance Program
HWDU	hazardous waste disposal unit(s)
km	kilometer(s)
km²	square kilometer(s)
b/in. <sup>2</sup>	pound(s) per square inch
LCS	laboratory control samples
CSD	lab control sample duplicate
0	limit-of detection
os Medaños	Los Medaños Member of the Rustler Formation
Sector and the sector of the s	Land Withdrawal Act
LWA	
LWA m	meter(s)
LWA m M&DC	meter(s) monitoring and data collection
n M&DC m <sup>2</sup>	meter(s) monitoring and data collection square meter(s)
LWA m M&DC m <sup>2</sup> Magenta	meter(s) monitoring and data collection square meter(s) Magenta Member of the Rustler Formation

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-iv

I

mi mi <sup>2</sup>	mile(s)
mi	square mile(s)
MOC	More per Kilogram
MOC	Management and Operating Contractor
MPa	megapascal(s)
mv	millivolt(s)
NIST	National Institute for Standards and Technology
NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code
NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
PRS	Project Records Services
QA	Quality Assurance
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
QAO	Quality Assurance Objective
QC	quality control
PABC	Performance Assessment Baseline Calculation
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REA	equest for analysis
RIDS	Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule
RPD	relative percent difference
Rustler	Rustler Formation
%R	percent recovery
Salado	Salado Formation
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plans
SC	specific conductance
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
STLB	sample tracking logbook
TDS	total dissolved solids
TOC	total organic carbon
TOX	total organic carbon
TPU	tonar organic narogens
TEDE	transuranic
ISDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facilities
100	iorar ouspernants office
UTLV	upper tolerance limit value
VOC	volatile organic compound
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WLMP	WIPP Groundwater Level Monitoring Program
WQSP	Water Quality Sampling Program
µg/L	microgram(s) per liter
	DEDMIT ATTACHMENT
	Percel
	rage L-V

µm micrometers

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-vi

(This page intentionally blank)

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-vii

## ATTACHMENT L

#### WIPP GROUND-WATER DETECTION MONITORING PROGRAM PLAN 2

#### L-1 Introduction 3

1

The Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) facility is subject to regulation under Title 20 of the New 4

Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), Chapter 4, Part 1, Subpart V (20.4.1.500 NMAC). As 5

required by 20.4.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.601), the Permittees shall demonstrate 6

that the environmental performance standards for a miscellaneous unit, which are applied to the 7

hazardous waste disposal units (HWDUs) in the underground, will be met. 8

is a geologic repository for the disposal of transuranic (TRU) waste. The disposal horizon is 0

located 2,150 feet (It) (655 meters (m)) below the land surface in the bedded salt of the Salade 10

Formation (hereinaftor referred to as the Salado). At WIPP, water-bearing units occur both 11

above and below the disposal horizon. Ground water monitoring of the uppermost aquifer below 12

the facility is not proposed at WIPP because that water bearing unit (the Bell Canyon 13

Formation) is not considered a credible pathway for a release from the repository. This is 14

because the repository horizon and water-bearing sandstones of the Bell Canyon Formation are 15

separated by over 2000 ft (610 m) of very low permeability evaporite sediments (Addendum L1, 16

Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)). No natural credible pathway has been established 17

for contaminant transport to aquifers below the repository horizon, as there is no hydrologie 18

communication between the repository and underlying aquifer. The U.S. Environmental 19 Protection Agency (EPA) concluded in 1990 that natural vertical communication does not exist

20 based on their review of numerous studies (EPA, 1990). Furthermore, drilling boreholes for 21

around-water monitoring through the Salado and the Castile Formation (horeinafter referred to 22

as the Castile) into the Bell Canyon aquifer would compromise the isolation properties of the 23

repository medium. 24

The WIPP facility is located in Eddy County in southeastern New Mexico (Figure L-1), within the 25

Pecos Valley section of the southern Great Plains physiographic province. The facility is 26 26

miles (mi) (42 kilometers [km]) east of Carlsbad, New Mexico, in an area known as Los 27

Medaños (the dunes). Los Medaños is a relatively flat, sparsely inhabited plateau with little 28 water and limited land uses. Disposal of TRU mixed waste in the WIPP facility is subject to

29 regulation under 20.4.1.600 NMAC. As required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 30

§264.601), the Permittees shall demonstrate that the environmental performance standards for 31

a miscellaneous unit, which are applied to the hazardous waste disposal units (HWDUs) in the 32

underground, will be met. 33

The WIPP facility (Figure L-2) consists of 16 sections of Federal land in Township 22 South, 34

Range 31 East. The 16 sections of Federal land were withdrawn from the application of public 35

land laws by the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA), Public Law 102-579. The WIPP LWA 36

transferred the responsibility for the administration of the 16 sections from the Department of 37

Interior, Bureau of Land Management, to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). This law 38 specified that mining and drilling for purposes other than support of the WIPP project are

39 prohibited within this 16 section area with the exception of Section 31. Oil and gas drilling

40 activities are restricted in Section 31 from the surface down to 6,000 feet. 41

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-1 of 6753

The WIPP facility includes a mined geologic repository for the disposal of transuranic (TRU) 1 waste. The disposal horizon is located 2,150 feet (ft) (655 meters [m]) below the land surface in 2 the bedded salt of the Salado Formation (Salado). At the WIPP facility, water-bearing units 3 occur both above and below the disposal horizon. Groundwater monitoring of the uppermost 4 5 aquifer below the facility is not required because the water-bearing unit (the Bell Canyon 6 Formation (Bell Canyon)) is not considered a credible pathway for a release from the 7 repository. This is because the repository horizon and water-bearing sandstones of the Bell Canyon are separated by over 2,000 ft (610 m) of very low-permeability evaporite sediments 8 (Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1 (DOE, 2009)). No natural credible pathway has 9 been established for contaminant transport to water-bearing zones below the repository horizon, 10 11 as there is no hydrologic communication between the repository and underlying water-bearing zones. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) concluded in 1990 that natural vertical 12 communication does not exist based on review of numerous studies (EPA, 1990). Furthermore, 13 drilling boreholes for groundwater monitoring through the Salado and the Castile Formation 14 15 (Castile) into the Bell Canyon would compromise the isolation properties of the repository 16 medium. Ground-water monitoring at the WIPP facility in the past has focused focuses on the Culebra 17 member Member (Culebra) of the Rustler Formation (hereinafter referred to as the 18 CulebraRustler) because it represents the most significant hydrologic contaminant migration 19 pathway to the accessible environment. The Culebra is the most significant water-bearing unit 20 lying above the repository, basinGroundwater movement in the Culebra, using results from the 21 basin-scale groundwater modelMedeling of ground water movement in the Culebra, based on 22 the concept of a ground water basin ris discussed in detail in Amended Renewal Application 23 Addendum L1, Section L1-2a, Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009). 24 25 The WIPP site is located in Eddy County in southeastern New Mexico (Figure L-1) within the Peeces Valley section of the southern Great Plains physiographic province (Powers et al., 1978). 26 27 The site is 26 miles (mi) (42 kilometers [km]) east of Carlsbad, New Mexico in an area known as Les Medaños (the dunes). Les Medaños is a relatively flat, sparsely inhabited plateau with little 28 water and limited land uses. 29 The WIPP site (Figure L-2) consists of 16 sections of Federal land in Township 22 South, 30 Range 31 East. The 16 sections of Federal land were withdrawn from the application of public 31 land laws by the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA), Public Law 102-579. The WIPP LWA 32 transferred the responsibility for the administration of the 16 sections from the Department of 33 Interior, Bureau of Land Management, to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). This law 34 spesified that mining and drilling for purposes other than support of the WIPP project are 35 prohibited within this 16 section area with the exception of Section 31. Oil and gas drilling 36 37 activities are restricted in Section 31 from the surface down to 6.000 feet. This monitoring plan addresses requirements for sample collection, Culebra ground-water 38 39 surface elevation monitoring, Culebra ground-water flow direction and rate determination, data management, and reporting of Culebra ground-water monitoring data. It also identifies analytical 40 indicator parameters and hazardous constituents selected to assess Culebra ground-water 41 quality, and establishes personnel responsibilities for the WIPP ground-water detection 42

43 monitoring program (DMP). Because quality assurance is an integral component of the ground-

44 water sampling, analysis, and reporting process, quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC)

45 elements and associated data acceptance criteria are included in this plan.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-2 of 6754 Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold

1	Instructions for performing field activities that will be conducted in conjunction with this sampling
2	Table 1.3) which are maintained in facility files and which comply with the applicable
3	requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporation 40 CFB § 264.97 (d))lield operating
-	procedures of accessed throughout this plan. Procedures are required for each aspect of the
6	Culebra ground-water sampling process, including Culebra ground-water surface elevation
7	measurement. Culebra ground-water flow direction and rate determination, sampling equipment
8	installation and operation, field water-guality measurements, and sample collection. These
9	procedures prescribe proper field sampling techniques. Samples Data required by this plan will
10	be collected by trained qualified personnel under the supervision and direction of qualified
11	engineers, scientists, or other technical personnel in accordance with SOPs (Table L-3).
12	L-1a Geologic and Hydrologic Characteristics
13	L-1a(1) Geology
14	The WIPP site facility is situated within the Delaware Basin bounded to the north and east by
15	the Capitan Reef, which is part of the larger Permian Basin, located in the south-central region
16	of North America. During the Permian period, which came to a close about 245 million years
17	ago, ancient seas covered the basin. Their later evaporation resulted in the deposition of a thick
18	sequence of evaporites. Addendum L1, Section L1-1 of the Amended Renewal Application
19	(DOE, 2000) precents a detailed discussion of the regional geologic history. Three major
20	evaporite-bearing formations were deposited in the Delaware Basin (see Figures L-3 and L-4
21	and Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-1 (DOE, 2009) for more detail):
1.22.11	The Question which is used through a support of the Description Compared to a
22	<ul> <li>The castle - which terms and helical to the part of the terms of the consists of a part 2 825 ft /861</li> </ul>
23	interbedded annydrites and naite. Its upper boundary is at a depth of about 2,025 if (801
24	m) below ground surface (bgs), and its thickness at the wifer facility is 1,250 it (561 m).
-	- The repository is located in the Salado, which overlies the Castile and resulted from
25	<ul> <li>The repository is located in the Galado, which introvening the outline and restriction areas, prolonged designations that produced predominantly ballite with some carbonates.</li> </ul>
20	provides and clay seams its upper boundary is at a denth of about 850 ft (259 m)
20	any vines, and the source source of the sour
20	bgs, and it is about 2,000 it (010 in) thick in the repeatery area.
29	The Rustler Formation (hereinalter referred to as the Rustler) was deposited in a
30	lacoonal environment during a major freshening of the basin and consists of carbonates,
31	anhydrites, and halites. Its beds consist of clay and anhydrite and contain small amounts
32	of brine. The Rustler's upper boundary is about 500 ft (152 m) bgs, and it ranges up to
33	350 ft (107 m) in thickness in the repository area.
34	These evaporite-bearing formations lie between two other formations significant to the geology
35	and hydrology of the WIPP site/acility. The Dewey Lake Redbeds Formation (Dewey Lake)
36	overlying the Rustler is dominated by nonmarine sediments and consists almost entirely of
37	mudstone, claystone, siltstone, and interbedded sandstone (see Amended Renewal Application
38	Addendum L1, Section L1-1c(6) of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)). This

39 formation forms a 500-ft- (152-m) thick barrier of fine-grained sediments that retard the

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-3 of 6753 Formatted: Font: Bold

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit

Nevember 30, 2010, January 31, 2012

downward percolation of water into the evaporite units below.<sup>+</sup> The Bell Canyon Formation
 (hereinafter referred to as the Bell Canyon)—is the first water-bearing unit below the repository
 (see <u>Amended Renewal Application</u> Addendum L1, Section L1-1c(2) of the <u>Amended Renewal</u>

4 Application (DOE, 2009)) —and is confined above by the thick evaporite sequences deposits of

5 the Castile above. It consists of 1,200 ft (366 m) of interbedded sandstone, shale, and siltstone.

The Salado was selected to host the WIPP repository for several reasons. First, it is regionally extensive, underlying an area of more than 36,000 square mi (mi<sup>2</sup>) (93,240 square kilometers

8 [km<sup>2</sup>]). Second, its permeability is extremely low. Third, salt behaves mechanically in a plastic

9 | manner under pressure (the lithostatic pressure at the disposal horizon is mere

10 thanapproximately 2,000-200 pounds per square inch [lb/in.<sup>2</sup>] or 13.84.9 megapascals [MPa])

11 and eventually moves deforms to fill any opening (referred to as creep). Fourth, any fluid

12 remaining in small fractures or openings is saturated with salt, is incapable of further salt

13 dissolution, and has probably remained in place for millions of yearssince deposition. Finally,

14 the Salado lies between the Rustler and the Castile (Figure L-64), which contain very low

15 permeability layers that help confine and isolate waste within and keep water outside of the

16 WIPP repository (see Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-1c(5) and L1-

17 1c(3) of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)).

18 L-1a(2) Ground-water Hydrology

19 The general hydrogeology of the area surrounding the WIPP facility is described in this section

20 starting with the first geologic unit below the Salado. Addendum L1, Section L1-2a of the

21 Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009) provides more detailed discussions of the local and

22 regional hydrogeology. Relevant hydrological parameters for the various rock units above the

23 Salado at WIPP are summarized in Table L-1.

24 L-1a(2)(i) The Castile

25 The Castile is a basin-filling evaporite sequence of sediments surrounded by the Capitan Reef.

26 The Castile represents a major regional ground-water aquitard that effectively prevents upward

27 migration of water from the underlying Bell Canyon. Fluid present in the Castile is very restricted

28 because evaporites do not readily maintain pore space, solution channels, or open fractures at 29 depth. Drill-stem tests conducted in the Castile during construction of the WIPP facility lound

30 determined its permeability to be lower than detection limits; however, the hydraulic conductivity

has been conservatively estimated to be less than  $10^{-8}$  ft (3 ×  $10^{-9}$  m) per day. A description of

32 | the Castile brine reservoirs outside the WIPP facility area is provided in Addendum L1, Section

33 L1-2a(2)(b) of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009).

34 L-1a(2)(ii) The Salado

The Salado is an evaporite sequence that filled the remainder of the Delaware Basin and lapped extensively over the Capitan Reef and the back-reef sediments beyond. The Salado consists of approximately 2,000 ft (610 m) of bedded halite, with interbeds or seams of anhydrite, clay, and

"White there may be come uncertainty even the amount of vertical recharge occurring within the Rectar, the issue is only of eignificance to large term portements calculations in which releases from the repeatary even through the enables of a regration pathway reculting transmission (individually) in the WIPP area. The concentration of vertical restances are bounded in the modeling by assuming that under future climate conditions (which area assumed to be cover and water), the ground water surface clovation restart table; reserved evenues of which time the water table tands to minic topography.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-4 of 6754

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit

polyhalite. It acts hydrologically as a regional confining bed. The porosity of the Salado is very 1 low and naturally interconnected pores are probably nonexistent in halite at the depth of the 2 disposal horizon. Fluids associated with the Salado occur mainly as very small fluid inclusions in 3 the halite crystals and also occur between crystal boundaries (interstitial fluid) of the massive 4 crystalline salt formation; fluids also occur in clay seams and anhydrite beds. Permeabilities 5 measured from the surface in the area of the WIPP facility range from 0.01 to 25 microdarcies. 6 The most reliable value, 0.3 microdarcy, was obtained from well DOE-2. The results of 7 permeability testing at the disposal horizon are within the range of 0.001 to 0.01 microdarcy-As 8 a comparison, the permeability of the Salado is roughly a thousand times lette than that of a 9 lewer clay liner required of surface impoundments and landlills, assuming similar thicknesses. 10

11 L-1a(2)(iii) The Rustler

12 The Rustler has been the subject of extensive characterization activities because it contains the

13 most transmissive hydrologic units overlying the Salado Salado (specifically, the Culebra

14 Member, herealter referred to as the Culebra). Within the Rustler, five members have been

15 identified. Of these, the Culebra is the most transmissive and has been the focus of most of the 16 Rustler hydrologic studies.

nostiel hydrologic studies.

17 The Culebra is the first continuous water-bearing zone above the Salado and is up to

18 approximately 30 ft (9 m) thick. Water in the Culebra is usually present in fractures and is

19 confined by overlying gypsum or anhydrite and underlying clay and anhydrite beds. The

20 hydraulic gradient within the Culebra in the area of the WIPP facility is approximately 20 ft per

21 mi (3.8 m per km) and becomes much flatter south and southwest of the site (Figure L-56).

Culebra transmissivities in the Nash Draw range up to 1,250 square ft (ft<sup>2</sup>) (116 square m [m<sup>2</sup>]) per day; closer to the WIPP facility, they are as low as 0.007 to 74 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.00065 to 7.0 m<sup>2</sup>) per

24 day. The Gulebra is hydrologically conlined

#### The two primary types of field tests that are being used to characterize the flow and transport characteristics of the Culebra are hydraulic tests and tracer tests.

27 The hydraulic tests consist of pump, injection, and slug testing of wells across the study area

28 (see Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended

29 Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)). The most detailed hydraulic test data exist for the WIPP

30 hydropads (e.g., H-19). The hydropads generally comprise a network of three or more wells 31 located within a few tens of meters of each other. Long-term pumping tests have been

32 conducted at hydropads H-3, H-11, and H-19 and at well WIPP-13 (see <u>Amended Renewal</u>)

33 Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE,

34 2009)). These pumping tests provided transient pressure data both at the hydropad and over a

35 much larger area. Tests often included use of automated data-acquisition systems, providing

36 high-resolution (in both space and time) data sets. In addition to long-term pumping tests, slug

37 tests and short-term pumping tests have been conducted at individual wells to provide pressure

data that can be used to interpret the transmissivity at that well (see <u>Amended Renewal</u>

39 Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)). (Additional short-term pumping tests have been conducted in the Water Quality

2009)). (Additional chort term pumping tests have been conducted in the Water Quality
 Sampling Program (WQSP) wells (see Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended

42 Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)) Detailed cross-hole hydraulic testing has recently been

43 conducted at the H-19 hydropad (see Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-

44 2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)).

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-5 of 6753

The hydraulic tests are designed to yield pressure data for estimation of hydrologic 1 characteristics Pressure data are collected during hydraulic tests for estimation of hydrologic 2 characteristics such as transmissivity, permeability, and storativity. The pressure data from long-3 term pumping tests and the interpreted transmissivity values for individual wells are used for 4 input to flow modelingin calibration of flow models. Some of the hydraulic test data and 5 interpretations are also important for the interpretation of transport characteristics. For instance, 6 the permeability values interpreted from the hydraulic tests at a given hydropad are needed for 7 interpretations of tracer test data at that hydropad. 8 There is strong evidence that the permeability of the Culebra varies spatially and varies 9 sufficiently that it cannot be characterized with a uniform value or range over the region of 10 interest to WIPP. The transmissivity of the Culebra varies spatially over six-ten orders of 11 magnitude from east to west in the vicinity of WIPP. Over the site, Culebra transmissivity varies 12 over three to four orders of magnitude. Figure D6-30 shows variation in transmissivity in the 13 Culobra in the WIPP region. Transmissivities have been calculated at 1 × 10<sup>-73</sup> square feet per 14 day (1 × 10<sup>-9-13</sup> square meters per second) at well P-18SNL-15 east of the WIPP site to 1 × 10<sup>3</sup> 15 square feet per day (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> square meters per second) at well H-7 in Nash Draw (see 16 Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended Renewal 17 Application (DOE, 2009)). 18 Transmissivity variations in the Culebra are believed to be controlled by the relative abundance 19 of open fractures rather than by primary (that is, depositional) features of the unit (Roberts 20 2007). Lateral variations in depositional environments were small within the mapped region, and 21 primary features of the Culebra show little map-scale spatial variability, according to Holt and 22 Powers, 1988. Direct measurements of the density of open fractures are not available from core 23 samples because of incomplete recovery and fracturing during drilling, but observation of the 24 relatively unfractured exposures in the WIPP shafts suggests that the density of open fractures 25 in the Culebra decreases to the east. Qualitative correlations have been noted between 26 transmissivity and several geologic features possibly related to open-fracture density, including 27 (1) the distribution of overburden above the Culebra, (2) the distribution of halite in other 28 members of the Rustler, (3) the dissolution of halite in the upper portion of the Salado, and (4) 29 the distribution of gypsum fillings in fractures in the Culebra-30 Measured matrix paresities of the Culebra vary from 0.03 to 0.30. Fracture paresity values have 31 not been measured directly, but interpreted values from tracer tests at the H 2, H 6, and H 11 32 hydropads vary from 5 × 10<sup>4</sup> to 3 × 10<sup>4</sup>. Data are insufficient to determine whether the average 33 perosity of the matrix and fractures varies significantly on a regional scale. 34 Geochemical and radioisotope characteristics of the Culebra have been studied. There is 35 considerable variation in ground-water geochemistry in the Culebra. The variation has been 36 described in terms of different hydrogeochemical facies that can be mapped in the Culebra. A 37 halite-rich hydrogeochemical facies exists in the region of the WIPP site and to the east, 38 approximately corresponding to the regions in which halite exists in units above and below the 39 Culebra, and in which a large portion of the Culebra fractures are gypsum filled. An anhydrite-40 rich hydrogeochemical facies exists west and south of the WIPP site, where there is relatively 41 less halite in adjacent strata and where there are fewer gypsum-filled fractures. Radiogenic 42 isotopic signatures suggest that the age of the ground-water in the Culebra is on the order of 43 10,000 years or more (see Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1 of the Amended 44

45 Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)).

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-6 of 6754

I.
Previeus conceptual models of the Culebra (see Addendum L1 of the Amended Renewal 4 Application (DOE, 2009)) have not been able to consistently relate the hydrogeochemical facies, 5 radiogenic ages, and flow constraints (that is, transmissivity, boundary conditions, etc.) in the 6 Culebra. 7 8 9 However, 1 The Permittees have proposed a new conceptualization of ground-water flow that could explains observed geochemical facies and ground-water flow patterns. The new 10 conceptualization, referred to as the basin-scale ground-water basin-model, offers a three 11 dimensional approach to treatment of Supra-Salado rock units, and assumes vertical leakage 12 (albeit very slow) between rock units of the Rustler exists (where hydraulic head is present). 13 Flow in the Culebra is considered transient. This differe from previous interpretations, wherein 14 ne flow was assumed between Rustler units. The model assumes that the ground-water system 15 is dynamic and is responding to the drying of climate that has occurred since the late 16 Pleistocene period. The Permittees assumed that recharge rates during the late Pleistocene 17 period were sufficient to maintain the water table near land surface, but has since dropped 18 significantly. Therefore, the impact of local topography on ground-water flow was greater during 19 wetter periods, with discharge from the Rustler in the vicinity of the WIPP facility to the west 20 toward Nash Draw; flow is currently dominated by more regional topographic effects during drier 21 times, with flow in the Rustler from the vicinity of the WIPP facility towards the Balmorhea-22 Loving Trough to the south to a more southerly direction. 23

The radiogenic ages of the Culebra ground-water and the geochemical differences provide

with other units and are important constraints on conceptual models of ground-water flow.

information potentially relevant to the ground-water flow directions and ground-water interaction

1

2

3

24 Four hydrogeochemical facies within the Culebra in the WIPP area (DOE, 1997) have been identified:

- Zone A saline (2-3 molal) NaCl brines, Mg/Ca ratio of 1.2 to 2;
- 27 . Zone B dilute (<0.1 molal) CaSO4 rich ground water;
- 28 . Zone C variable composition (0.3-1.6 molal); Mg/Ca ratio 0.3 to 1.2; and
- 29 Zone D high salinities (3-7 molal); K/Na weight ratios (0.2).

30 Facies A ground-water flow is slow, has not changed over the last 14,000 years, and probably recharged more than 600,000 years age. Vertical leakage occurs to Facies A, and both lateral 31 and vertical ground water flow rates are extremely low. Facies B occurs in an area with greater 32 vertical fracturing in the Culebra, and therefore exhibits more vertical infiltration and more rapid 33 lateral flow in the Culebra. Flow in Facies B is currently to the south (it may mix with Facies C 34 water to the southeast) but was more toward the west during wetter climates; vertical infiltration 35 from the Dewey Lake to the Culebra Facies B is assumed by the Permittees to have occurred 36 during wetter climates in an area south of the WIPP site. Facies C water was not diluted to 37 ereate Facies B water. Facies C occurs "in between" Facies A and B, and ground water flow 38 entered the Culebra prior to the climate change (te drier conditions) 14,000 years ago. Facies G 39 ground-water flow is to the south at WIPP, where the Permittees theorized that it joins with a 40 small amount of Facies A solute being transported from the east. Ground water flow rate in 41 Facies C is faster than in A but slower than in B, and the proposed recharge area from the 42 Dewey Lake to the Culebra was to the northeast of the WIPP site. Faciles C ground water 43

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-7 of 6753

1 2 3	infiltrated into the Dewey Lake and then interacted with anhydrite and halite along its path to the Culebra, wherein it mixed with smaller amounts of Facies A water, the Permittees concluded that the preceive of anhydrite within Rustler units does not preclude slow downward infiltration (POF 1997).
4 5 6 7 8 9	Using data from 22 wells, Siegel, Robinson, and Myers (1991) originally defined four hydrochemical facies (A, B, C, and D) for Culebra groundwater based primarily on ionic strength and major constituents. With the data now available from 59 wells. Domski and Beauheim (2008) defined transitional A/C and B/C facies, as well as a new facies E for high-moles per kilogram (molal) Na-Mg CI brines.
10 11	<ul> <li>Zone B - Dilute (ionic strength ≤0.1 molal) CaSO<sub>4</sub>-rich groundwater, from southern high- transmissivity area. Mg/Ca molar ratio 0.32 to 0.52.</li> </ul>
12	<ul> <li>Zone B/C - Ionic strength 0.18 to 0.29 molal, Mg/Ca molar ratio 0.4 to 0.6.</li> </ul>
13 14	<ul> <li>Zone C - Variable composition waters, ionic strength 0.3 to 1.0 molal, Mg/Ca molar ratio 0.4 to 1.1.</li> </ul>
15	<ul> <li>Zone A/C - Ionic strength 1.1 to 1.6 molal, Mg/Ca molar ratio 0.5 to 1.2.</li> </ul>
16	<ul> <li>Zone A - Ionic strength &gt;1.66 molal, up to 5.3 molal, Mg/Ca molar ratio 1.2 to 2.4.</li> </ul>
17 18	<ul> <li>Zone D - Defined based on inferred contamination related to potash refining operations. Ionic strength 3 molal, K/Na weight ratios of ~0.2.</li> </ul>
19 20	<ul> <li>Zone E - Wells east of the mudstone-halite margins, ionic strength 6.4 to 8.6 molal, Mg/Ca molar ratio 4.1 to 6.6.</li> </ul>
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The low-ionic-strength (≤0.1 molal) facies B waters contain more sulfate than chloride, and are found southwest and south of the WIPP site within and down the Culebra hydraulic gradient from the southermost closed catchment basins, mapped by Powers (2006), in the southwest arm of Nash Draw. These waters reflect relatively recent recharge through gypsum karst overlying the Culebra. However, with total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations in excess of 3.000 mg/L, the facies B waters do not represent modern-day precipitation rapidly reaching the Culebra. They must have residence times in the Rustler sulfate units of thousands of years before reaching the Culebra.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	The higher-ionic-strength (0.3-1 molal) facies C brines have differing compositions, representing meteoric waters that have dissolved CaSO <sub>4</sub> , overprinted with mixing and localized processes. Facies A brines (ionic strength 1.6 - 5.3 molal) are high in NaCl and are clustered along the extent of halite in the middle of the Tamarisk Member of the Rustler Formation. Facies A represents old waters (long flow paths) that have dissolved halite and/or connate brine, or a mixture of the two from facies E. The facies D brines, as identified by Siegel, Robinson, and Myers (1991), are high-ionic-strength solutions found in western Nash Draw with high K/Na ratios representing waters contaminated with effluent from potask refining operations. Similar water is found at shallow depth (<36 ft (11 m)) in the upper Dewey Lake at SNL-1, just south of the petite facies E waters are year which ionic strength (5.4
38 39	- 8.6 molal) NaCl brines with high Mg/Ca ratios. The facies E brines are found east of the WIPP

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-8 of 6754 Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto

- site, where Rustler halite is present above and below the Culebra, and halite cements are 1
- present in the Culebra. They represent primitive brines present since deposition of the Culebra 2 and immediately overlying strata. 3
- Previously, the Permittees and others believed the geochemistry of Culebra ground-water was 4
- inconsistent with flow directions. This was based on the premise that Facies C water must 5
- transform to facies B water (e.g. become "fresher"), which is inconsistent with the observed flow 6
- direction. It is now believed that the observed geochemistry and flow directions can be 7 explained with different recharge areas and Culebra travel paths (Amended Renewal
- 8 Application Addendum L1 of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)). 9
- Head distribution in the Culebra (see Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1 of the 10
- Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009)) is consistent with basin-scale ground-water basin 11 modeling results indicating that the generalized ground-water flow direction in the Culebra is 12
- currently north to south. However, the fractured nature of the Culebra, coupled with variable 13
- fluid densities, can cause localized flow patterns to differ from general flow patterns. 14
- Ground-water levels in the Culebra in the WIPP region have been measured for several 15
- decades. Water level rises have been observed in the WIPP region and are possibly related to 16
- 17 recovery from impacts caused by shaft installation, response to potash offluent discharge, or are
- unexplained, as discussed below. The extent of water-level rise observed at a particular well 18
- depends on several factors, but the proximity of the observation point to the potential cause of 19
- the water-level rise appears to be a primary factor. 20

In the vicinity of the WIPP site, water-level rises are believed to be caused by recovery from 21

- drainage into the shafts. Drainage into shafts has been reduced by a number of grouting 22
- programs over the years, most recently in 1993 around the Air Intake Shaft. Northwest of the 23
- site, in and near Nash Draw, water levels appear to fluctuate in response to effluent discharge 24 from potash mines. Correlation of water-level fluctuation with potash mine discharge, however,
- 25 cannot be proven definitively because sufficient data on the timing and volumes of discharge 26
- are not available. Water-level rises in the visinity of the H-9 hydropad, about 6.5 miles south of 27
- the site, are thought to be caused by neither WIPP activities nor petash mining discharge. They 28
- remain unexplained. The Permittees continue to monitor ground-water levels throughout the 29
- region, 30
- Groundwater levels in the Culebra in the region around the WIPP facility have been measured 31
- in numerous wells. Water-level rises have been observed and are attributed to causes 32
- discussed in the Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) (DOE, 2009). The 33
- extent of water-level rise observed at a particular well depends on several factors, but the 34
- proximity of the observation point to the cause of the water-level change appears to be a 35 primary factor. 36
- Hydrological investigations conducted from 2003 through 2007 provided new information, some 37
- of it confirming long-held assumptions and some offering new insight into the hydrological 38
- system around the WIPP site. A Culebra monitoring network optimization study was completed 39
- by McKenna (2004) and updated by Kuhlman (2010) to identify locations where new Culebra 40
- monitoring wells would be of greatest value and to identify wells that could be removed from the 41
- network with little loss of information. 42

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-9 of 6753

As discussed in Amended Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) (DOE, 1 2009), extensive hydrological testing has been performed in the new wells. This testing has 2 involved both single well tests, which provide information on local transmissivity and 3 heterogeneity, and long-term (19 to 32 days) pumping tests that have created observable 4 5 responses in wells up to 5.9 mi (9.5 km) away. Inferences about vertical flow directions in the Culebra have been made from well data collected 6 by the Permittees. Beauheim (1987) reported flow directions towards the Culebra from both the 7 underlying unnamed lewer member Los Medaños Member (Los Medaños) of the Rustler and 8 the overlying Magenta member (Magenta) of the Rustler\_over across the WIPP site, 9 10 indicating that the Culebra acts as a drain for the units around it. This is consistent with results of basin-scale ground-water basin-modeling. 11 Recent simulations to enhance the conceptual understanding of the geohydrology of the Rustler 12 can be found in Corbot and Knupp, 1996. 13 Use of water from the Culebra in the WIPP facility area is guite limited because of its varying 14 yields and high salinity. The Culebra is not used for water supply in the immediate WIPP site 15 facility vicinity. Its nearest use is approximately 7 mi (11 km) southwest of the WIPP facility, 16 where salinity is low enough to allow its use for livestock watering (shown, for example, as Well 17 18 H 8 in Figure L 7 >. However, the Permittees identified the Culebra as potential aquifer in the Compliance Certification Application (DOE, 1996). Because of this, the Culebra will be the focus 19 of future ground-water monitoring at WIPP as it is also the most transmissive continuous water-20 bearing zone at WIPP and is the most likely pathway for contaminant migration. 21 L-2 General Regulatory Requirements 22 Because geologic repositories such as the WIPP facility are defined under the Resource 23 Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) as land disposal facilities and as miscellaneous units, 24 the ground-water monitoring requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 25 §§264.600 through 264.603) shall be addressed. The requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC 26 (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.90 through 264.101) applies apply to miscellaneous unit 27 treatment, storage, and disposal facilities (TSDF) only if ground-water monitoring is needed to 28 satisfy 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.601 through 264.603) environmental 29 30 performance standards. The New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) has concluded that ground-water monitoring 31 in accordance with 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 Subpart F) at the WIPP 32 facility is necessary to meet the requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 33 §§264.601 through 264.603). 34 35 L-3 WIPP Ground-water Detection Monitoring Program (DMP)-Overview L-3a Scope 36 The Permittees have established a RCRA "Ground-water Detection Monitoring Program (DMP) 37 Plan" to define and protect ground water resources at WIPP. One of the objectives of the WIPP 38 DMP is to establish, by means of ground-water sampling and analysis, an accurate and 39 representative ground water database that is scientifically defensible and demonstrates 40 regulatory compliance. In addition, the DMP will be used to determine background or existing 41

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-10 of 6754 Formatted: Font: Bold

1 2	sonditions of ground water quality and quantity, including ground water surface elevation and direction of flow, around the WIPP facility area.
-2	
3	This UMP plan governs all ground-water sampling events conducted to meet the applicable
4	requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFH 264 Subpart F-99264.00 Inrough
5	and leave the start and such data are gamered in accordance with these and other
6	applicable requirements, the ground water quary gata generated by monitoring advance will provide a constraint provide the provide database against which future acadetical database.
1	provide a comprehensive outdry outdo database against which to be analytical results
9	Permit to determine whether or not a release has occurred.
	and the second first of the second of the second
10	Ground-water monitoring at WIPP has been historically conducted by several programs
11	including the WIPP Site Characterization Program, the WIPP WQSP, and recently the WIPP
12	Ground-water Surveillance Program (GWSP). Ground-water quality and ground-water surface
13	elevation data have been collected by these programs for over 12 years at WIPP. Data from the
14	WQSP wells (which are widely distributed across the area, see Figure L 8) will be used to
15	continually define changes in the area's potentiometric surface and ground-water flow
16	directions. New monitoring wells included in the WIPP GWSP (WQSP wells 1-6a) were
17	constructed to the specifications provided in the RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Technical
18	Enforcement Guidance Document (EPA, 1986) and constitute the RCRA ground water
19	monitoring network specified in this DMP as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40
20	CFR §§264.00 through 264.101). These wells are being used to establish background ground-
21	water quality, ground water surface elevations and flow directions in accordance with 20.4.1.500
22	NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97(1) and (g) and 264.98(e)). Justification for the locations
23	of these wells (3 upgradient and 4 downgradient) is presented below.
24	There are two separate components of the Groundwater Monitoring Program, the Detection
25	Monitoring Program (DMP) and the Water Level Monitoring Program (WLMP). The first
26	component consists of a network of six Detection Monitoring Wells (DMWs). The DMWs
27	(WQSP 1-6) were constructed to be consistent with the specifications provided in the
28	Groundwater Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document and constitute the RCRA
29	groundwater monitoring network specified in the DMP. The DMWs were used to establish
30	background groundwater guality in accordance with 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §
31	264.97 and 264.98 (I)). The second component of the Groundwater Monitoring Program is the
32	WLMP, which is used to determine the groundwater surface elevation and flow direction. Table
33	L-4 is a list of the wells used in the WLMP as of January 1, 2011. The list of wells is subject to
34	change due to plugging and abandonment and drilling of new wells.

#### 35 L-3b Current WIPP DMP

The WQSP wells 1 through 5 constitute the RCRA DMP for WIPP (Figure L-9 and Permit
 Attachment B, Figure B2-3) during detection monitoring as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC
 (incorporating 40 CFR §\$264.90 through 264.101). This monitoring plan is a continuation of the
 surrent WIPP GWSP, and these wells will serve as the monitoring locations during background
 water-quality characterization and the RCRA DMP (Figure L-9 and Permit Attachment B, Figure B2-3).

- 42 Wells WQSP-1, WQSP-2, and WQSP-3 were are located directly upgradient (north) of the
- 43 WIPP shaft area. The locations of the three upgradient wells were selected to be representative
- 44 of the flow vectors of ground water moving downgradient onto the WIPP site. Figure 34 of

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-11 of 6753

Davies, 1989, shows the simulation of direction and magnitude of ground-water flow. The 1 upgradient wells were located based on the flow vectors resulting from this model simulation 2 The original WQSP observation wells, as well as those in the RCRA DMP, have been and will 3 continue to be used as piezometer wells to support collection of ground-water surface elevation 4 and ground water flow modeling data to demonstrate regulatory compliance. Well location 5 surveys for each of the seven wells were performed by the Permittees' survey personnel using 6 the State Plane Coordinates-North American Datum Model 27 method. Results of the surveys 7 are on file with the New Mexice State Engineers Department along with the acceciated 8 extraction permits for each well. 9 WOSP-4, WOSP-5, and WOSP-6 were are located downgradient (south) of the WIPP shaft 10 area in concert with the flow vectors shown by this model simulation. All three Culebra 11 downgradient wells (WQSP-4, 5, and 6) were sited to be located generally in the path of 12 contaminants that might be released from the shaft area in the Culebra based on the greatest 13 velocity magnitude of ground water flow leaving the shaft area as shown on Figure 34 of 14 Davies, 1989, and upgradient of the WIPP LWA boundary - Well WQSP-4 was also specifically 15 located to monitor the zone of higher transmissivity around wells DOE 1 and H 11, which may 16 represent faster flow path away from the WIPP shaft area to the LWA boundary (Amended 17 Renewal Application Addendum L1, Section L1-2a(3)(a)(ii) of the Amended Renewal Application 18 (DOE, 2009)). 19 The Culebra has been selected for the focus of the DMP due to it being regionally extensive and 20 exhibiting the most significant transmissivity of the water bearing units at WIPP. The Culebra 21 has been extensively studied during all past hydrologic characterization programs and found to 22 be the most likely hydrologic pathway to the accessible environment or compliance point for any 23 potential contamination. 24 The compliance point is defined in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.95) as the 25 vertical plane immediately downgradient of the hazardous waste management unit area (i.e., at 26 the downgradient footprint of the WIPP repository). Permit Part 5 specifies the point of 27 compliance as "the vertical surface located at the hydraulically downgradient limit of the 28 Underground HWDUs that extends to the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation." The 29 RCRA ground water menitoring network was not installed immediately downgradient of this 30 plane. However, because the Underground HWDUs at WIPP are Subpart X units, and due to 31 the relatively unique containment and transport aspects of the site, monitoring at the proposed 32 locations will allow for detection of releases prior to release of these contaminants to the general 33 public at the LWA boundary. Wells WQSP-4, 5, and 6 are situated to demonstrate that during 34 the operating life of the facility (including closure), release of contaminants to the general public 35 will not occur. 36 37 The DMP wells were located to intercept flow vectors downgradient away from the WIPP shafts 38 area based on current density corrected potentiometric surfaces (Figure L-9). Based on natural 30 contours of the potentiometric surface (Figure L-0) the selected well placement locations are 40 downgradient of the general flow direction from the shaft area. Transport modeling of 41 contaminant migration throughout the Culebra to the Land Withdrawal Act boundary suggestse 42 that travel times from the Waste Handling Shaft to the LWA boundary could be on the order of 43 thousands of years, il, under worst case conditions; This assumes conditions where hazardous 44 constituents could migrate from the sealed repository (post closure) to the Culebra via the 45

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-12 of 6754

Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto

sealed shafts, .- If contaminants were to migrate from the disposal facility, they would be 1 detected by the DMP wells located midway between the shafts and LWA such that samples 2 from wells could detect these contaminants long before they could reach the LWA boundary. 3 Potentiometric surfaces and ground-water flow directions defined for the Culebra prior to large-4 scale pumping in the WIPP facility -area and the excavation of WIPP facility shafts suggests that 5 flow was generally to the south-southeast from the waste disposal and shaft areas (Mercer, 6 1983: Davies, 1989). Recent (December 1996) pPotentiometric surface maps of the Culebra 7 adjusted for density differences show very similar characteristics. The wells used for measuring 8 the potentiometric surface of the Culebra are measured monthly and listed in Table L-4. 9 (Figure L-9), WQSP-4, WQSP-5, and WQSP-5 have been located downgradient of the waste 10 emplacement areas according to present day adjusted potentiometric surfaces. 11 Potentiometric surfaces that have not been corrected for density differences and that centain 12 transient relics of previous pumping-drawdown events do not reflect accurate natural ground-13 water flow directions and should not be used to assess the adequacy of ground-water 14 menitoring locations. Previous potentiametric surface maps showing a potentiometric low and 15 hydrologic gradient toward the area between WQSP-3 and WQSP-4 had not been adjusted to 16 freehwater head equivalents, and had also been influenced by the long term pumping at well H-17 19. Hence, some historic maps may not represent natural Culebra flow directions or gradients. 18 and appropriateness of the RCRA monitoring network cannot be definitively evaluated using 19 these data. 20 L-3b(1) DMP-Detection Monitoring Well Construction Specification 21 Diagrams of the six DMP wells are shown in Figures L-7 through L-12. Detailed descriptions of ... 22 geology and construction methods may be found in DOE 1995. 23 L-3b(1)(i) WQSP-1 24 The six WQSP DMP Culebra wells were Well WQSP 1 was drilled between September 13 and 25 October 16, 1994. The, to a total depth of- each well is shown in Table L-5,737 ft (225 m) bgs-26 The wells were borehole was drilled through the Culebra and extends 15 ft (6 m) into the 27 unnamed lower member of the RustlerLos Medañnos as shown in Table L-5-. The wells 28 werewas drilled to the top of the Culebra -a depth of 693 ft (211 m) bgs using compressed air as 29 the drilling fluid and. The interval from 693 to 737 ft (226 to 211 m) bgs (the total depth) was 30 drilled using air mist with a fearning agent as the drilling fluid. WQSP 1 was drilled to 695.6 # 31 (212 m) bgs using a 9%-in. drill bit. The wells were then and was cored from 695.6 to 737 ft (212 32 to 225 m) bgs-using a 5¼-in. core bit to cut 4-in. -(0.1-m) diameter core to total depth. See 33 Table L-5 for the drilling and coring intervals for each well. After coring, WQSP-DMP wells were 34 WQSP 1 was reamed to 9% -in. (0.3 m) in diameter to total depth. After reaming, wells were 35 WQSP-1 was cased from the surface to total depth 737 ft (224.6 m) bgs with 5-in. (0.1-m) (0.28-36 in. [0.7-centimeter (cm)] wall) blank fiberglass casing with in-line 5-in.- (0.1-m) diameter 37 fiberglass 0.02-in. (0.1-cm) slotted screen across the Culebra interval as shown in Table L-5 38 from 702 to 727 It (214 to 222 m) bgs. The annulus between the borehole wall and the 39 casing/screen is packed with sand from 640 to 651 ft (195 to 198 m) bgs and with 8/16 Brady 40 gravel as indicated in Table L-5, from 651 to 737 ft (198 to 225 m) bgs. Based on core log 41 results, the Culebra is located from 699 to 722 ft (213 to 220 m) bgs (see Figure L-10). 42

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-13 of 6753 Formatted: Body

Comment [r2]: DMP<sup>2</sup> WQSP has been deleted from the acronyms table.

Comment [r3]: DMP?

#### 1 L-3b(1)(ii) WQSP-2

Well WQSP-2 was drilled between September 6 and 12, 1994, to a total depth of 846 It (257.9 2 m) bgs. The borehole was drilled through the Culebra and extends 12.3 ft (3.7 m) into the 3 unnamed lower member of the Rustler. The well was drilled to a depth of 800 ft (244 m) bgs 4 with a 914 in. drill bit using compressed air as the drilling fluid. The interval from 800 to 846 ft 5 (244 to 258 m) bas (the total depth) was drilled with a 514-in. core bit to cut 4-in.- (0.1-m) 6 diameter core using air mist with a feaming agent as the drilling fluid. After coring, WQSP-2 was 7 reamed to 9% in. (0.3 m) in diameter to total depth. WQSP 2 was cased from the surface to 846 8 It (258-m) bgs with 5-in. (0.1-m) (0.28-in. (0.7-cm) wall) blank liberglass casing with in-line 5-in.-9 (0.1-m) diameter fiberglass 0.02-in. (0.1-cm) slotted screen across the Culebra interval from 811 10 to 836 ft (247 to 255 m) bas. The annulus between the borehole wall and the casing/screen is 11 packed with sand from 790 to 793 ft (241 to 242 m) bas and with 8/16 Brady gravel from 793 to 12 846 ft (242 to 268 m) bgs. Based on core log results, the Culebra is located from 810.1 to 833.7 13 It (247 to 254 m) bgs (see Figure L 11). 14

### 15 L-3b(1)(iii) WQSP-3

Well WQSP-3 was drilled between October 21 and 26, 1994, to a total depth of 889 ft (268 m) 16 bgs. The borehole was drilled through the Culebra and extends 10 ft (3.1 m) into the unnamed 17 lewer member of the Rustler. The well was drilled to a depth of 880 ft (268 m) bgs using 18 compressed air as the drilling fluid. The borehole was cleaned using air mist with a foaming 19 agent. WQSP-3 was drilled to 833 ft (254 m) bgs using a 9%-in. drill bit and was cored from 833 20 to 879 ft (254 to 268 m) bgs using a 514-in, core bit to cut 4-in.- (0.1-m) diameter core. After 21 coring, WQSP-3 was reamed to 9% in. (0.3 m) in diameter to total depth of 880 ft (268 m) bgs. 22 WQSP-3 was eased from the surface to 880 ft (268 m) bgs with 5-in, (0.1 m) (0.28-in, [0.7 cm] 23 wall) blank fiberglass casing with in line 5-in.- (0.1-m) diameter fiberglass 0.02-in. (0.1-om) 24 slotted screen across the Culobra interval from 844 to 869 ft (257 to 265 m) bgs. The annulus 25 between the borehole wall and the casing/screen is packed with sand from 827 to 830 ft (252 to 26 253 m) bgs and with 8/16 Brady gravel from 830 to 880 ft (253 to 268 m) bgs. Based on core log 27 results, the Culebra is located from 844 to 870 ft (257 to 265 m) bgs (see Figure L 12). 28

29 L-3b(1)(iv) WQSP-4

Well WQSP-4 was drilled between October 5 and 10, 1994, to a total depth of 800 ft (244 m) 30 bgs. The borehole was drilled through the Culebra and extends 9.2 ft (2.8 m) into the unnamed 31 lower member of the Rustler. The well was drilled to a depth of 740 ft (226 m) bgs with a 9% in. 32 drill bit using compressed air as the drilling fluid. The interval from 740.5 to 798 ft (225.7 to 243 33 m) bgs was cored with a 514-in. (0.13-m) core bit to cut 4-in.- (0.1-m) diameter core using air 34 mist with a feaming agent as the drilling fluid. After coring, WQSP-4 was rearned to 9¼ in. (0.3 35 m) in diameter to total depth of 800 ft (244 m) bgs. WQSP-4 was cased from the surface to 800 36 ft (244 m) bgs with 5 in. (0.1 m) (0.28 in. [0.7 cm] wall) blank fiberglass casing with in line 5 in.-37 (0.1-m) diameter fiberglass 0.02-in. (0.1-cm) slotted screen across the Culebra interval from 764 38 39 to 789 ft (233 to 241 m) bos. The annulus between the borehole wall and the casino/screen is packed with cand from 752 to 755 ft (229 to 230 m) bgc and with 8/16 Brady gravel from 755 to 40 800 ft (230 to 244 m) bgs. Based on core log results, the Culebra is located from 766 to 790.8 ft 41 (233 to 241 m) bgs (see Figure L 13). 42

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-14 of 6754

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit

#### 1 L-35(1)(v) WQSP 5

2 Well WQSP-5 was drilled between October 12 and 19, 1994, to a total depth of 681 ft (208 m)

3 bgs. The borehole was drilled through the Culebra and extends into the unnamed lower member

4 of the Rustler. The well was drilled to a depth of 676 ft (206 m) bgs using compressed air as the

5 drilling fluid. The borehole was cleaned using air mist with a fearning agent. WQSP 5 was drilled

6 to 648 ft (108 m) bgs using a 9% in. drill bit and was cored from 648 to 676 ft (108 to 206 m) bgs

7 using a 514-in, core bit to out 4-in, (0.1 m) diameter core. After coring, WQSP 5 was reamed to

8 9% in. (0.3 m) in diameter to total depth of 681 ft (208 m) bgs. WQSP 5 was cased from the

9 surface to 681 ft (208 m) bgs with 5 in. (0.1 m) (0.28 in. [0.7 cm) wall) blank fiberglass casing

10 with in-line 5-in, (0.1-m) diameter fiberglass 0.02-in, (0.1-cm) slotted screen across the Culebra

11 interval from 646 to 671 ft (197 to 205 m) bgs. The annulus between the borehole wall and the

12 casing/screen is packed with cand from 623 to 626 ft (190 to 101 m) bgs and with 8/16 Brady

13 gravel from 626 to 681 It (101 to 208 m) bgs. Based on core log results, the Culebra is located

14 from 648 to 674.4 ft (198 to 205.6 m) bgc (see Figure L-14).

#### 15 L-3b(1)(vi) WQSP-6

16 Well WQSP-6 was drilled between September 26 and October 3, 1994, to a total depth of 616.6

17 It (187.9 m) bgs. The berehole was drilled through the Culebra and extende 9.7 It (3 m) into the

18 unnamed lower member of the Rustler. The well was drilled to a depth of 367 II (112 m) bgs

19 using compressed air as the drilling fluid. The interval from 367 to 616 ft (112 to 188 m) bgs (the total depth) was drilled using brine as the drilling fluid. WQSP 6 was drilled to 668 ft (173 m) 4-

20 total depth) was drilled using brine as the drilling fluid. WQSP 5 was drilled to 668 fl (173 m) 4 21 in. (0.1-m) It bgs using a 9%-in. drill bit and was sored from 568 to 616 fl (173 to 188 m) bgs

22 using a 514 in. coro bit to cut 4 in. - (0.1 m) diameter core. After coring, WQSP 6 was reamed to

23 01/4 in. (0.3 m) in diameter to total depth of 616.6 ft (188 m) bgs. WQSP 6 was cased from the

24 surface to 616.6 ft (188 m) bgs with 5 in. (0.1 m) (0.28 in. (0.7 cm) wall) blank fiberglass casing

25 with in line 5-in.- (0.1-m) diameter fiberglass 0.02-in. (0.1-sm) slotted screen across the Culebra

26 interval from 581 to 606 It (177 to 185 m) bgs. The annulus between the borehole wall and the

27 easing/screen is packed with sand from 567 to 570 H (173 to 173.7 m) bgs and with 8/16 Brady

28 gravel from 570 to 616.6 ft (174 to 188 m) bgc. Based on core log results, the Culebra is located

29 from 582 to 606.9 ft (177 to 185 m) bgs (see Figure L 15).

### 30 L-4 Monitoring Program Description

31 The WIPP DMP has been designed to meet the ground-water monitoring requirements of

32 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.90 through 264.101). The following sections of the monitoring plan specify the components of the DMP.

# 34 L-4a Monitoring Frequency

35 The seven RCRA menitoring wells have been sampled on a semiannual basis since their

36 installation in 1995 to establish background ground water quality in accordance with 20.4.1.500

37 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97 and 264.98). This has included at least two full rounds

38 of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (Incorporating 40 CFR §264) Appendix IX analysis for samples from each

39 of the proposed RCRA detection monitoring wells. In addition, ground-water samples were

40 collected from the DMP wells (from March 1997 until waste emplacement) at a frequency of four

41 cample replicates collected semiannually from each well for the indicator parameters of pH,

42 specific conductance (SC), total organic carbon (TOC), and total organic halogen (TOX) to

43 further actablish background ground-water quality until detection monitoring in accordance with

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-15 of 6753

20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98) becomes applicable. A total of four rounds of 1 2 Appendix IX analysis will be conducted for samples from each well for use in background ground-water quality determinations. 3 Detection monitoring will start when the Permittees emplace waste and continue through the 4 post closure phase as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.00[c]). During 5 detection monitoring, one sample and one sample duplicate will be collected semiannually from 6 each well in the RCRA detection monitoring network. As shown in Table L-2, the DMP will 7 continue to collect ground-water quality samples for all seven wells on a semiannual basis 8 during the life of the DMP. 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(g)[2]) provides that 9 an alternate sampling frequency to that provided in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 10 11 \$264.98) may be proposed by the Permittees. Given the nature and rate of ground-water flow in the area surrounding WIPP, collecting and analyzing one sample semiannually will be protective 12 of human health and the environment because any hazardous constituent leaving the 13 underground disposal facility will not have the potential to migrate beyond the ground water 14 menitoring network in a one-year time frame. Greund water flow characteristics are presented in 15 detail in Addendum L1, Section L1-2a of the Amended Renewal Application (DOE, 2009). 16 Ground-water surface elevations will be monitored in each of the sixeeven DMWsDMP wells on 17 a monthly basis. The ground-water surface elevation in each DMW DMP well will also be 18 measured prior to each annual sampling event. The gGround-water surface elevation 19 measurements in the WLMP wellsether existing WQSP well sites will also be monitored on a 20 monthly basis when accessible to supplement the area water-level database and to help define 21 regional changes in ground water flow directions and gradients. The characteristics of the 22 DMWRCRA-DMP (sampling frequency, location) will be evaluated if significant changes are 23 observed in the ground-water flow direction or gradient. If any change occurs which could affect 24 the ability of the DMP to fulfill the requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR 25 \$264 Subpart F), the Permittees shall promptly notify NMED in writing and apply for a permit 26 medification, if appropriate. 27 28 L-4b Analytical Parameters and Hazardous Constituents The parameters listed in Part 5, Table 5.4.a and hazardous constituents listed in Part 5, Table 29 5.4.b analytes of interest are analytes of interest measured as part of the DMP, to establish 30 31 background ground water quality prior to emplacement of waste include all indicator parameters 32 and all other parameters listed in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264) Appendix IX-Field measurements of pH, SC, temperature, chloride, Eh, total iron, and alkalinity are also 33 measured during background sampling -34

The DMP was initiated upon waste emplacement, at which time the semiannual samples will be 35 analyzed for the parameters listed in Table L-3. Parameters to be analyzed by the contract 36 laboratory such as specific conductance, total dissolved solids, total suspended solids, density, 37 pH, total organic carbon, and total organic halogens were included as indicator parameters 38 because of their universal commonality to ground water. Parameters such as chloride, alkalinity, 39 calcium, magnesium, and potassium were included as matrix-specific general indicator 40 parameters. Calcium, magnesium, potassium, chloride, and iron may be deleted during 41 detection monitoring, with prior approval of NMED. Organic and inorganic compounds on the 42 right hand side of Table L-3 were chosen because they will occur in the waste to be disposed at 43 the WIPP facility. Additional hazardous constituantsconstituentsparameters may be identified 44 through changes to the list of hazardous waste numbers authorized for disposal at the WIPP 45

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-16 of 6754

1	facility, the tentalively identified compound (TIC) process specified in the Waste Analysis Plan,	
~	Part 5 Table 5.4 bits OMP list unless the Parmittees provide justification for their omission	
3	a n-hazardous constituent not in 40 CER \$264 Annendix (X), and this on siston is approved	Formatted: No underline Font color: Auto
5	by NMED.	Formatted, No undefine, ront color. Auto
6	L-4c Ground-water Surface Elevation Measurement, Sample Collection and Laboratory	
7	Analysis	
8	Ground-water surface elevations will be measured in each DMWwell prior to ground-water	
9	sample collection. Ground-water will be extracted using serial and final sampling methods.	
10	Serial samples will be collected until ground-water field indicator parameters stabilize or three	
11	well bore volumes, whichever occurs first, after which the final sample for complete analysis will	
12	be collected. Final samples will then be analyzed for the parameters and constituents in Part 5,	
13	Tables 5.4.a and 5.4.b. OMP analytical suite.	
14	L-4c(1) Ground-water Surface Elevation Monitoring Methodology	
15	The WIPP ground-water level monitoring program (WLMP) activities are conducted in	
16	accordance with the WIPP facility SOPs listed in Table L-3, is a subprogram of the DMP. The	
17	quality accurance activities of the WLMP are in strict accordance with WP 13.1, and the quality	
18	assurance implementing procedure specific to ground-water surface elevation monitoring is	
19	WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1014 <sup>a</sup> , Current versions of both WP 13-1 and WP 02-EM1014 are	
20	maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.	
21	Groundwater surface elevation measurements will be taken monthly at each of the six DMWs	
22	and prior to the annual sampling event. Additionally, Ground-water surface elevation monitoring	
23	is in progress new and will continue through the pest closure sare period specified in Permit	
24	Part 7. This section of the plan addresses the activities of the WLMP during the preoperational	
25	and operational phases of WIPP.	
26	Collection of ground-water surface elevation data is required by 20.4.1.500 NMAG	
27	(incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(f)). These data also provide:	
28	<ul> <li>Data collection as required by the Environmental Monitoring Plan.</li> </ul>	
29	<ul> <li>A means to fulfill commitments made in the Final Environmental Impact Statement</li> </ul>	
30	(FEIS).	
31	<ul> <li>A means to comply with future ground water inventory and monitoring regulations.</li> </ul>	
32	- Input for making land use decisions, (i.e., designing long-term active and passive	
33	institutional controls for the sile).	

\* WR-02-EM1014 "Groundwater Level Measurements" is a technical procedure that epselvice the steps followed by Etwitenmental Mentoring (EM) personnel for making manual ground water level measurements in ground water wells in the visionity of the WIPP tagility. The procedure provides general instructions including prorequisites, safety presentions, performance frequency, quakty assurance, and records. Specific instructions are included for using the water level measurement electrical conductance prefer and data-management.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-17 of 6753

Assistance in understanding any changes to readings from the water pressure 1 transducers installed in each of the shafts to monitor water conditions behind the liners. 2 An understanding of whether or not the herizontal and vertical gradients of flow are 3 changing over time. 4 The objective of the WLMP is to extend the documented record of ground water surface 5 elevation fluctuations in the Culebra and Magenta members of the Rustler in the vicinity of the 6 WIPP facility and to most the requirements of 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 GFR 7 \$264.07(1)). Ground water surface elevation data will be collected from each well of the RCRA 8 9 DMP. Ground-water surface elevation data will also be collected from other Culebra wells, as well as monitoring wells completed in other water bearing zones overlying and underlying the 10 WIPP repository horizon (see Figure L-18) when access to those zones is possible. This 11 includes, but is not limited to, the Bell Canyon, the Forty-niner, the contact zone between the 12 Rustler and Salado, and the Dewey Lake. 13 groundwaterGround-water surface elevation measurements will be taken monthly in the other 14 15 Culebra wells as listed in Table L-4, when accessible at least one accessible completed interval at each available well pad. At well pads with two or more wells completed in the same interval. 16 quarterly measurements will be taken in the redundant wells (Wwell locations are shown in 17 Figure L-1418), Ground-water curface elevation measurements will be taken monthly at each of 18 the seven DMP wells, as well as prior to each sampling event. If a cumulative ground-water 19 surface elevation change of more than 2 feet is detected in any DMP well over the course of 20 one year which is not attributable to site tests or natural stabilization of the site hydrologic 21 system, the Permittees will notify NMED in writing and discuss the origin of the changes in the 22 Annual Culebra Groundwater Reportreport specified in Permit Part 5. Abnormal, unexplained 23 changes in ground-water surface elevation will be evaluated to determine if they may indicate 24 changes in site recharge/discharge which could affect the assumptions regarding DMW-DMP 25 well placement and constitute new information as specified in 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 26 40 CFR §270.41(a)(2)). 27 Ground-water surface elevation monitoring will continue through the post-closure care period 28 specified in Permit Part 7. The Permittees may temporarily increase the frequency of monitoring 29 to effectively document naturally occurring or artificial perturbations that may be imposed on the 30 hydrologic systems at any point in time. This will be conducted in selected key wells by 31 increasing the frequency of the manual ground-water surface elevation measurements or by 32 33 monitoring water pressures with the aid of electronic pressure transducers and remote datalogging systems. The Permittees will include such additional data in the reports specified in 34 35 Section L-5c.

Interpretation of ground-water surface elevation measurements and corresponding fluctuations 36 over time is complicated at the WIPP facility by spatial variation in fluid density both vertically in 37 well bores and areally from well to well. To monitor the hydraulic gradients of the hydrologic flow 38 systems at WIPP accurately, actual ground-water surface elevation measurements will be 39 monitored at the frequencies specified in Table L-2, and the Culebra groundwater densities of 40 the fluids in the wells listed in Table L-4 bores will be measured annually. When both of these 41 parameters are known, equivalent freshwater heads will be calculated. The concept of 42 43

freshwater head is discussed in Lucozynski (1961).

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-18 of 6754

A discussion explaining the calculation of freshwater heads from mid-fermation depth at WIPP
can be found in Haug, et al. (1987). Freshwater heads are useful in identifying hydraulis
gradients in aguifors of variable density such as those existing at the WIPP site. Freshwater
head at a given point is defined as the height of a column of freshwater that will balance the
existing pressure at that point (Lucozynski, 1961).
Measured <u>Culebra ground</u> -water surface elevation data can be converted to equivalent freshwater head from knowledge of the density of the borehole fluid, using the following formula.
$p = p \underline{\mathcal{W}} h$
where
p = freshwater head (length of freshwater headeressure)
yp = average specific gravity of the borehole fluid (unitless ratio of borehole fluid density to density of fresh water)
pg = freshwater density (mass/volume)
h = fluid column height above the datum (length)
If the freshwater density is assumed to be 1.000 gram per cubic centimeter (g/cm <sup>3</sup> ), then the equivalent freshwater head is equal to the fluid column height times the average borehole fluid specific gravity.
Density measurements are made annually. Density for the DMWS will be expressed as specific
gravity as measured in the neid ouring sampling events using a hydrometer. Preshwater nead
oblained using pressure transducare
Obtained danie pressure remained della.
density (expressed as specific gravity).
L-4c(1)(i) Field Methods and Data Collection Requirements
To obtain an accurate ground-water surface elevation measurement, a calibrated water-level measuring device will be lowered into a test well and the depth to water recorded from a known reference point. An SOP will be used when making water-level measurements for this program.
determined by reading the appropriate measurement markings on the embossed measuring
tape when the alarm is activated at the surface. WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1014 specifies the
methods to be used in obtaining groundwater-level measurements, and provide general
instructions including prerequisites, safety precautions, performance frequency, quality
assurance, data management, and records. A surrent revision of this procedure will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.
L-4c(1)(ii) Ground-water Surface Elevation Records and Document Control
All incoming data will be experiend in a timely manage thatte approximation data interacts. The
data management process for ground-water surface elevation measurements will begin with
completion of the field data sheets. Date, time, tape measurement, equipment identification

number, calibration due date, initial of the field personnel, and equipment/comments will be recorded on the field data sheets. If, for some unexpected reason, a measurement is not

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-19 of 6753

Ĩ.

possible (ie.ge., a test is under way that blocks entry to the well bore), then a notation as to why 1 the measurement was not taken will be recorded in the comment column. Personnel will also 2 use the comment column to report any security observations (i.e., well lock missing). 3 Data recorded on the field data sheets and submitted by field personnel will be subject to 4 applicable SOPs (see Table L-3), guidelines outlined in WIPP Procedures WP 02 EM3001\* and 5 WP 02-EM1014<sup>4</sup>. Current copies of these procedures are maintained within the WIPP Operating 6 Record-These procedures specify the processes for administering and managing such data. 7 The data will be entered onto a computerized work sheet. The work sheet program-will 8 calculates ground-water surface elevation in both feet and meters relative to the top of the 9 casing and also relative to mean sea level. The work sheet program adjusts will also adjust 10 ground-water surface elevations to equivalent freshwater heads. 11 A check print will be made of the work sheet printout. The check print will be used to verify that 12 data taken in the field was properly reported on the database printout. A minimum of 10 percent 13 of the spreadsheet calculations will be randomly verified on the check print to ensure that 14 calculations are being performed correctly. If errors are found, the work sheet will be corrected. 15 The data contained on the computerized work sheet will be translated into a database file. A 16 printout will be made of the database file. The data each month will then be compiled into report 17 format and transmitted to the appropriate agencies as requested by the Permittees. Ground-18 water surface elevation data and equivalent freshwater heads for theall Culebra wells in Table 19 L-4 will be transmitted to NMED by May 31 and November 30one month after data are 20 collected. Semi-annual groundwater reports will also include annotated hydrographs and trend 21 22 analysis. A computerized database file will be maintained for all ground water surface elevation data-23 Monthly and guarterly data will be appended into a yearly file. Upon verification that the yearly 24 database is free of errors, it will be appended into the project database file. A printed copy of the 25 current project database (through December of the preceding year) will be kept in the 26 Environment, Safety and Health Department (ES&H) EM fire-resistant storage area-27 L-4c(2) Ground-water Sampling 28

29 L-4c(2)(i) Ground-water Pumping and Sampling Systems

The water bearing units at WIPP are highly variable in their ability to yield water to monitoring wells. The Culebra, the most transmissive hydrologic unit in the WIPP area, exhibits transmissivities that range many orders of magnitude across the site area and is the primary focus of the DMP.

<sup>1</sup>WE 02-EM3001 "Administrative Processes for Environmental Monitoring Programs" is a management-central procedum to provide the administrative guidance to be used by Environmental Monitoring (EM) percentral-to maintain guality-central (QC) associated with EM-sampling activities and to assure that data acquired under the WIPP Environmental Monitoring Program are valid. The pressurptions and limitatories performed to a first procedure assure that any qualified paracentral adjustment agains sampling organis, that across contamination of sampling equipment is provented, and that sample hold-times are not exceeded. The Parformance perform of the procedure provides step by step instructions for Quality Assurance/Quality Centrol (QA/GC) implementation. The use of data choose and sample tracking legipoole, cample taxing from solication to cubmittal, and cellens to fails of sample results indicate the procedure to exceeding a regulatory limit.

<sup>1</sup>WP 03-EM1014 "Groundwater Lovel Management", is a technical propodura which lists the opupment required and the opurational checke necessary to perform groundwater lovel measuremente. This procedure as well as WP 02-EM3001 also provider information on performing validation and venification at taboratory data.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-20 of 6754

30

31

32

33

The ground-water pumping and sampling systems used to collect a ground-water sample from 1 the sixeeven -DMWs new DMP wells will provide continuous and adequate production of water 2 so that a representative ground-water sample can be obtained. The wells used for ground water 3 quality sampling vary in yield, depth, and pumping lift. These factors affect the duration of 4 pumping as well as the equipment required at each well. 5 The type of pumping and sampling system to be used in a well depends primarily on the aquifer 6 characteristics of the Culebra and well construction. The DMWs are DMP wells will be 7 8 individually equipped with dedicated submersible pumping assemblies. Each well has a specific type of submersible pump, matched to the ability of the well to yield water during pumping. The 9 down-hole submersible pumps are will be controlled by a variable electronic flow controller to 10 match the production capacity of the formation at each well. 11 The electronic flew controller allows personnel collecting samples to control the rate of 12 13 discharge during well purging to minimize the potential for loss of volatiles from the sample. As recommended in the "RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance 14 Document" (EPA, 1986) the wells will be purged no more than a minimum of three well bore 15 volumes or unitluntil fielded parameters have stabilized, which-ever comes first, at a rate that will 16 17 minimize the agitation of recharge water. This will be accomplished by monitoring formation pressure and matching the rate of discharge from the well as nearly as possible to the rate of 18 recharge to the well. WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1002<sup>s</sup> specifies the methods used for 19 20 controlling flow rates and monitoring formation pressure. A surrent version of this desument will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record. Well purging will performed in accordance with 21 an SOP requirements will be used in conjunction with serial sampling to determine when the 22 23 ground-water chemistry stabilizes and is therefore representative of undisturbed ground-water. The DMWs are DMP wells will be cased and screened through the production interval with 24 materials that do not yield contamination to the aquifer or allow the production interval to 25 collapse under stress (high epoxy fiberglass). Details of well construction are presented in 26 27 Section L 3b(1). An electric, submersible pump installation without the use of a packer is will be used in this instance. The largest amount of discharge from the submersible pump-will takes 28 place from a discharge pipe. In addition to this main discharge pipe, a dedicated Tellen®-sample 29 line, running parallel to the discharge pipe, is will also be-used. The sampling line is 30 manufactured from a chemically inert material. Flow through the pipe will be regulated on the 31 32 surface by a flow control valve and/or variable speed drive controller. Cumulative flow is will be measured using a totalizing flow meter. Flow from the discharge pipe is will be routed to a 33 discharge tank for disposal. 34 35

The dedicated Teflon® sampling line is will be used to collect the water sample that will undergo analysis. By using a dedicated Teflon®-sample line, the water will not be contaminated by the 36 metal discharge pipe. The sample line will branch from the main discharge pipe a few inches 37

above the pump. Flow from the sample line will be routed into the sample collection area. Flow 38

\*WP 02 EM1002 "Electric Submersible Pump Monitering System Installation and Operation" ic a technical pracedure that previd step-by-step-instructions for acquiring-ground-water samples-using electric submarsible pumps (SSPs). The procedure addresses The equipment in general, lists precedures and limitations which assure that only qualified individuals operate the equipment. rerequicits actions which assure the correct installation and operation. The procedure details how to install the va such as the surface discharge and pressure monitoring system and the pressure monitoring bubbler and how to start up and shul down the ESP.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-21 of 6753

Comment [r4]: What is the difference b/w will/will not and is/is not? "is " is used throughout this paragraph

Comment [r5]: ???

through the sample collection line is will be regulated by a flow-control valve. The sample line 1 iswill be insulated at the surface to minimize temperature fluctuations. 2 Prossure Monitoring Systems 3 The DMP wells do not require the installation of a packer because sample biases due to well 4 construction deficiencies are not present. However, pressures will be monitored using down 5 hele automatic air line bubblers in the formation to maintain the water level above the pump 6 7 intake. Pressure transducers may be used in line with bubblers to provide continual electronic monitoring through data acquisition systems. WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1002 provides 8 instructions for monitoring formation pressure using automatic airline bubblers in conjunction 9 with pressure transducers and data acquisition systems. A current version of this document will 10 be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record-11 The mobile field laberatory provides a work place for conducting field sampling and analyses. 12 13 The laboratory will be positioned near the wellhead, will be climate controlled, and will contain the necessary equipment, reagents, glassware, and detenized water for conducting the various 14 field analyses. 15 Sampling Overview 16 Two types of water samples will be collected: serial samples and final samples. Serial samples 17 will be taken at regular intervals and analyzed in the mobile field laboratory for various physical 18 and chemical parameters (called field indicator parameters). The serial sample data will be used 19 to determine whether the sample is representative of undisturbed ground water as a direct 20 function of the stabilization of field indicator parameters and the volume of the water being 21 pumped from the well. Interpretation of the serial sampling data will enable the Team Leader 22 (see Section L 7) to determine when conditions representative of undisturbed ground water are 23 attained in the pumped ground water, 24 Final samples will be collected when the serially sampled field indicator parameters have 25 stabilized and are therefore representative of undisturbed ground water-26 27 L-4c(2)(ii) Serial Samples 28

Serial sampling is the collection of sequential samples for the purpose of determining when the ground-water chemistry stabilizes and is therefore representative of undisturbed ground-water. 29 The Permittees' SOP for serial sampling will provide criteria for determining when a final sample 30 should be taken. Each DMW will be purged to no more three well bore volumes, or until field 31 32 parameters stabilize, whichever occurs first. Well stabilization occurs when the field-analyzed parameter are within ± 5% of three consecutive measurements. A well bore volume is defined 33 as the volume of water from static water level to the bottom of the well sump. Serial samples will 34 35 be analyzed in the mobile filed laboratory for field indicator parameters. will consider a serial sample representative of undisturbed ground water when the majority of field indicator 36 parameter measurements have stabilized within ±5 percent of the average of analytical results 37 for the field indicator parameter from the background ground-water quality for each DMP well-38 Nonstabilization of one or two field indicator parameters attributable to matrix interforences, 39 40 instrument drift, or other unforeseen reasons will not preclude the collection of final samples, provided the volume of purged water exceeds three well bore volumes. The Permittees will 41 report, in the operating record, any final samples collected when field indicator parameters were 42

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-22 of 6754

1 not stabilized, and will provide an explanation of why the sample was collected when field

2 indicator parameters were not stabilized and place that explanation in the WIPP facility

3 Operating Record.

4 Serial samples will be collected and analyzed to detect and monitor the chemical variation of the

5 ground-water as a function of the volume of water pumped. Once serial sampling begins, the

6 frequency at which serial samples are collected and analyzed will be left to the discretion of the

7 Permittees, Team Leader (see Section L-7), but will be performed a minimum of three times

8 during a sampling round.

9 The Permittees will use appropriate field methods to identify stabilization of the following field

indicator parameters: <u>pH</u>, chloride, divalent cations (hardness), alkalinity, total iron, pH, Eh,
 temperature, specific conductance, and specific gravity.

12 Protocols for collection of serial samples are specified in WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1006<sup>6</sup>.

13 Analysis of sorial samples are specified in WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1005<sup>2</sup>. Current versions 14 of these procedures will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.

15 The three field indicator parameters of temperature, specific condunctance Eh, and

16 pH will be determined by either an "in-line" technique, using a self-contained flow cell, or an "off-

17 line" technique, in which the samples will be collected from a Tellen®-sample line at atmospheric

18 pressure. SSThe iron, divalent cation, chloride, alkalinity, specific conductance, and specific

19 gravity samples will be collected from the Tollen® sample line at atmospheric pressure. Because

20 of the lack of sophisticated weights and measures equipment available for field density

assessments, field density evaluations will be expressed in terms of specific gravity, which is a

22 unitless measure. Density is expressed as unit weight per unit volume.

New polyethylene containers, that are certified clean by the laboratory, will be used to collect the serial samples from the Tellon<sup>®</sup> sample line.

25 Serial samples collected in laboratory-certified clean containers do not require rinsing prior to

26 sample collection. Serial campling water collected for solute and specific conductance

27 determinations will be filtered through a 0.45 micrometers (µm) membrane filter using a

28 stainless-steel, in line filter holder. Filtered water will be used to rince the sample bottle prior to

29 serial sample collection. Unfiltered ground-water will be used when determining temperature,

30 pH, specific conductance-Eh, and specific gravity. Sample bottles will be properly identified and 31 labeled.

32 Samples collected will immediately be analyzed for pH and specific conductance (SC) as these 33 parameters are most sensitive to changes in ambient temperature. The filtered sample collected

\*WP 02-EM1006 "Final Sample and Sonal Sample Collection" is a technical procedure that provides step-by-step instructions for sequing ground water camples from the WOSP wells and from privately existed wells in the workly of WIPP. The procedure addresses the equipment in general, lists preserving and limitations which assure that antiy qualited individuals operate the sequence, and prerequisite autions which assure the data quality. The presedure addresses collection of camples from private wells, collection of cample around water camples, the collection of final samples for submitted to the taberatory, and data review by the maintening task leader.

<sup>3</sup> WP 02 EM1005 "Groundwater Sanal Sample Analyzes" is a technical procedure that prevides stop by step instruminer for on ele analysis of ground water to determine ground water statistity prior tot be collision of linuit camples for analyses. The procedure addresses the equipment in general, lists presentions and limitatens which assure that only qualified individuals equivate the aquement, premissive actions which assure tota quality. The procedure addresses the field measurement of En, pH, temperature specific gravity, specific conductance, alicalinity, chiende, division ration, and total increase indicators of ground water stability.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-23 of 6753

for solute analyses will be immediately analyzed for iron and alkalinity because these two 1 2 solution parameters are extremely sensitive to changes in the ambient water-sample pressure and temperature. A sample and duplicate of filtered water will be collected and analyzed for 3 solute parameters (alkalinity, chloride, divalent cations, and Iron). Temperature, pH, and 4 specific conductance-Eh, when not measured in a flow cell, will be measured at the approximate 5 time of serial sample collection. These samples will be collected from the unfiltered sample line. 6 Samples to be analyzed for ohloride and divalent cations (after preservation with nitris acid and 7 stored at 4°C) may be stored for one week prior to analysis with confidence that the analytical 8 results will not be altered. 9 Upon completion of the collection of the last serial sample suite, the serial sample bottles 10 accrued throughout the duration of the pumping of the well will be discarded. No serial sample 11 bottles will be reused for sampling purposes of any sort. However, serial samples may be stored 12 for a period of time depending upon the need. Standard Operating Procedures (see Table L-3) 13 WIPP Procedure WP 02 EM1006 defines the protocols for the collection of final and serial 14

samples and analysis. WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1006 defines the protocols for serial sample 15 analysis. Current versions of these procedures will be maintained in the WIPP Operating 16

Record. 17

During the first two years of DMP well sorial sampling, the first sample will be analyzed as soon 18 as possible after the pump is turned on and daily thereafter for a period of four days or until the 19 field indicator parameters (chloride, divalent cations, alkalinity, and iron) stabilize. Eh. pH, and 20 SC will be continually monitored by using a flow cell with ion-specific electrodes and a real-time 21 22 readout. When detection monitoring begins, the serial sampling process may be modified and the decision to collect final samples would then be based on the number of well bore volumes 23 purged and results of the analysis of chloride, temperature, specific gravity, pH, Eh, and SC. 24 25 Removal of serial sampling from the DMP will be accomplished through a permit medification and a modification to this plan. 26

#### L-4c(2)(iii) Final Samples 27

The final sample will be collected once the measured field indicator parameters have stabilized 28 (refer to Section L-4(c)(2)(ii)). A serial sample will also be collected and analyzed for each day 29 of final sampling to ensure that samples collected for laboratory analysis are still representative 30 of stable conditions. Sample preservation, handling, and transportation methods will maintain 31 the integrity and representativeness of the final samples. 32

Prior to collecting the final samples, the collection team shall consider the analyses to be 33 performed so that proper shipping or storage containers can be assembled. Table L-64 presents 34 the sample containers, volumes, and holding times for laboratory samples collected as part of 35 the DMP.

36 The monitoring system will use dedicated pumping systems and sample collection lines from the 37 sampled formation to the well head. Non-dedicated sample collection lines from the well head to 38

the sample collection area will be discarded after each use. 39

40 Sample integrity will be ensured through appropriate decontamination procedures. Laboratory

glassware will be washed after each use with a solution of nonphosphorus detergent and 41 deionized (DI) water and rinsed in DI water. Sample containers will be new, certified clean

42

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-24 of 6754

1 containers that will be discarded after one use. Ground-water surface elevation measurement

2 devices will be rinsed with fresh water after each use. Non-dedicated sample collection manifold

assemblies will be rinsed with two gallons of fresh water, then rinsed in accordance with SOPs

4 with five gallons of 5 percent nitric acid solution and rinsed with five gallons of DI water after 5 each use. The exposed ends will be capped off during storage. Prior to the next use of the

sampling manifold, it will be rinsed a second time with DI water and a blank rinsate blank

sample will be collected to verify cleanlinessdecontamination.

sample will be collected to verify <u>cleaninessuecontamination</u>.

a Water samples will be collected at atmospheric pressure using either the filtered or unfiltered

9 Tellen<sup>®</sup>-sampling lines branching from the main sample line. Detailed protocols, in the form of
 10 SOPs (see Table L-3) define how procedures, assure that final samples will be collected in a

11 consistent and repeatable fashion. WIPP Procedure WP 02-EM1005 defines the requirements

12 for collection of final samples for analyses.

14

13 A current version of this precedure will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.

performed. The samples will be collected in new and unused glass and plastic containers (refer 15 to Table L-64). For each parameter analyzed, a sufficient volume of sample will be collected to 16 satisfy the volume requirements of the analytical laboratory (as specified by laboratory 17 Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). This includes an additional volume of sample water 18 necessary for maintaining quality control standards. All final samples will be treated, handled, 19 and preserved as required for the specific type of analysis to be performed. Details about 20 sample containers, preservation, and volumes required for individual types of analyses are 21 found in the applicable SOPspresedures generated, approved, and maintained by the contract 22 analytical laboratory. 23 Before the final sample is taken, all plastic and glass containers will be rinsed with the pumped 24 ground water, either filtered or unliitered, dependent upon analysis protocol. When the rinsing 25 procedure is completed the final sample will be collected. 26

Final samples will be collected in the appropriate type of container for the specific analysis to be

27 Final samples will be sent to the analytical contract laboratories and analyzed for parameters

and hazardous constituents specified in Part 5, table 5.4a and 5.4b.

29 general chemistry, radionuclides, metals, and selected VOCs that are specific to the waste 30 anticipated to arrive at WIPP. Table L-3 presents the specific analytes for the DMP.

31 Duplicates of the final sample will be provided to WIPP <u>Project</u> oversight agencies <u>whenas</u> 32 requested by the <u>Permittees or NMED</u>.

33 WReculting wastes resulting from the sampling and field analysis of groundwater are disposed

of in accordance with the WIPP SOPs (see Table L-3), Procedure WP 02-RC.01\* A surrent

35 version of this procedure will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record-

<sup>4</sup> WP 02 RC-01 "Ste Generated, Non-Radioactive Hazardous Waste Management Plan" is a step-by-step-precedure that delines site generate non-radioactive hazardous waste (SGNRHW) and lists responsibilities of waste management organizations including the generater, waste handlers, campling parametic, safety personnal, and compliance personnal. In addition, the procedure defines training requirements, container marking requirements, split response, and list prohibitions. A Socian of the procedure is facused on training requirements.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-25 of 6753

#### 1 L-4c(2)(iv) Sample Preservation, Tracking, Packaging, and Transportation

2 Many of the chemical constituents measured by the DMP are not chemically stable and require

3 preservation and special handling techniques. Samples requiring acidification will be treated

4 with either high purity hydrochloric acid, nitric acid, or sulfuric acid (ULTREX or equivalent),

s depending upon the standard method of treatment required for the particular parameter suite or

6 as requested by the analytical contract laboratory SOPs (see Table L-4).

The analytical contract laboratory receiving the samples will use procedures that prescribe the 7 type and amount of preservative, the container material type, and the required sample volumes 8 that shall be collected, and the shipping requirements. This information will be recorded on the 9 Final Sample Checklist for use by field personnel when final samples are being collected. The 10 Permittees will follow the EPA "RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Technical Enforcement 11 Guidance Document," Table 4-1 (EPA, 1986), when Haboratory SOPs do not specify sample 12 container, volume, or preservation requirements. WIPP SOPs (see Table L-3) provide 13 instructions to ensure proper sample preservation and shipping. 14 15 The sample tracking system at the WIPP facility uses will use uniquely numbered chain of custody/ (CoIC) Forms and request for analysis -(CoIC/RFA) forms(RFA) Forms. The primary 16 consideration for storage or transportation is that samples shall be analyzed within the 17 prescribed holding times for the analytesparameters of interest. WIPP SOPs (see Table L-3) 18 19 Procedure WP 02-EM3001 provides instructions to ensure proper sample tracking protocol. A surrent revision of this procedure will be maintained within the WIPP Operating Record. 20 Insulated shipping containers packaged with crushed ice or reusable ice packs will be used to 21 keep the samples cool during transport to the contract laboratory. Holding times for specific 22 analytical parameters require samples to be shipped by express air freight. The coolers will be 23 packaged to meet Department of Transportation and International Air Transportation 24 Association commercial carrier regulations. 25 L-4c(2)(v) Sample Documentation and Custody 26 To ensure the integrity of samples from the time of collection through reporting date, sample 27 collection, handling, and custody shall be documented. Sample custody and documentation 28 procedures for EM-sampling and analysis activities are detailed in WIPP facility SOPs (see 29

30 <u>Table L-3)</u>, Procedure WP 02-EM3001. These procedures will be strictly followed throughout the 31 course of each sample collection and analysis event. A current revision of this procedure will be 32 maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.

Standardized forms used to document samples will include sample identification numbers,
 sample labels, custody tape, the sample tracking\_-dataleg beeks, and CofC/RFA the request for
 analysis/chain of custody (RFA and CofC) form-\_An example form is shown in Figure L-13. The
 forms are briefly defined in the following subsections.

37 All sample documentation will be completed for each sample and reviewed by the Team Leader 38 or his/her designee for completeness and accuracy.

waste management practices including the managament in catelitie accumulation areas, the hazardous waste strang area tor materials awaiting shalyste. The establishment of accumulation times, and hazardous waste disposal.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-26 of 6754

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit

# 1 Sample Numbers and Labels

2 A unique sample identification number will be assigned to each sample sent to the laboratory for

analysis. The Team Leader (see Section L-7) will assign the numbers prior to sample

4 collection. The sample identification numbers will be used to track the sample from the time of

5 collection through data reporting. Every sample container sent to the laboratory for analysis will

6 be identified with a label affixed to it. Sample label information will be completed in permanent,

7 indelible ink and will contain the following information: sample identification number with sample 8 matrix type; sample location; analysis requested; time and date of collection; preservative(s), if

matrix type; sample location; analysis required any; and the sampler's name or initials.

#### 10 Custody Seals

11 Custody seals will be used to detect unauthorized sample tampering from collection through

12 analysis. For example, The custody seals that are will be adhesive-backed strips that are

13 destroyed when removed or when the container is opened. The seal will be dated, initialed, and

14 affixed to the sample container in such a manner that it is necessary to break the seal to open

15 the container. Seals will be affixed to sample containers in the field immediately after collection.

16 Upon receipt at the laboratory, the laboratory custodian will inspect the seal for integrity; a

17 broken seal will invalidate the sample.

#### 18 Sample Identification and Tracking-Loobook

19 AS-sample tracking information will be completed for each sample collected logbook (STLB)

20 ferm will be completed for each sample collected. The sample tracking informationSTLB will

21 includes the following information: CoIC/RFA form C of C number; RFA No -+ date sample(s)

22 were sent to the lab; laboratory name; acknowledgment of receipt or comments; well name and

round number. Sample codes will indicate the well location; the geologic formation where the water was collected from, the sampling round number; and the sample number. The code is

25 broken down as follows:

26

# WQ61C2R23N14

- 27 Well identification (e.g., WQSP-6 in this case)
- 28 <sup>2</sup> Geologic formation (e.g., the Culebra in this case)
- 29 <sup>3</sup> Sample round no. (Round 2)
- 30 <sup>4</sup> Sample no. (N1)

#### To distinguish duplicate samples from other samples, a "D" is added as the last digit to signify a duplicate. Sample trackingSTLB information will be completed in the field by the sampling team.

33 Sample tracking is monitored and documented with the CofC/RFA form and the shipping airbill.

34 Both of these documents are included in the data packets. Receipt at the analytical laboratory

35 may be monitored, if necessary, via the shipper's website tracking application. Samples are

36 considered complete when a copy of the original CofC/RFA form is merged with the Field Lab

37 copy of the same document, and shocked by the Team Leader. When samples are shipped, the

38 STLB will remain in the custody of the EM Section for eample tracking purposes.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-27 of 6753

1 Request for Analysis and Chain of Custody and Request for Analysis

A CotC/RFAn RFA and CotC form will be completed during or immediately following sample 2 collection and will accompany the sample through analysis and disposal. An example of the 3 RFA and ColC form is presented in Figures L 17a and L 17b . The ColC/RFA RFA and ColC 4 form will be signed and dated each time the sample custody is transferred. A sample will be 5 6 considered to be in a person's custody if: the sample is in his/her physical possession; the sample is in his/her unobstructed view; and/or the sample is placed, by the last person in 7 possession of it, in a secured area with restricted access. During shipment, the carrier's air bill 8 number serves as custody verification. Upon receipt of the samples at the analytical laboratory, 9 the laboratory sample custodian acknowledges possession of the samples by signing and 10 dating the CofC/RFA form. RFA and CofC. The completed original (top page) of the CofC/RFA 11 RFA and ColG-will be returned to the Permittees Team Leader with the laboratory analytical 12 report and becomes part of the permanent record of the sampling event. The CofC/RFA RFA 13 and ColC form also contains specific instructions to the analytical laboratory for sample 14 analysis, potential hazards, and disposal instructions. 15 16 L-4c(3) Laboratory Analysis Analysis of samples will be performed by using ma commercial laboratory. Methods will be 17 specified in procurement documents and will be selected to be consistent with EPA 18 recommended procedures in SW 846 (EPA, 1996). Additional detail on analytical techniques 19 and methods will be given in laboratory SOPs. In Part 5, Tables 5.4.a and 5.4.b - 3 presents the 20 analytical parameters and hazardous constituents for the WIPP DMP. 21 The Permittees will establish the criteria for laboratory selection, including the stipulation that 22 the laboratory follow the procedures specified in SW 846 and that the laboratory follow EPA 23 protocols unless alternate methods or protocols are approved by the NMED. The analytical 24 selected laboratory shall demonstrate, through laboratory SOPe, that SOPs that it will follow 25 appropriate EPA SW 846 requirements and the requirements specified by the EPA protocols 26 27 unless alternate methods or protocols are approved by the NMED. The analytical laboratory shall also provide documentation to the Permittees describing the sensitivity of laboratory 28 instrumentation. This documentation will be retained in the WIPP facility Oeperating Record. 29 and will be available for review upon request by NMED. Instrumentation sensitivity needs to be 30 considered because of regulatory requirements governing constituent concentrations in ground 31 32 water and the complexity of brines associated with the Culebra groundwaterWIPP repository. The laboratory will maintain documentation of sample handling and custody, analytical results, 33 and internal quality control (OC) data. Additionally, the laboratory will analyze QC samples in 34 35 accordance with this plan and its own internal QC program for indicators of analytical accuracy and precision. Data generated outside of laboratory acceptance limits will trigger an evaluation 36 and, if appropriate, corrective action as directed by the Permittees. The laboratory will report the 37 results of the environmental sample and QC sample analyses and any necessary corrective 38 39 actions that were performed. In the event that more than one analytical laboratory is used (e.g., 40 for different analyses), each one will have the responsibilities specified above. Once the initial 41 gualification criteria, as specified above, have been met, the Permittees will select a laboratory 42 based upon competitive bid. The selected laboratory will perform analytical work for the Permittees for a predetermined period of time, as specified in the contract between the 43 Permittees and the selected laboratory. As this period of performance comes to an end, a new 44 laboratory selection/competitive bid process will be initiated by the Permittees. The same or a 45

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-28 of 6754

different laboratory may be selected for the new contract period. A copy of the laboratory The 1 SOPs for the laboratory surrently under contract will be maintained in a file in -WIPP facility 2 filesthe operating record by the Permittees. The Permittees will provide NMED with an initial set 3 of applicable laboratory SOPs for information purposes, and provide NMED with any updated 4 SOPs on an annual basis by January 31. 5 Data validation will be performed and reported in the Annual Culebra Groundwater Report and 6 7 will be maintained in the WIPP facility Operating Record, on behalf of the Permittees by the Management and Operating Contractor (MOC) Environmental Monitoring (EM). Data validation 8 results are documented on an Approval/Variation Request (AR/VR) form (Procedure WP 15-9 PC3041). If no discrepancies are found in the data, the AR/VR form will be signed and the 10 approved box will be checked. If however, discrepancies are found, the AR/VR form will be 11 signed and the disappreved or approved on condition box will be checked and the form will be 12 returned to the team leader accompanied by an attached report discussing the data validation 13 results, any anomalies, and resolutions. Copies of the data validation report will be distributed to 14 15 the EM Manager, QA Manager, the Team Leader, and the Contract Administrator. Copies of the data validation report will be kept on file in the EM records section for review upon request by 16 NMED. 17 L-4d Calibration 18

19 L-4d(1) Sampling and Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Equipment Calibration
 20 Requirements

21 The equipment used to collect data for the WQSP and this DMP will be calibrated in accordance

22 with SOPsmaintenance administrative procedures specified below. The Permittees EM Section

will be responsible for calibrating needed equipment on schedule\_and, in accordance with
 written procedures. The EM Section will also be responsible for maintaining current calibration

25 records for each piece of equipment.

26 L-4d(2) Ground-water Surface Elevation Monitoring Equipment Calibration Requirements

The equipment used in taking ground-water surface elevation measurements will be maintained in accordance with WIPP <u>facility SOPs (see Table L-3)</u>. Procedure WP 10-AD3029<sup>6</sup> A current

29 revision of this procedure will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record. The Permittees EM

30 Section will be responsible for ensuring calibrating the needed equipment is calibrated on

31 schedule in accordance with <u>SOPswritten procedures</u>. The Permittees EM Section will also be

32 responsible for maintaining copies of records of the most recent current calibration records for

33 each piece of equipment.

\*WP 10:AD3029 \*Calibration and Control of Monitoring and Oata Calibration Equipment" provides the step-by-step-protocols for the astablishmont and maintenance of a moster database of monitoring and data calibration (M&DC) equipment, the recall process for equipment needing calibration, the performance of calibrations, the management of calibration results to determine the adequacy of recall frequences, functional testing of M&DC equipment, and reporting including aut of tolerance reporting and expired calibration reporting. In addition, the procedure provider step-by step-process for the sterage of calibrated M&DC equipment and the use of rental-equipment.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-29 of 6753

# 1 L-4e Statistical Analysis of Laboratory Analytical Data

Analytical data collected As required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97 and 2 264.08), data collected to establish background ground water guality and as part of the DMP will 3 be evaluated using appropriate statistical techniques. The following specifies the statistical 4 analysis to be performed by the Permittees. DMP. Statistical analysis of DMP data will conform 5 to EPA guidance "Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities" 6 (EPA, 1980) and "Statistical Analysis of Ground Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities. 7 Addendum to Interim Final Guidance" (EPA, 1992). 8 9 L-4e(1) Temporal and Spatial Analysis Temporal and spatial analyses of the data were completed as part of establishing the water 10 guality baseline (Crawley and Nagy, 1998; IT, 2000). As a result, the Permittees determined to 11 evaluate changes relative to baseline on an individual location basis and to report the 12 13 concentrations of constituents as a time series, either in tabular form or as time plots. No particular seasonal variations have been noted in the concentrations of groundwater samples 14 collected during the spring and autumn; therefore, continuing temporal analysis is not required. 15 The analytical results for constituents will be reported as time series, either in tabular form or as 16 time plots or both, and compared to the 95th percentile values or reporting limits identified in 17 Part 5, Table 5.6. 18 Environmental parameters vary with space and time. The effect of one or both of these two 19 factors on the expected value of a point measurement will be statistically evaluated through 20 spatial analysis and time series analysis. These methods often require extensive sampling 21 efforts that may exceed the practical limits of the DMP sampling procedures. 22 Spatial analysis may have limited use DMP during the operational period, although the effect of 23 spatial auto-correlation on the interpretation of the data will be considered for each parameter. 24 Spatial variability will be accounted for by the use of prodetermined key sampling locations. 25 Data analysis will be performed on a location specific basis, or data from different locations will 26 be combined only when the data are statistically homogeneous. Statistical homogeneity will be 27 determined by evaluating mean values and variances from the residuals from the individual well 28 data. 29 Time series analysis plays a more important role in data analysis for the DMP. Parameters will 30 be reported as time series, either in tabular form or as time plots. For key time series 31 32 parameters, these plots will be in the form of centrol charts on which control levels will be dentified based on preoperational database, fixed standards, control location databases, or 33 ether standards for comparison. Where significant seasonal changes in the expected value of 34 the parameter are identified in the properational database or in the control locations. 35 sorrections in the control levels which reflect the seasonal change will be made and 36 documented. 37

#### 38 L-4e(2) Distributions and Descriptive Statistics

- 39 Techniques were established to compare detection monitoring data generated during the
- 40 baseline studies. A 95th upper tolerance limit value (UTLV) or 95th percentile was determined
- 41 from those data sets where target analytes were measured at concentrations above the method

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-30 of 6754

1

1	detection limits. The UTLV is provided for normal or lognormal distributions and a 95th
2	percentile confidence interval is provided for data sets that are nonparametric or have greater
3	than 15 percent non-detects. For analytes with only a few detects (greater than 95 percent non-
4	detects), an accurate 95th percentile cannot be calculated. For these analytes, the maximum
5	detected concentration is used as the baseline value. For the analytes that are non-detect in all
6	the samples, the method reporting limit was used as the baseline value.
7	For data sets which include more than ten data points that are homogeneous in space and time
8	(including seasonal homogeneity) and have less than ten percent missing data, a test for
9	conformance to the normal distribution will be performed. The test for normality of the data will
0	be performed in accordance with the methodologics presented in "Statistical Analysis of
1	Ground-Water Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, Addendum to Interim Final Guidance" (ERA,
2	<del>1992).</del>
3	If normality is not mot, the data will be log-transformed (or transformed using a suitable
4	mathematical transformation, e.g., square root) and retected for normality. If the transformed
5	data fit a normal distribution, the original data will be accepted as having lognormal or an
6	etherwise mathematically-transformed normal distribution. If normality is still not found, two
7	courses may be taken. One will be to continue to test the fit to standard lamilles of distributions,
8	such as the gamma, beta, and Weibull, with proper medifications to subsequent analyses based
9	on these results. The other source will be to use nonparametric methods of data analysis.
0	For data sets smaller than ten, but homogeneous and complete, the lognormal distribution will
1	be assumed. Data sets with more than ten percent missing data will be analyzed using
2	nonparametric methods. Nonhomogeneous data sets will be subdivided into homogeneous sets
3	and each of these analyzed individually.
4	Descriptive statistics will be calculated for each homogeneous data set. At a minimum, these
5	include a central value and a range of variation. The central value is the arithmetic mean of the
5	untransformed data if the data are not consored at either end. If the data are consored, either a
7	trimmed mean or the median will be used as the central value (which may be within the
8	sensored range). If the data set is greater than ten and is uncensored, the standard deviation
9	will be calculated and used as a basis for the reported range in variation. If these criteria are not
D	met, the range between the 0.25 and 0.75 cartelist will be used.
1	L-4e(3) Action LevelsData Anomalies
2	Using baseline distributions, actions levels were identified in accordance with methodologies
3	described in the baseline documents. Action levels are based on the 95th percentile or reporting
4	limits identified in the baseline. If the groundwater concentration of a constituent identified in
5	Part 5, Table 5.6 is found to exceed an action level, a test for outliers is performed in
6	accordance with the Data anomalies include data points reported as being below the limit of
7	detection (LD) or otherwise consored over a specific range of values, missing data points
8	occurring randomly in the data set, and outliers that cannot be ascribed to a known source of

- variation.
- Whenever possible, sample values which are reported below detection limits will be
- incorporated into the database as cample values measured at one-half the detection limit for statistical analysis. When values are not available, alternative methods of analysis, as specified

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-31 of 6753

1 in previous sections, will be used. In particular, the use of nonparametric statistics will be required. 2 3 Missing data points comprising less than 10 percent of the data set do not significantly affect data analyses. Results based on data in which more than 10 percent is missing will be identified 4 as such at the time of reporting. Consideration of the potential effect of missing data shall be 5 made when the majority of the data are missing from a discrete time span. 6 Formal testing for outliers will only be done in accordance with EPA guidance. The 7 methodologies specified in Section 8.2 of the "Statistical Analysis of Ground-wWater Monitoring 8 Data at RCRA Facilities" (EPA, 20091989), will be used to check for outliers. 9 If an outside source of variation is not identified to account for outliers in a data set, it will be 10 included in the data set and all subsequent analyses. If the inclusion of such outliers is found to 11 affect the final results of the analyses significantly, both results (with and without outliers) will be 12 reported. 13 L-4e(4) Comparisons and Reporting 14 Prior to TRU mixed waste receipt, measurements were made of each background ground-water 15 16 quality hazardous constituent specified in Part 5, Table L-5.4b3 at every detection DMP groundwater monitoring well during each of the ten background sampling events (with the exception of 17 trans-1,2-dichloroethylene and vanadium that were added after TRU mixed disposal began)-. -If 18 any background ground water guality parameter or constituent has not been measured prior to 19 waste receipt, measurements will be made for these parameters or constituents in hydraulically 20 upgradient DMP ground-water monitoring wells for a sequence of four campling events. 21 Following completion of the four sampling events, the arithmetic mean and variance shall then 22 be calculated by the field supervisor or designee for each well. These measurements will then 23 24 serve as a statistical baseline (Part 5, Table 5.6) background value that is against which used statistical values for evaluating the significance of the results of subsequent sampling events 25 during detection monitoring will be compared, ... Time-trend control charts with associated 26 screening values for each hazardous constituent are used for this evaluation. The Permittees 27 28 will compare the results from groundwater hazardous constituents of ongoing annual 20 groundwater sample analysis to these baseline values in accordance with Statistical analysis 30 and comparison will be accomplished using one of the five statistical tests specified in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.9798(h)(4)), which may include Cochran's 31 Approximation to the Behrens-Fisher students' t-test at the 0.01 level of significance (described 32 in Appendix IV to 20.4,1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264). If the comparisons show that 33 a constituent statistically exceeds the baseline a significant increase at any of the DMWs 34 monitoring site (as defined in 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(f))), the well 35 shall be resampled and an analysis performed as soon as possible, in accordance with 36 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(32)). The results of the statistical 37 38 comparison will be reported annually to-in the NMED in the Annual Culebra Groundwater Site Environmental Report- by November 30, (ASER), and will be reported to NMED as required 39

40 under 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)). in October

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-32 of 6754

#### 1 L-5 Reporting

- 2 L-5a Laboratory Data Reports
- 3 Laboratory data will be provided in electronic and hard copy reports to the Permittees.
- 4 Laboratory data reports will be forwarded to the Team Leader (see Section L 7) and NMED and 5 will contain the following information for each analytical report:
- A brief narrative summarizing laboratory analyses performed, date of issue, deviations
   from the analytical method, technical problems affecting data quality, laboratory quality
   checks, corrective actions (if any), and the project manager's signature approving
   issuance of the data report.
- Header information for each analytical data summary sheet including: sample number and corresponding laboratory identification number; sample matrix; date of collection, receipt, preparation and analysis; and analyst's name.
- Parameter and hazardous constituentsAnalytical parameter, analytical results, reporting units, reporting limit, analytical method used.
- Results of QC sample analyses for all concurrently analyzed QC samples.
- 16 All analytical results will be provided to NMED as specifieds in the Permit Part 5.
- 17 L-5b Statistical Analysis and Reporting of Results

Analytical results for hazardous constituents from semi-annual ground-water sampling activities will be compared and interpreted by the <u>Permittees</u> Team Leader through generation of statistical analyses as specified in Section L-4e. The <u>Permittees</u> Team Leader will perform statistical analyses; the results will be included in the <u>Annual Culebra Groundwater Report</u> statistical analyses; the results will be included in the <u>Annual Culebra Groundwater Report</u>

- ASER in summary form, and will also be provided to NMED as specified in Permit Part 5.
- 23 L-5c Semi-Annual Groundwater Surface Elevation Report and Annual Culebra Groundwater 24 Site Environmental Report

25 Data collected from this DMP will be reported to NMED as specified in Permit Part 5 in the

- Annual Culebra Groundwater Report, and to the EM Manager and NMED in the ASER. The reportASER will include all applicable information that may affect the comparison of background ground-water quality and ground-water surface elevation data through time. This information will include but is not limited to:
- DMW and WLMP wWell configuration changes that may have occurred from the time of the last measurement (i.e., plug installation and removal, packer removal and reinstallation, or both; and the type and quantity of fluids that may have been introduced into the test wells).
- PANY pumping activities that may have taken place since publication of the last annual report (i.e., related to ground-water quality sampling, hydraulic testing, and shaft installation or grouting activities) that may have taken place since the last annual groundwater report.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-33 of 6753

t

1

	A discussion of the origins of abnormal unexpected changes in the groundwater surface
	elevation, which is not attributable to site tests or natural stabilization of the site
	hydrologic system that exceeds 2 ft in a DMP well over the course of the period covered
	by the Annual Culebra Groundwater Report (this may indicate changes in
	recharge/discharge which would affect the assumptions regarding DMP well placement
	and constitute new information as specified in 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR
	<u>5270.41(a)(2)).</u>
•	The results of the annual measurements of densities.
•	Annotated hydrographs.
•	Groundwater flow rate and direction.
•	Potentiometric surface map generated using the following steps:
	- Examine hydrographs to identify month having the largest number of Culebra water
	levels available with the fewest wells affected by pumping or other anthropogenic
	events.
	- Convert water levels from subject month to equivalent freshwater heads using fluid
	densities appropriate to the date.
	- Fit trend surface through freshwater heads.
	- Extrapolate the trend surface to the boundaries of the model domain used for the
	current Performance Assessment Baseline Calculations (PABCs) and define initial
	fixed-head boundary conditions based on the trend surface.
	- Using the ensemble-average Culebra transmissivity field used for the current PABC,
	optimize the model boundary heads to improve the fit of the model to the freshwater
	heads at the wells using optimization software interactively with MODFLOW.
	- Run MODFLOW with optimal boundary conditions fit.
	- Contour MODFLOW head results on WIPP site.
	- Compute particle path and travel time from the Waste Handling Shaft to the LWA
	Boundary.
	- Data analysis that will accompany the potentiometric surface map will include:
	Measured versus modeled scatter plot diagram
	<ul> <li>Frequency of modeled head residuals</li> </ul>
	Modeled residual freshwater head at each well
	the second se

Formatted: Font color: Auto

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-34 of 6754

Semi-annual groundwater surface elevation results will be reported as specified in     Permit Part 5, Condition 5.10.2.2.	
<ul> <li>Radionuclide-specific data-collected during the provious year.</li> </ul>	
The DMP data used in generating the <u>Annual Culebra Groundwater ReportASER</u> will be maintained as part of the WIPP <u>facility O</u> eperating <u>Brecord</u> and will be provided to NMED for review as specified in the permit.	
L-6 Records Management	
Records generated during ground-water sampling and <u>water level ground water surface</u> elevation monitoring events will be maintained in <u>either the form</u> project files <u>-at the Permittees</u> facility or the Operating Recordin the EM section. Project files records will include, but are not limited to:	
Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAPs)     SOPs	
Field Data Entry Sheets     Field Data Entry Sheets	
BEA and ColC forms	
Contract Analytical Laboratory Data Reports	
Variance Loos and Nonconformance Reports	
Corrective Action Reports.	
Detection Monitoring Program monitoring, testing, and analytical data These and all raw	
analytical records generated in conjunction with ground water campling and WLMP data	
ground water surface elevation monitoring will be maintained in the WIPP facility Operating	
Hecord, stored in fire resistant cabinets in the EM section according to the Hecords Inventory	
and Disposition Schedule (HUS) and will be made available for inspection upon request. The	
term storage in accordance with the RIDS:	
<ul> <li>Instrument-maintenance and calibration records</li> </ul>	
QC sample data	
Control-sharts and salculation	
<ul> <li>Sample tracking and centrol documentation</li> </ul>	
Raw analytical results.	
L 7 Project Organization and Responsibilities	
L-7a Environmental Monitoring Manager	
The EM Manager will be responsible for the overall design and implementation of the DMP. The	
EM Manager will develop and approve specific procedures all DMP activities, and will review	
and approve programmatic reports. The EM Manager will provide oversight of appropriate levels	
of cooperation and consultation between the EM Section and the State of New Mexico	
regarding environmental monitoring and will revise the QA section of the DMP; if necessary, and	

1 2

Formatted: Bullet 2nd Indent, Indent: Left: 0.5"

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-35 of 6753

1

submit revisions as permit modifications as specified in 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40
 CFR §270.42).

The EM Manager and staff will be responsible for achieving and maintaining quality in the DMP.
 All DMP data will be reviewed and approved by the EM Manager, or designee, prior to release.

5 The EM Manager will establish minimum qualification criteria and training requirements for all 5 DMP personnel. The EM Manager will assure that position descriptions for assigned DMP 7 personnel are adequately prepared. The EM Manager and/or Team Leader will assure that 8 training is performed on an individual basis to maintain an acceptable level of proficiency by all 9 new or temporary DMP staff and by all permanent GWSP staff. The EM Manager will assure 10 that documents detailing all staff training are current and properly filed. Copies of training 11 records will be on file for the Permittees in the MOC Technical Training Section.

12 The EM Manager will appoint a DMP Team Leader and Field Team, and assign the following 13 responsibilities specified below.

14 L-7b Team Leader

The Team Leader will coordinate and eversee field campling activities, ensuring that campling 15 and associated procedures will be followed and that QAVQC and safety guidelines will be met-16 The Team Leader will direct the DMP per written approved precedures, and initiate the review of 17 programmatic plans and procedures. The Team Leader will review and evaluate sample data, 18 prepare and review programmatic reports, and assure that appropriate samples will be collected 19 and analyzed. The Team Leader will assure that adequate technical support is provided to the 20 Quality Assurance (QA) Department, when required during audits of vendor facilities. Any 21 nonconformances or project changes will be immediately communicated to the Team Leader. 22

23 L-7c Field Team

The field team members will consist of one or more scientists, engineers, or technicians, who 24 will be responsible for sample collection, handling, shipping, and preparation and maintenance 25 of appropriate data sheets, and completion of sample tracking documentation under the 26 direction of the Team Leader, in accordance with this DMP and associated field procedures. 27 The field team will inspect, maintain, and ensure proper calibration of equipment prior to use at 28 29 each site, while ensuring that site health and safety requirements will be met at all times. The field-team will communicate any nonconformances, malfunctions, or project changes to the 30 Team Leader immediately. 31

32 L-7d Safety Manager

33 The Safety Manager will be responsible for ensuring that the necessary requirements for the 34 health and safety of personnel associated with sampling and analysis activities are met. The 35 segnizant manager will be responsible for ensuring that field team members operate in a safe 36 manner and personnel have appropriate training. The Safety Manager will ensure that periodic 37 health and safety assessments are conducted and that the cognizant manager will initiate 38 corrective actions where deficiencies are identified.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-36 of 6754

#### 1 L-7e Analytical Laboratory Management

2 Sample collection containers supplied by the laboratory will be certified as clean by either the

3 laboratory or their supplier. The Permittees will supply containers for radiological samples. The

4 analytical laboratory will be responsible for performing analyses in accordance with this DMP

5 Plan and regulatory requirements. The laboratory will maintain documentation of sample

6 handling and custody, analytical results, and internal QC data. Additionally, the laboratory will

7 analyze QC samples in accordance with this plan and its own internal QC program for indicators

8 of analytical accuracy and precision. Data generated outside laboratory acceptance limits will trigger an investigation and it appropriate corrective action as directed by the EM Manager.

9 trigger an investigation and, if appropriate, corrective action, as directed by the EM Manager.
 10 The laboratory will report the results of the environmental sample and QC sample analyses and

any necessary corrective actions that were performed. In the event that more than one

12 analytical laboratory is used (e.g., for different analyses), each one will have the responsibilities

13 specified above-

#### 14 L-71 Quality Assurance (QA) Manager

15 The QA-Manager will provide independent oversight of the DMP, via the assigned cognizant QA

16 engineer, to verify that quality objectives are defined and achieved. The QA Manager will ensure

17 objective, independent assessments of the DMP quality performance and the quality

18 performance of the contract analytical laboratory. The QA Manager has been delegated 19 authority on behalf of the Permittees by the MOC General Manager and will have access to

authority of behalf of the remittede by the MOC deneral Manager and will have access

20 work areas, identify quality problems, initiate or recommend corrective actions, verify

21 implementation of corrective actions, and ensure that work will be controlled or stopped until

22 adequate disposition of an unsatisfactory condition has been implemented.

#### 23 L-78 Quality Assurance Requirements

24 Specific Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for WIPP are defined in WIPP document WP 13-

25 1. A current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.

26 Requirements specific to the DMP are presented in this section.

27 L-7a8a Data Quality Objectives and Quality Assurance ObjectivesQA Program - Overview

28 The QA program was developed to assure that integrity and guality will be maintained for all

29 samples collected and that equipment and records will be maintained in accordance with EPA

30 guidance. The QA Program identifies data quality objectives (DQO), processes for accuring

31 sample quality, and processes for generating and maintaining quality records.

#### 32 L-7a(1) 8b Data Quality Oblectives Objectives

33 Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) are qualitative and quantitative statements that specify the

34 quality of data required to support project decisions. DQOs have been will be established to

35 ensure that the data collected will be of a sufficient and known quality for their intended uses.

36 The overall DOOs for this DMP are shown in the following sections.

37 L-7a(1)(i) Detection Monitoring Program

38 Collect accurate and defensible data of known quality that will be sufficient to assess the

39 concentrations of constituents in the groundwater underlying the WIPP facility.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-37 of 6753

1	L-7a(1)(ii)	Water Level Monitoring Program	

 Collect accurate and defensible data of known quality that will be sufficient to assess the groundwater flow direction and rate at the WIPP facility.

4 L-7a(2) Quality Assurance Objectives

<u>Quality Assurance Objectives (QAOs) for measurement data have been specified in terms of accuracy, precision, completeness, representativeness, and comparability.</u>

project will be to collect accurate and defensible data of known quality that will be sufficient to 7 access the concentrations of constituents in the ground water underlying the WIPP area. The 8 data generated thus far by the DMP has been used to establish background ground-water 9 quality. For the purpose of this DMP, DQOs for measurement data will be specified in terms of 10 accuracy, precision, completeness, representativeness, and comparability. Measurements of 11 data guality in terms of accuracy and precision will be derived from the analysis of QC samples 12 generated in the field and laboratory. Appropriate QC procedures will be used so that known 13 and acceptable levels of accuracy and precision will be maintained for each data set. This 14 section defines the acceptance criteria for each QC analysis performed. The following 15 subsections deline each DQO. 16

17 L-7a8b(24)(i) Accuracy

18 Accuracy is the closeness of agreement between a measurement and an accepted reference

19 value. When applied to a set of observed values, accuracy is a combination of a random

20 component and a common systematic error (bias) component. Measurements for accuracy will

21 include analysis of calibration standards, laboratory control samples, matrix spike samples, and 22 surrogate spike recoveries camples. The bias component of accuracy is expressed as percent

23 recovery (%R). Percent recovery is expressed as follows:

24

 $\%R = \frac{(measured sample concentration)}{true concentration} \times 100$ 

25 L-7a8b(24)(i)(A) Accuracy Objectives for Field Measurements

26 Field measurements will include pH, Specific Conductance (SC), temperature, Ehspecific

27 gravity, and static ground-water surface elevation. Field measurement accuracy will be
 28 determined using calibration check standards. Thermometers used for field measurements will

29 be calibrated to the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable standard

30 on an annual basis to ensureassure accuracy. Accuracy of ground-water surface elevation

31 measurements will be checked before each measurement period by verifying calibration of the

device within the specified schedule. WIPP document WP 13-1 outlines the basic requirements for field equipment use and calibration. WIPP facility SOPs Procedure WP 10 AD3029 contains

33 for field equipment use and calibration. WIPP <u>facility SOPs</u> Procedure WP 10 AD3029 contain 34 instructions that outline protocols for maintaining current calibration of ground-water surface

elevation measurement instrumentation. A current revision of this document or procedure will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.

namaned in the wir r operating

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-38 of 6754

#### 1 L-7a8b(24)(ii)(B) Accuracy Objectives for Laboratory Measurements

2 Analytical system accuracy will be quantified using the following laboratory accuracy QC

3 checks: calibration standards, laboratory control samples (LCS), laboratory blanks, matrix and

4 surrogate spike recoveries camples. Single LCSs and matrix spike and surrogate spike sample

5 analyses will be expressed as %R. Laboratory analytical accuracy is parameter dependent and

6 will be prescribed in the laboratory SOP.

7 L-7a8b(2)(ii) Precision

8 Precision is the agreement among a set of replicate measurements without assumption or

knowledge of the true value. Precision data will be derived from duplicate field and laboratory
 measurements. Precision will be expressed as relative percent difference (RPD), which is

11 calculated as follows:

12

# $RPD = \frac{|(measured value sample 1 - measured value sample 2)|}{average of measured samples 1 + 2} \times 100$

#### 13 L-7a8b(2)(ii)(A) Precision Objectives for Field Measurements

14 Specific conductance, Precision of field measurements of water-quality parameters will meet or

15 exceed required reporting levels. SC, pH, and temperature, and optionally Eh will be measured

during well purging and after sampling. SC measurements will be precise to ±10% pH to 0.10

17 standard unit, unit, specific gravity to 0.01 by hydrometer and and temperature to 0.10 degrees

18 Celsius (°C). Water-level measurements will be precise to ± 0.01 ft. The precision of water

19 density measurements, when measured in the field using down hole instrumentation, will be

20 determined on a well-by-well basis and and-will result in no more than  $a \pm 2$  ft of error in the 21 derived fresh-water head., Eh to 10 millivelts (mV).

#### 22 L-7a8b(2)(ii)(B) Precision Objectives for Laboratory Measurements

23 Precision of laboratory analyses will be determined by analyzing a LCS and a lab control

24 sample duplicate (LCSD) or by analyzing one of the field samples in duplicate depending on the

requirements of the particular standard method. The precision is measured as the RPD of the

26 recoveries for the spiked LCS/LCSD pair or the RPD of the duplicate sample analysis results.

27 Precision of laboratory analyses will be assessed by performing the same analyses twice on

28 LCSs with each analytical batch assessed at a minimum frequency of 1 in 20 ground-water 29 samples for nonradiological parameters and 1 in 10 for radiological parameters. The laboratory

will determine analytical precision control limits by performing replicate analyses of control

30 will determine analysical precision control limits by performing replicate analysis of control 31 samples. Precision measurements will be expressed as RPD. Laboratory analytical precision is

also parameter dependent and will be prescribed in laboratory SOPs.

#### 33 L-7a8b(23)(iii) Contamination

34 In addition to measurements of precision and bias, QC checks for contamination will be

35 performed. QC samples including trip blanks, field blanks, and method blanks will be analyzed

to assess and document contamination attributable to sample collection equipment, sample

37 handling and shipping, and laboratory reagents and glassware. Trip blanks will be used to

assess volatile organic compound (VOC) sample contamination during shipment and handling

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-39 of 6753

and will be collected and analyzed at a frequency of 1 sample per sample shipment. Field blanks will be used to assess field sample collection methods and will be collected and analyzed 2 at a minimum frequency of one sample per 20 samples (five percent of the samples collected). 3 Method blanks will be used to assess contamination resulting from the analytical process and 4 will be analyzed at a minimum frequency of one sample per 20 samples, or five percent of the 5 samples collected. Evaluation of sample blanks will be performed following U.S. EPA "National 6 Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review" (EPA, 1999+99+) and "National Functional 7 Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses" (EPA, 20041988). Only method blanks will be 8 analyzed via wet chemistry methods. The criteria for evaluating method blanks will be 9 established as follows: If method blank results exceed method reporting limits, then that value 10 will become the detection limit for the sample batch. Detection of analytes of interest in method 11 blank samples may be used to disqualify some samples, requiring resampling and additional 12 analyses on a case-by-case basis. 13 L-7a8b(24)(iv) Completeness 14 Completeness is a measure of the amount of usable valid data resulting from a data collection 15 16 activity, given the sample design and analysis. Completeness may be affected by unexpected conditions that may occur during the data collection process. 17 Occurrences that reduce the amount of data collected include sample container breakage 18 during sample shipment or in the laboratory and data generated while the laboratory was 19

20 operating outside prescribed QC limits. All attempts will be made to minimize data loss and to

21 recover lost data whenever possible. The completeness objective for analysis of Part 5, Table

22 5.4a parameters noncritical measurements (i.e., field measurements) will be 90 percent and 100

23 percent analysis of Part 5, Table 5.4.b hazardous constituents. for critical measurements (i.e.,

24 compliance data). If the completeness objective objective for Part 5 Table 5.4.b hazardous 25 constituents is not met, the Permittees WIPP EM Manager will determine on behalf of the

26 Permittees the need for resampling on a case-by-case basis. Numerical expression of the

27 completeness (%C) of data is as follows:

 $%C = \frac{number of accepted samples}{total number of samples collected} \times 100$ 

29 L-87ab(25)(v) Representativeness

Representativeness is the degree to which sample analyses accurately and precisely represent
 the media they are intended to represent. Data representativeness for this DMP will be
 accomplished through implementing approved sampling procedures and the use of validated
 analytical methods. Sampling procedures will be designed to minimize factors affecting the
 integrity of the samples. Ground-water samples will only be collected after well purging criteria
 have been met. The analytical methods selected will be those that will most accurately and
 precisely represent the true concentration of analytes of interest.

For water levels and density, representativeness is a qualitative term that describes the extent
 to which a sampling design adequately reflects the environmental conditions of a site. The
 SOPs for measurement ensure that samples are representative of site conditions.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-40 of 6754

# 1 L-7a8b(26)(vi) Comparability

- 2 Comparability is the extent to which one data set can be compared to another. Comparability
- 3 will be achieved through reporting data in consistent units and collection and analysis of
- 4 samples using consistent methodology. Aqueous samples will consistently be reported in units
- 5 of measures dictated by the analytical method. Units of measure include:
  - Milligrams per liter (mg/L) for alkalinity, inorganic compounds and metals
  - Micrograms per liter (µg/L) for VOCs and semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs).
- 8 Culebra gGround-water surface elevation measurements will be expressed as equivalent
- 9 freshwater elevation in feet above mean sea level.

#### 10 L-7b8e Design Control

6

7

- 11 The approved ground-water monitoring system was design for the DMP is specified in this
- 12 Permit. Modifications to the DMP will be processed in accordance with ed and will be
- 13 maintained to meet specifications established in 20.4.1.900500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR
- 14 §§264 Subpart F and 270.42).264.601 through 264.603).

#### 15 L-7c8e Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings

- 16 The Provisions and responsibilities for the preparation and use of instructions and procedures at
- 17 the WIPP facility are outlined in the WIPP facility document WP 13-1(see Table L-3). AAny
- activities performed for the DMP ground-water monitoring that may affect ground-water data
- 19 <u>quality</u> will be performed in accordance with <del>documented and</del> approved procedures which
- 20 comply with the Permit and the requirements of 20.4.1.600 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 21 Subpart F).
- ----

22 Technical procedures, as specified elsewhere in this DMP, have been developed for each

- 23 quality-affecting function performed for ground-water monitoring. The technical procedures
- 24 unique to the DMP will be controlled by the ES&H at WIPP. The procedures are sufficiently
- 25 detailed and include, when applicable, quantitative or qualitative acceptance criteria.
- 26 Procedures were prepared in accordance with requirements in WIPP document WP 13-1. A 27 current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.
- 28 L-7d8e Document Control
- 29 Permittees Decument controls will ensure that the latest approved versions of WIPP facility
- 30 <u>SOPs procedures</u> will be used in performing ground-water monitoring functions and that 31 obsolete materials will be <u>adequately identified or</u> removed from work areas.
- 32 L-8F Control of Work Processes
- 33 Process control requirements, defined in WIPP document WP 13-1 are met, and will continue to
- 34 be met, for this DMP. A current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP
- 35 Operating Record-

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-41 of 6753 Comment [r6]: Should we include a "" as in semi-volatile. Formatted: Font: Bold

# 1 L-7e8a Inspection and Surveillance

Inspection and surveillance activities will be conducted as outlined in WIPP document WP 13-1 2 (see Table L-3). The Permittees QA Department will be responsible for performing the 3 applicable WIPP facility SOPs, inspections and surveillance on the scope of work. EM section 4 personnel will be responsible for performance checks as defined in applicable procedures and 5 6 determined for the Permittees by MOC metrology laboratory personnel. Performance checks for the DMP will determine the acceptability of purchased items and assess degradation that occurs 7 during use. A current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP Operating 8 Record, 9

# 10 L-7f8h Control of Monitoring and Data Collection Equipment

WIPP document WP 13-1 (see Table L-3) outlines the basic requirements for control and 11 calibrating monitoring and data collection (M&DC) equipment. M&DC equipment shall be 12 13 properly controlled, calibrated, and maintained according to WIPP facility SOPs (see Table L-3)Procedure WP 10-AD3029 to ensure continued accuracy of ground-water monitoring data. 14 Results of calibrations, maintenance, and repair will be documented. Calibration records will 15 identify the reference standard and the relationship to national standards or nationally accepted 16 measurement systems. Records will be maintained to track uses of M&DC equipment. If M&DC 17 equipment is found to be out of tolerance, the equipment will be tagged and it will not be used 18 until corrections are made. A surrent revision of this document or procedure will be maintained 19 in the WIPP Operating Record. 20

# 21 L-708i Control of Nonconforming Conditions

In accordance with WIPP document WP 13-1 (see Table L-3), especifies the system used at 22 WIPP for ensuring that appropriate measures are established to control nonconforming 23 conditions. Nonconforming conditions connected to the DMP will be identified in and controlled 24 25 by documented procedures. Equipment that does not conform to specified requirements will be controlled to prevent use. The disposition of defective items will be documented on records 26 traceable to the affected items. Prior to final disposition, faulty items will be tagged and 27 segregated. Repaired equipment will be subject to the original acceptance inspections and tests 28 prior to use. A current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP Operating 29 30 Record.

31 L-7h8 Corrective Action

Requirements for the development and implementation of a system to determine, document, 32 and initiate appropriate corrective actions after encountering conditions adverse to quality at the 33 WIPP facility are outlined in WIPP document WP 13-1 (see Table L-3). Conditions adverse to 34 acceptable quality will be documented and reported in accordance with corrective action 35 procedures and corrected as soon as practical. Immediate action will be taken to control work 36 performed under conditions adverse to acceptable quality and its results to prevent quality 37 degradation. A current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP Operating 38 39 Record.

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-42 of 6754

1
# 1 L-7i8k Quality Assurance Records

2 WIPP document WP 13-1 (see Table L-3) outlines the policy that will be used at the WIPP facility

- 3 regarding identification, preparation, collection, storage, maintenance, disposition, and
- 4 permanent storage of QA records. A current revision of this document will be maintained in the WIPP Operating Record.
- 6 Records to be generated in the DMP will be specified by procedure. QA and RCRA operating
- 7 records will be identified. This will be the basis for the labeling of records as "QA" or "RCRA"
- a operating record" on the Environmental Monitoring Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule
- 9 EM RIDS.

10 QA records will document the results of the DMP implementing procedures and will be sufficient

- 11 to demonstrate that all quality-related aspects are valid. The records will be identifiable, legible,
- 12 and retrievable.
- 13

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-43 of 6753

## 1 L-89 References

2 Beauheim, R.L., 1986. "Hydraulic-Test Interpretations for Well DOE-2 at the Waste Isolation

- 3 Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site," SAND86-1364, Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico,
- 4 Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Beauheim, R.L., 1987. "Analysis of Pumping Tests at the Culebra Dolomite Conducted at the H 3 Hydropad at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site," SAND86-2311, Sandia National
 Laboratories/New Mexico, Albuquergue, New Mexico.

- Zaboratories/New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- 8 Corbet, T.F., and P.M. Knupp, 1996. "The Role of Regional Groundwater Flow in the
- 9 Hydrogeology of the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

(WIPP), Southeastern New Mexico," SAND96-2133, Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico,
 Albuquerque, New Mexico.

12 Crawley, M. and M. Nagy, 1998. "WIPP RCRA Background Groundwater Quality Baseline 13 Report," DOE/WIPP-98-2285.

14 Davies, P.B., 1989. "Variable-Density Ground-Water Flow and Paleohydrology in the Waste

- 15 Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Region, Southeastern New Mexico," U.S. Geological Survey Open-16 File Report 88-490, Albuquergue, New Mexico.
- 17 DOE, see U.S. Department of Energy.

Domenico, P.A., and F.W. Schwartz, 1990. "Physical and Chemical Hydrogeology," New York:
 John Wiley & Sons, Textbook.

Domski, P.S., and R.L. Beauheim. 2008. Evaluation of Culebra Brine Chemistry. AP-125. ERMS 549336. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories. -(In development)

22 Domski, P.S., D.T. Upton, and R.L. Beauheim, 1996. "Hydraulic Testing Around Room Q:

23 Evaluation of the Effects of Mining on the Hydraulic Properties of Salado Evaporites," SAND96-

24 0435, Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Earlough, E.C., Jr., 1977. "Advances in Well Test Analysis,": Society of Petroleum Engineers of AIME, Textbook, Dallas, Texas.

27 EPA, see U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Gilbert, R.O., 1987. Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Menitoring, Van Nestrand
 Reinhold, New York.

30 Haug, A., V.A. Kelly, A.M. LaVenue, and J.F. Pickens, 1987. "Modeling of Ground-Water Flow in

- 31 The Culebra Delemite at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site: Interim Report." SAND86-
- 32 7167, Sandia National Laboratories/New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico,

Holt, R.M., and D.W. Powers, 1988. "Facies Variability and Post-Deposition Alteration Within the
 Rustler Formation in the Vicinity of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Southeastern New Mexico,"
 DOE-WIPP-88-04, U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-44 of 6754 Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto

N	Network Design. ERMS 554054. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
E	usczynski, N.J., 1961. "Head and Flow of Ground Water of Variable Density," <i>Journal of</i> Geophysical Research, Vol. 66, No. 12, pp. 4247–4256.
1	AcKenna, S. A. 2004. Analysis Report: Culebra Water Level Monitoring Network Design. AP- 11. ERMS 540477. Carlsbad. NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
E C	usezynski, N.J., 1961. "Head and Flew of Ground Water of Variable Density," <i>Journal of</i> Geophysical Research, Vol. 66, No. 12, pp. 4247–4256.
	Mercer, J.W., 1983. "Geohydrology of the Proposed Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Site, Los Medaños Area, Southeastern New Mexico," U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources nvestigations 83-4016, 113 pp.
Ett	Powers, D. W. 2006. Analysis Report: Task 1B of AP-114; Identify Possible Area of Recharge he Culebra West and South of WIPP (April 1). ERMS 543094. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National
-	aboratories.
F	Roberts, R. M. 2007. Analysis of Culebra Hydraulic Tests Performed Between June 2006 and September 2007. ERMS 547418. Carlsbad, NM: Sandia National Laboratories.
SHIN	Siegel, M.D., K. L. Robinson, and J. Myers. 1991. "Solute Relationships in Groundwaters from the Culebra Dolomite and Related Rocks in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Area, Southeastern New Mexico," SAND88-0196.
	U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 1995. "Basic Data Report for WQSP-1 through WQSP-6A," DOE/WIPP-95-2154.
FCA	Powers, D.W., S.J. Lambert, S.E. Shaffer, L.R. Hill, and W.D. Weart, eds., 1978. "Geologic Characterization Report for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Site, Southeastern New Aexico," SAND78 1596, Sandia National Laboratorics/New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico
E t t	J.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 1996. "United States Department of Energy Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Compliance Certification Application," <i>DOE/CAO-1996–2184</i> , U.S. Department of Energy, Carlsbad Area Office, Carlsbad, New Mexico.
++	J.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 1997. Responses to EPA's Request in EPA's March 19, 1997 Letter on the WIPP CCA. May 14, 1997.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-45 of 6753

1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 20091092. "Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water

Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities, <u>Unified GuidanceAddendum to Interim Final Guidance</u>,"
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

4 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), <u>1999</u>1991. "National Functional Guidelines for 5 Organic Data Review," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

6 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1990. "Background Documentation for the U.S.

7 Environmental Protection Agency's Proposed Decision on the No-Migration Variance for U.S.
 8 Department of Energy's Waste Isolation Pilot Plant," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
 9 Washington, D.C.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1989. "Statistical Analysis of Ground-Water
 Monitoring Data at RCRA Facilities," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), <u>20041088</u>. "Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

14 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1986. "RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring

15 Technical Enforcement Guidance Document," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

16 Washington, D.C.

17 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1996. "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste,"

18 SW-846, third ed., Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C.

19

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-46 of 6754

TABLES

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-47 of 6753

L

(This page intentionally blank)

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-48 of 6754

**Formatted Table** 

.

1 2

Table L-1 Hydrological Parameters for Rock Units Above the Salado at WIPP

	Unit	Hydraulic Conductivity	Storage Geetlisient	Thickness	Hydraulic Gradient
Santa Rosa Dewey Lake		$2 \times 10^{-6}$ to $2 \times 10^{-6}$ m/s (1) (2)	Specific capacity 0.020 to 0.041 t/sim	0 to 91 m	0.001 (5)
		10 <sup>-8</sup> m/s	Specific storage 1 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> (1/m) (2)	152 m	0.001 (5)
	Forty-niner	$1 \times 10^{-13}$ to $1 \times 10^{-11}$ m/s (anhydrite) $1 \times 10^{-9}$ m/s (mudstone) (2)	Specific storage 1 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> (1/m) (2)	13 to 23 m	NA (6)
Rustler	Magenta	1 × 10 <sup>-85</sup> to 1 × 10 <sup>-65</sup> m/s (2)	Specific storage 1 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> (1/m) (2)	7 to 8.5 m	3 to 6
	Tamarisk	$1 \times 10^{-13}$ to $1 \times 10^{-11}$ m/s (anhydrite) $1 \times 10^{-9}$ m/s (mudstone) (2)	Specific storage 1 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> (1/m) (2)	26 to 56 m	NA (6)
	Culebra	1 × 10 <sup>-7.5</sup> to 1 × 10 <sup>-5.5</sup> m/s (2)	Specific storage 1 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> (1/m) (2)	4 to 11.6 m	0.003 to 0.007 (5)
	Los MedañosUn named Iower Inember	$6 \times 10^{-15}$ lo $1 \times 10^{-13}$ m/s $1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ lo $1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ m/s (basal interval)	Specific storage $1 \times 10^{-5}$ (1/m) (2)	29 lo 38 m	NA (6)

Matrix characteristics relevant to fluid flow include values used in this table such as permeability, hydraulic conductivity, gradient, etc.)

Table Notes:

- (1) The Santa Rosa Formation is not present in the western portion of the WIPP site. It was combined with the Dewey Lake Red Beds in three-dimensional regional groundwater flow modeling (Corbet and Knupp, 1996), and the range of values entered here are those used in that study for the Dewey Lake/Triassic hydrostratigraphic unit.
- (2) Values or ranges of values given for these entries are the values used in three-dimensional regional groundwater flow modeling (Corbet and Knupp, 1996). Values are estimated based on literature values for similar rock types, adjusted to be consistent with site-specific data where available. Ranges of values include spatial variation over the WIPP site and differences in values used in different simulations to test model sensitivity to the parameter.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-49 of 6753

- (3) The range of values given here ter transmissivity of the Santa Rosa is estimated for the center of the site: Transmissivity is the product of the thickness of the productive interval times its hydrautic conductivity. Thickness of the Santa Rosa is estimated to be 30 meters at the center of the WIPP site, and the range of derived transmissivities are based on the range of hydrautic conductivity values used by Cerbet and Knupp (1996) for the combined Deway Lake/Triassic unit.
- (4) The range of values given here by transmissivity of the Dewey Lake is estimated for the center of the site. Transmissivity is the product of the thickness of the productive interval times its hydraulic conductivity. Thickness of the Dewey Lake is estimated to be 140 meters at the center of the WIPP site, and the range of derived transmissivities are based on the range of hydraulic conductivity values used by Corbot and Knupp (1996) for the combined Dewey Lake/Trassic unit.
- (iiii) Hydraulic gradlent is a dimensionless term describing change in the elevation of hydraulic head divided by change in horizontal distance. Values given in these entries are determined from potentiometric surfaces. The range of values given for the Culebra rellects the highest and lowest gradients observed within the WIPP site boundary. Values for the Dewey Lake and Santa Rosa are assumed to be the same as the gradient determined from the water table. Note that the Santa Rosa Formation is absent or above the water table in most of the concret of a horizontal hydraulic gradient is not meaningful for these regions.
- (d) Flow in units of very low hydraulic conductivity is slow, and primarily vertical. The concept of a horizontal hydraulic gradient is not applicable.

Sources: Beauheim, 1986; Domenico and Schwartz, 1990; Domski, Upton, and Beauheim, 1996; Earlough, 1977.

Comment [r7]: RM Change

Comment [r8]: RM Change



Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit

23



# Table L-2 WIPP Ground-water Detection Monitoring Program Sample Collection and Ground-water Surface | Elevation Measurement Frequency

Installation	Frequency
Ground-water Qu	uality Sampling
DMWsDMP-monitoring-wells	Asomiannually
All other WIPP surveillance wells	On special request only
Ground-water Surface	Elevation Monitoring
DMWsDMP menitoring wells	Monthly and prior to sampling events
WLMP Wells (see Table L-4)All other WIPP surveillance well sites	Monthly
edundant wells at all other WIPP surveillance well siles	Guarterly

4

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-51 of 6753 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit New once 30, 30 (0) January 31, 2012

1

	Table L-3 Standard Operating Procedures Applicable to the DMP
Number	Title/Description
WP 02-EM1005	Groundwater Serial Sample Analysis: This procedure provides general instructions necessary to perform field analyses of serial samples in support of the DMP. Serial samples are collected and analyzed at the field laboratory for field indicators. Serial sample results help determine if pumped groundwater is representative of undisturbed groundwater within the formation.
WP 02-EM1006	Final and Serial Sample Collection: This procedure describes the steps for collecting groundwater samples from the DMWs near the WIPP factility. Serial samples are collected and analyzed at the Field Laboratory until stabilization of the field parameters occurs. Final samples for Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) analyses are collected and analyzed by a contract laboratory.
WP 02-EM1014	Groundwater Level Measurement: This document describes the method used for groundwater level measurements in support of groundwater monitoring at the WIPP facility using a portable electronic water-level probe.
WP 02-EM1021	Pressure Density Survey: This procedure defines the field methodology used to determine the average density of fluid standing in the well bores of groundwater-level monitoring wells. The data derived from the survey are used to calculate equivalent freshwater heads at non-detection monitoring wells. Because most pressure densities are obtained by Sandia National Laboratories via pressure transducers installed in wells, this procedure is used to obtain pressure densities at wells not equipped with fixed transducers.
WP 02-EM1026	Water Level Data Handling and Reporting: This procedure provides instructions on handling water level data. Data are collected and recorded on field forms in accordance with WP 02- EM1014. This procedure is initiated when wells in the water surveillance program have been measured for a given month.
WP 02-EM3001	Administrative Processes for Environmental Monitoring and Hydrology Programs: This procedure provides the administrative guidance environmental monitoring personnel use to maintain quality control associated with environmental monitoring sampling and reporting activities. This administrative procedure does not pertain to volatile organic compound (VOC) monitoring, with the exception of Section 5.0 which pertains to the regulatory reporting review process.
WP 02-EM3003	Data Validation and Verification of RCRA Constituents: This procedure provides instructions on performing verification and validation of laboratory data containing the analytical results of groundwater monitoring samples. This procedure is applied only to the non-radiological analyses results for compliance data associated with the detection monitoring samples. The data reviewed for this procedure includes general chemistry parameters and RCRA constituents.
WP-02-RC.01	Hazardous and Universal Waste Management Plan: This plan describes the responsibilities and handling requirements for hazardous and universal wastes generated at the WIPP facility. It is meant to ensure that these wastes are properly handled, accumulated, and transported to an approved Treatment, Storage, Disposal Facility (TSDF) in accordance with applicable state and federal regulations, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Orders, and Washington TRU Solutions LLC (WTS) policies and procedures. This plan implements applicable sections of 20.4.1.100-1102 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), <i>Hazardous Waste Management</i> (incorporating 40 <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> [CFR] Parts 260-268 and 273).
WP 10-AD3029	Calibration and Control of Monitoring and Data Collection Equipment: This procedure provides direction for the control and calibration of Monitoring and Data Collection (M&DC) equipment at the WIPP facility, and ensures traceability to NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) standards, international standards, or intrinsic standards. This procedure also establishes requirements and responsibilities for identifying recall equipment, and for obtaining calibration services for WIPP facility M&DC equipment.
WP 13-1	Washington TRU Solutions LLC Quality Assurance Program Description: This document establishes the minimum quality requirements for Management and Operating Contractor (MOC) bersonnel and guidance for the development and implementation of OA programs by MOC

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-52 of 6754

Number	Title/Description		
	organizations.		Comment [r9]: Need help in fitting Table L-31 one page.
		1	

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-53 of 6753

1 2 3

1

#### Table L-4 January 2011 Culebra WLMP WELL ID WELL ID WELL ID AEC-7 H-17 **SNL-15 SNL-16** C-2737 H-19 pad\* ERDA-9 1-461 **SNL-17 SNL-18** H-02b2 SNL-01 SNL-02 **SNL-19** H-03b2 SNL-03 WQSP-1 H-04bR

**SNL-05** 

WQSP-2

SNL-06 WQSP-3 H-06bR **SNL-08** WQSP-4 H-07b1 WQSP-5 H-9bR **SNL-09** SNL-10 WQSP-6 H-10c WIPP-11 H-11b4 **SNL-12** H-12 SNL-13 WIPP-13 WIPP-19 SNL-14 H-15R H-16

'H-19b0 monthly

H-05b

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-54 of 6754

1

		TOTAL	DEPTH	DRILLIN feet (m	DRILLING DEPTHS feet (meters) bgs		CASING feet (meters) bgs		PACKING feet (meters) bgs	
NAME (Figure)	DATE DRILLED	DEPTH feet (meters) bgs	MEDAÑOS feet (meters)	WITH AIR	CORING	DEPTH FOR 5 in. CASING	INTERVAL FOR SLOTTED SCREEN	SAND PACK	BRADY GRAVEL PACK INTERVAL	INTERVAL feet (meters) bgs
WQSP-1 Figure L-7	September 13 throughand 16, 1994	737 (225)	15 (5-)	69 <u>6</u> 3 (21 <u>2</u> 4)	69 <u>65.6</u> to 737 (21 <u>2</u> 1 to 2 <u>25</u> 24.6)	737 (22 <u>5</u> 4.6 )	702 to 727 (214 to 222 )	640 to 651 (195 to 198)	651 to 737 (198 to 22 <u>54.6</u> )	699 to 722 (213 to 2204)
WQSP-2 Figure L-8	September 6 throughand 12, 1994	846 ( <u>258</u> 267. 9)	12 <del>-3</del> ( <u>48-</u> 7)	800 (244)	800 to 846 (244 to 258)	846 (258)	811 to 836 (247 to 255)	790 to 793 (241 to 242)	793 to 846 (242 to 258)	810.1 to 833.7 (247 to 254)
WQSP-3 Figure L-9	October 201 throughand 26, 1994	880 (268)	10 ( <u>38-</u> 1)	8 <u>3380</u> (2 <u>5</u> <u>468</u> )	833 to 88079 (254 to 268)	880 (268)	844 to 869 (257 to 265)	827 to 830 (252 to 253)	830 to 880 (253 to 268)	844 to 870 (257 to 265)
WQSP-4 Figure L-10	October 5 throughand 10, 1994,	800 (244)	9-2 ( <u>32-8</u> )	740 (226)	740-5 to 798 (2265-7 to 243)	800 (244)	764 to 789 (233 to 240+)	752 to 755 (229 to 230)	755 to 800 (230 to 244)	766 to 790.8 (233 to 241)
WQSP-5 Figure L-11	October 12 throughand 189, 1994,	681 (208)	Z 2.0(20.61)	6 <u>48</u> 76 (19 <u>8</u> 206)	648 to 676 (198 to 206)	681 (208)	646 to 671 (197 to 205)	623 to 626 (190 to 191)	626 to 681 (191 to 208)	648 to 674.4 (198 to 205-6)
WQSP-6 Figure L-12	September 26 throughand October 3, 1994	616.6 ( <u>188</u> 18 <del>7.9</del> )	<u>109.7</u> (3)	<u>568367 (1</u> <u>73112</u> )	568267 to 6176 (173142 to 188)	61 <u>7</u> 6-6 (188)	581 to 606 (177 to 185)	567 to 570 (173 to 17 <u>4</u> 3-7)	570 to 616.6 (174 to 188)	582 to 606.9 (177 to 185)

2

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-55 of 6753

1

•	
4	1
2	
•	

## Table L-64 Analytical Parameter and Sample Requirements

(10) PARAMETERS	(12) NO. OF BOTTLES	(13) VOLUME	(14) TYPE	(15) ACID WASH	(16) SAMPLE FILTER	(17) PRESERVATIVE	(18) HOLDING TIME
Indicator <sup>1</sup> Parameters:	- 4 3	25 ml <sup>2</sup> 100 ml <sup>2</sup> 15 ml <sup>2</sup> 250 ml	Glass Glass Glass Glass	Field determined Field determined yes yes	No? No No	Field determined Field determined HCI H2SO4-pH<2	None None 28 days <sup>2</sup> <del>7 days<sup>2</sup></del>
General Chemistry	1	1 Liter	Plastic	Yes	No	HNO3,4pH<2	not specified in DMP
Phenolics	1	1 Liter	Amber Glass	Yes	No	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , pH<2	not specified in DMP
Metals/Cations	2	1 Liter	Plastic	Yes	No	HNO3, pH<2	6 months <sup>2,3</sup>
VOC	4	40 ml	Glass	No	No	HCL, ph<2	14 days <sup>2</sup>
VOC (Purgable)	2	40 ml	Glass	No	No	HCL, ph<2	14 days <sup>2</sup>
VOC (Non-Purgable)	2	40 ml	Glass	No	No	HCL, ph<2	14 days <sup>2</sup>
BN/As	1	1/2 Gallon	Amber Glass	Yes	No	None	
TCLP	1	1 Liter	Plastic	Yes	No	HNO3, pH<2	7 days <sup>2</sup>
Cyanide (Total)	1	1 Liter	Plastic	Yes	No	NaOH, pH>12	14 days <sup>2</sup>
Sulfide	1	250 ml	Amber Glass	Yes	No	NaOH + Zn Acetate	28 days <sup>2</sup>
Radionuclides	1	1 Gallon	Plastic Cube	Yes	Yes	HNO3, pH<2	6 months <sup>2</sup>

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.46", No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at 0.69"

1 = RCRA Detection Monitoring Analytes

2 = As specified in Table 4-1 of the RCRA TEGD

3 = Reduced holding time of 1 week for WIPP-specific Divalent cation 2 samples noted in the GMD

Note: Unless otherwise indicated, data are from DOE Procedure WP 02-EM1006 methods and are provided as information only.

Note: Deviations from this table are allowed with prior approval by the NMED.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-56 of 6754

	Wa Ha November 30,	ste Isolation P zardous Was 2018 January	te Permit		
			1	Formatted: Left	0.63"
lank)			1		

(This page intentionally blank)

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-57 of 6753

1

2

1

FIGURES

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-58 of 67<u>54</u>

1

(This page intentionally blank)

1

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-59 of 6753

L

۱



Formatted Table

Figure L-1 General Location of the WIPP Facility

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-60 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-61 of 6753



-

Figure L-2 WIPP Facility Boundaries Showing 16-square-Mile Land Withdrawal BoundaryFigure L-2 WIPP Facility Boundaries Showing 15-Square-Mile Land Withdrawal Boundary

Formatted: Font: Bold Formatted: Centered

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-62 of 6754

I

SYSTEM	SERIES	GROUP	FORMATION	MEMBER
RECENT	RECENT		SURFICIAL DEPOSITS	
QUATERNARY	PLEISTOCENE	Ī	MESCALERO CALICHE	
			GATUÑA	
TERTIARY	MID- PLIOCENE		OGALLALA	
TRIASSIC		DOCKUM	SANTA ROSA	
			DEWEY LAKE	
		t		Forty-niner
			1	Magenta
			RUSTLER	Tamarisk
				Culebra
	AN			Los Medaños
	осно			Upper
			SALADO	McNutt Potash
AN				
PERMI			CASTILE	
	3	INTAIN	BELL CANYON	
	UADALUPI	WARE MOU	CHERRY CANYON	
	Ö	DELA	BRUSHY	

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-63 of 6753

I

I

SYSTEM	SERIES	GROUP	FORMATION	MEMBER
RECENT	RECENT		SURFICIAL DEPOSITS	
OLATERN.	PLIESTO-		MESCALERO CALICHE	
ARY	CENE		GATUNA	
TERTIARY	MID- PLIOCENE		OGALLALA	
TRIASSIC		DOCKUM	SANTA ROSA	
			DEWEY LAKE	
				Forty-niner
				Magenta
	RUSTLER		RUSTLER	Tamarisk
				Culebra
	AN			Unnamed
	CHO			Upper
	ō		SALADO	McNutt Potasl
AN				Lower
PERM	_		CASTILE	
	IAN	₩ Z	BELL CANYON	
	UADALUF	DELAWAI	CHERRY CANYON	
	0		BRUSHY CANYON	

Figure L-3 Site Geologic Column

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-64 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-65 of 6753



Figure L-4

Generalized Stratigraphic Cross Section above Bell Canyon Formation at WIPP SiteFigure L-4 Generalized Stratigraphic Cross Section above Bell Canyon Formation at WIPP Site

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-66 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-67 of 6753





Figure L-5 Culebra Freshwater-Head Potentiometric SurfaceSchematic North-South Cross Section Through the North Delaware Basin

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-68 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-69 of 6753



Source: Jones et al. 1992. Figure 2-5



1

Observation Well

Freshwater Heads in meters above mean sea level

Contour Interval: 2 meters

Figure L-6

Detection Monitoring Well Locations Culebra Freshwater Head Contour Surface

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-70 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-71 of 6753

1

1



As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-1Total Disselved Solids Distribution in the Culebra

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-72 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-73 of 6753



Figure L-8 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-2WQSP Monitor Well Locations

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-74 of 6754

# Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-75 of 6753

1



Figure L-9
<u>As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-3WIPP DMP Monitor Well Locations and Potentiometric</u>
Surface of the Culebra Near the WIPP

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-76 of 6754



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-77 of 6753

> Top Of Casing Elevation 3419.2 ft. amat Ground Surlace Holocene Deposits TITLE 15" Hole 10.75" x 0.376 Wall Surface Casing 25 9.875" Borehole" 5" x 0.280" Well Blank Fiberglass Well Casing 523 -Forty niner Member Cement Portland-ASTM C1510-92 Magenta Member Bentonite Seal 615 640 Tamarisk Sand Pack Member 651 8/16 Brady Gravel 702 699 5" Fiberglass 0.020" Slot Screen Culebra Centralizers Located at Bottom and Top of Screen and at 60-Fool Intervals to Surface Member -727 727 mmm 10000 Blank Casing unnamed lower member 737 Tolal Depih Note: Depths in lost bgs spproximale Not to Scale

Figure L-10 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-4 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-1

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-78 of 6754
### Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit

November 30, 2010, January 31, 2012



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-79 of 6753

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit November 30, 2010 January 31, 2012



Note: Depths in leat bgs approximate Not to Scale

Figure L-11 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-5 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-2

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-80 of 6754

### Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-81 of 6753 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Nevember 30, 2010 January 31, 2012



Note: Depths in feel bgs approximate Not to Scale

Figure L-12 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-6As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-3

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-82 of 6754



Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Nevember 30, 2010 January 31, 2012

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-83 of 6753 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Nevember 30, 2019 January 31, 2012

1



Nota: Depths in feet bga epproximate Not to Scale

Figure L-13 Example Chain-of-Custody/Request for Analysis FormFigure L-13 As Built Centiguration of Well WQSP-4

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-84 of 6754

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit November 30, 2010 January 31, 2012



PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-85 of 6753

1

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Nevember 30, 2010 January 31, 2012



Figure L-14 Groundwater Level Surveillance Wells (inset represents the groundwater surveillance wells in WIPP Land Withdrawal Area)Figure L-14

> PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-86 of 6754

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Nevember 30, 2019 January 31, 2012



Note: Depths in feel bgs approximate Not to Scale

> Figure L-18 As-Built Configuration of Well WQSP-6

> > PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-87 of 6753

1

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit November 30, 2010 January 31, 2012

I

l

Figure L-16 Recorved

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-88 of 6754

Chain of	Custody	y Record	
----------	---------	----------	--

LAB DESTINATION\_

(MOC Name and Address)

C of C Control No.

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit 30, 2010 January 31, 2012

RFA Control No.

SAMPLING PROGRAM

.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-89 of 6753 r

Figure L-17a ple Chain-ef-Custody-Record

Sample Number	Sample Location and Description	Date and Time Collected	Sample Type	Container Type	Condition on Receipt (Name and Date)	Disposal Record No.
			*			
1.35.2						
					-4	
						-1
	4					1. 1.
		1				
pedal Instructions						
oesible Sample Haz	ads:					
Ignatures: (Name, C	ompany, Data and Time:					
Received By:			3. Relin Reco	juished By:		
Relinquished By:-	10000		4. Ralin Rec	quished By:		

	REQUEST FOR ANALYSIS								
	(MOC Name a	nd Address}			R/A Contr	ol lo			
		······································			C/C Contr	ol No			
					Dale Sam	ple Shipped			
					Lab Desti	N Contact			
			. Send Lab Report To						
					Served a const	NULL CONTRACTOR			
m	VOC Monitorin	g Program			-				
tem	1.00			~	Date Rap	ort Required			
*	Purchase Orde	er No		Project Contact					
P	Project Contact Phone No.								
Ine L	Serial No.	Sample No.	C-of-C No.	Sample Type	Sample Pressure	Preservative	Contract-Specific Testing	Special Instructions	
101-A1									
1				and the second s		11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1			
					-				
	participant.	-			-				
			Line	Marrie and	- Aller - Aller		1		
	Linne	1	1.1.1						
	TURNAROUND TIME REQUIRED: (Rush must be approved by appropriate Manager) NORMALRUSH(Subject to rush surcharge) POSSIBLE HAZARD IDENTIFICATION: (Please indicate if sample(s) are hazardous materials and/or contain high levels of hazardous substances.) NONHAZARDFLAMMABLESKIN IRRITANTHIGHLY TOXICBIOLOGICALOTHER								
					and the second second		and the second		

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit Nevember 30, 2010 January 31, 2012



Figure L-18 Ground-water Surface Elevation Monitoring Locations

1

PERMIT ATTACHMENT L Page L-91 of 6753 Formatted: Left Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15, 2011 January 31, 2012



- •

Field (

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15, 2011 January 12, 2012



Figure N-2 VOC Monitoring System Design

PERMIT ATTACHMENT N Page N-24 of 26 Field (

Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Permit April 15, 2011 January 31, 2012



Figure N-3 Disposal Room VOC Monitoring

PERMIT ATTACHMENT N Page N-25 of 26 Field (



(not to scale, all measurements approximate)

Figure N-4 VOC Sample Head Arrangement

PERMIT ATTACHMENT N Page N-26 of 26

1

# ATTACHMENT N1

2

1

# **VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND MONITORING PLAN**

### 3 N1-1 Introduction

4 This Permit Attachment describes the monitoring plan for hydrogen and methane generated in

5 Underground Hazardous Waste Disposal Units (HWDUs) 3 through 8, also referred to as

6 Panels 3 through 8.

7 Monitoring for hydrogen and methane in Panels 3 through 8 until final panel closure, unless an

8 explosion-isolation wall is installed, may be an effective way to gather data to establish realistic

9 gas generation rates. This plan includes the monitoring design, a description of sampling and

10 analysis procedures, quality assurance (QA) objectives, and reporting activities.

### 11 N1-2 Parameters to be Analyzed and Monitoring Design

12 The Permittees will monitor for hydrogen and methane in filled Panels 3 through 8 until final

panel closure, unless an explosion-isolation wall is installed. A "filled panel" is an Underground

14 HWDU that will no longer receive waste for emplacement.

Monitoring of a filled panel will commence after installation of the following items in each filled panel:

- substantial barriers
- 18 bulkheads

19

37

five additional monitoring locations.

20 The substantial barriers serve to protect the waste from events such as ground movement or

21 vehicle impacts. The substantial barrier will be constructed from available non-flammable

22 materials such as mined salt (Figure N1-1).

The bulkheads (Figure N1-2) serves to block ventilation at the intake and exhaust of the filled 23 panel and prevent personnel access. The bulkhead is constructed as a typical WIPP bulkhead 24 with no access doors or panels. The bulkhead will consist of a steel member frame covered with 25 galvanized sheet metal, and will not allow personnel access. Rubber conveyor bell Flexible 26 flashing will be used as a gasket to attach the steel frame to the salt, thereby providing an 27 effective yet flexible blockage to ventilation air. Over time, it is possible that the bulkhead may 28 be damaged by creep closure around it. If the damage is such as to indicate a possible loss of 29 functionality, then the bulkhead will be repaired or an additional bulkhead will be constructed 30 outside of the original one. 31

The existing VOC monitoring lines as specified in Attachment N, Section N-3a(2), "Sampling Locations for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring", will be used for sample collection in each disposal room for Panels 3 and 4. The sample lines and their construction are shown in Figure N1-3. In addition to the existing VOC monitoring lines, five more sampling locations will be used to monitor for hydrogen and methane. These additional locations include:

the intake of room 1

- the waste side of the exhaust bulkhead,
  - the accessible side of the exhaust bulkhead,
  - the waste side of the intake bulkhead,
  - the accessible side of the intake bulkhead.

5 These additional sampling locations (Figure N1-4) will use a single inlet sampling point placed

11.8

- near the back (roof) of the panel access drifts. This will maximize the sampling efficiency for
   these lighter compounds.
- 8 N1-3 Sampling Frequency

1

2

3

4

Sampling frequency will vary depending upon the levels of hydrogen and methane that are
 detected.

- If monitored concentrations are at or below Action Level 1 as specified in Permit Part
   4, Table 4.6.5.3, monitoring will be conducted monthly.
- If monitored concentrations exceed Action Level 1 as specified in Permit Part 4, Table
   4.6.5.3, monitoring will be conducted weekly in the affected filled panel.
- 15 N1-4 Sampling
- 16 Samples for hydrogen and methane will be collected using subatmospheric pressure grab
- 17 sampling as described in Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Compendium Method TO-15

18 (EPA, 1999). The TO-15 sampling method uses passivated stainless-steel sample canisters to

19 collect integrated air samples at each sample location. Flow rates and sampling duration may

20 be modified as necessary to meet data quality objectives.

- 21 Sample lines shall be purged prior to sample collection.
- 22 N1-5 Sampling Equipment
- 23 N1-5a SUMMA® Canisters

Stainless-steel canisters with passivated or equivalent interior surfaces will be used to collect and store gas samples for hydrogen and methane analyses collected as part of the monitoring processes. These canisters will be cleaned and certified prior to their use in a manner similar to that described by Compendium Method TO-15 (EPA, 1999). The vacuum of certified clean canisters will be verified upon initiation of a sample cycle. Sampling will be conducted using subatmospheric pressure grab sampling techniques as described in TO-15.

30 N1-5b Sample Tubing

Treated stainless steel tubing shall be used as a sample path and treatment shall prevent the inner walls from absorbing contaminants.

Any loss of the ability to purge a sample line will be evaluated. The criteria used for evaluation are shown in Figure N1-5.

1							
2	ATTACHMENT O						
з		WIPP MINE VENTILATION RATE MONITORING PLAN					
4		TABLE OF CONTENTS					
5	0-1	Definitions					
6	0-2	Objective					
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	0-3	Design and Procedures.       32         O-3a       Test and Balance.       32         O-3a(1)       Test and Balance Process.       32         O-3a(2)       Test and Balance Schedule.       53         O-3b       Running Annual Average of the Total Mine Airflow       54         O-3b(1)       Monitoring Total Mine Airflow       54         O-3b(2)       Calculation of the Running Annual Average of Total Mine Airflow       54         O-3c(1)       Verification of Active Disposal Room Minimum Airflow       64         O-3c(2)       Measurement and Calculation of the Active Waste Disposal Room Airflow       64         O-3c(2)       Measurement and Calculation of the Active Waste Disposal Room Airflow       65         O-3d       Quarterly Verification of Total Mine Airflow       66					
20	O-4 Equipment Calibration and Maintenance						
21 22 23	O-5 Reporting and Recordkeeping						
24 25	0-6	Quality Assurance					

26

1

Hazardous Waste Permit November 30, 2010 January 31, 20142

1	LIST OF TABLES
2	Table Title
3	O-1 Ventilation Operating Modes and Associated Flow Bates
4	O-2 Mine Ventilation Bate Testing Equipment
5	O-3 Active Disposal Room Ventilation Rate Log Sheet (Example)
6	
7	
8	ATTACHMENT O
9	WIPP MINE VENTILATION RATE MONITORING PLAN
10	O-1 Definitions
11	Compliance with the mine ventilation requirements set forth in Permit Part 4 and Permit
12	Attachment A2 requires the use and definition of the following terms:
13	Actual cubic feet per minute (acfm): The volume of air passing a fixed point in an excavation,
14	normally determined as the product of the cross section of the excavation and the mean velocity
15	of the air.
16	Standard cubic feet per minute (scfm): The actual cubic feet per minute passing a fixed point
17	adjusted to standard conditions. In the Imperial measurement system, the standard condition for
18	pressure is 14.7 pounds per square inch (psi) (sea level) and the standard condition for
19	temperature is 492 degrees Rankine (freezing point of water or 32 degrees Fahrenheit). The
20	greatest difference between acim and scim occurs in the summer when the pressure at the
21	repository norizon is about 14.2 psi and the temperature is about 560 degrees Hankine (100
22	degrees Fahrenheit). Then
23	1 scfm x (560/492) x (14.7/14.2) = 1.2 acfm
24	A reasonably conservative conversion factor, therefore, is 1.2. Using this factor, 35,000 scfm is
25	very nearly 35,000 x 1.2 or 42,000 acfm.
26	Restricted Access: If the required ventilation rate in an active dispesal room where waste
27	disposal is taking place cannot be achieved or cannot be supported due to operational needs,
28	access is restricted by the use of barriers, signs and postings, or individuals stationed at the
29	entrance to the active disposal room when ventilation rates are below 35,000 scfm. Note: As
30	provided in O-3c(2) entry to restricted access active rooms for the purpose of establishing
31	normal ventilation is allowed.
32	Shift: Those work shifts when there is normal access to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)
33	underground.
34	Worker: Anyone who has normal access to the WIPP underground.

#### 0-2 Objective 1

7

8

The objective of this plan is to describe how the ventilation requirements in the Permit will be 2

met. This plan achieves this objective and documents the process by which the Permittees 3 demonstrate compliance with the ventilation requirements by:

- 4
- Maintaining an annual running average of 260,000 scfm through the underground 5 repository 6
  - Maintaining a minimum of 35,000 scfm of air through the active disposal-rooms where . waste disposal is taking place and when workers are present in the rooms
- This plan contains the following elements: Objective; Design and Procedures; Equipment 9 Calibration and Maintenance; Reporting and Record Keeping; Quality Assurance. 10
- 0-3 **Design and Procedures** 11
- This section describes the four basic processes that make up the mine ventilation rate 12 monitoring plan: 13
- . Test and Balance, a periodic re-verification of the satisfactory performance of the entire 14 underground ventilation system and associated components 15
- Monitoring and calculation of the Running Annual Average of the Total Mine Airflow to . 16 verify achievement of the 260,000 scfm minimum requirement 17
- Monitoring of active disposal room(s) to ensure a minimum flow of 35,000 scfm 18 whenever waste disposal is taking place and workers are present in the room 19
- Quarterly verification of the total mine airflow 20 .
- 0-3a Test and Balance 21
- O-3a(1) Test and Balance Process 22

The WIPP ventilation system and the underground ventilation modes of operation are described 23 in Permit Application A2-2a(3). The Permittees shall verify underground ventilation system 24 performance by conducting a periodic Test and Balance. The Test and Balance is a 25 comprehensive series of measurements and adjustments designed to ensure that the system is 26 operating within acceptable design parameters. The Test and Balance is an appropriate method 27 of verifying system flow because it provides consistent results based on good engineering 28 practices. The testing of underground ventilation systems is described in McPherson, 1993. 29 Once completed, the Test and Balance data become the baseline for underground ventilation 30 system operation until the next Test and Balance is performed. 31

The "Test" portion of the process shall involve measuring the pressure drop and air quantity of 32 every underground entry excluding alcoves or other dead end drifts. In addition, the tests shall 33 verify resistance curves for each of the main regulators, measure shaft resistance, and measure 34 main fan pressure and quantity. This is done at the highest achievable airflow to facilitate 35

accurate measurements. From these measurements the frictional resistance of the system is
 determined.

Pressure shall be measured using the gage and tube method, which measures the pressure drop between two points using a calibrated pressure recording device and pitot tubes. Pressure drops across the shafts shall be measured by either calibrated barometers at the top and bottom of shafts or the gage and tube method. Airflow shall be measured using a calibrated vane anemometer to take a full entry traverse between system junctions. Fan pressure shall be measured using a calibrated pressure recording device and pitot tube to determine both static and velocity pressure components.

10 Multiple measurements shall be taken at each field location to ensure accurate results.

11 Consecutive field values must fall within ±5% to be acceptable. These data shall be verified 12 during the testing process by checking that:

the sum of airflows entering and leaving a junction is equal to zero; and,

the sum of pressure drops around any closed loop is equal to zero.

15 Once the measurements are taken, data shall be used to calculate the resistance of every

underground drift, as well as shafts and regulators using Atkinson's Square Law

17

13

14

# P=R x Q<sup>2</sup>

where the pressure drop of an entry (P) is equal to a resistance (R) times the square of the quantity of air flowing (Q) through the circuit.

The "Balance" portion of the process shall involve adjusting the settings of the system fans and 20 regulators to achieve the desired airflow distribution in all parts of the facility for each mode of 21 operation. Particular emphasis shall be given to the active disposal room(s) in the Waste 22 Disposal Circuit to ensure that a minimum airflow of 35,000 scfm is achieved. The system 23 baseline settings for the current Balance shall be established from the previous Test and 24 Balance. Adjustments shall then be made to account for changes in system resistance due to 25 excavation convergence due to salt creep, approved system modifications, or operational 26 changes. 27

The Permittees shall use a commercially available ventilation simulator to process Test and Balance field data. The simulator uses the Hardy-Cross Iteration Method (McPherson, 1993) to reduce field data into a balanced ventilation network, including the appropriate regulator settings necessary to achieve proper airflow distribution for the various operating modes. Once balanced, the same simulator shall be used to evaluate changes such as future repository development and potential system modification before they are implemented.

The Test and Balance process culminates in a final report which is retained on site. Following 34 receipt of the Test and Balance Report, the Permittees shall revise the WIPP surface and 35 underground ventilation system procedures to incorporate any required changes to the 36 ventilation system configuration. The Test and Balance data shall be used to adjust the 37 operating range of fan controls, waste tower pressure, auxiliary air intake tunnel regulator 38 settings, underground regulator settings, and door configurations. The model data and 39 procedure changes shall be used to establish normal configuration settings to achieve the 40 desired airflow in the underground. These settings shall then be modified by operations 41

I

personnel throughout the year to compensate for system fluctuations caused by seasonal 1 2 changes in psychrometric properties, and to meet specific operations needs. This ensures that the facility is operated at the design airflow rate for each ventilation mode. 3

#### O-3a(2) **Test and Balance Schedule** 4

The Test and Balance is generally conducted on a 12- to 18-month interval, but in no case shall 5 the interval between consecutive Test and Balance performances exceed 18 months. This 6 interval is sufficient to account for changes in the mine configuration since over this period the 7 ventilated volume changes very little. The guality and maintenance of ventilation control 8 structures (e.g., bulkheads) is excellent, so leakage is small and relatively constant. Historic test 9 and balance results confirm that changes between test and balances fall within anticipated 10 values. 11

#### O-3b Running Annual Average of the Total Mine Airflow 12

#### O-3b(1) Monitoring Total Mine Airflow 13

The Permittees shall use the Central Monitoring Room Operator's (CMRO) Log to monitor total 14 mine airflow. Run-times for the various modes of operation shall be entered into the CMRO Log. 15 For example, if the CMRO Log indicates that the ventilation system was configured for Alternate 16 Mode (one main fan) at 8:00 am, and that this configuration was maintained until 11:30 am, a 17 total of 3.5 hours of run-time in Alternate Mode would be recorded. Run times are recorded to 18 the nearest guarter hour. The CMRO shall record each time when the ventilation system 19 configuration is changed, including periods when there is no ventilation. 20 O-3b(2) Calculation of the Running Annual Average of Total Mine Airflow 21

22 The Permittees shall calculate the running average flow rate on a monthly basis. The Permittees shall use the logged runtime data for various modes of operation (as described in O-3b(1)) and 23 the nominal design flow-rates for the various modes presented in Table O-1 to calculate the 24

average monthly flow rate for the facility. 25

The average monthly mine flow rate is computed monthly using the following formula: 26

27	Monthly Average Flow Rate = {[Normal Mode Run-time (hrs.) x 425,000 scfm]
28	+ [Alternate Mode Run-time (hrs.) x 260,000 scfm]
29	+ [Maintenance Bypass Run-time (hrs.) x 260,000 scfm]
30	+ [Reduced Mode Run-time (hrs.) x 120,000 scfm]
31	+ [Minimum Mode Run Time (hrs.) x 60,000 scfm]
32	+ [Filtration Mode Run-time (hrs.) x 60,000 scfm]}
33	/ 730 Hours per month.
34	The running annual average of total mine airflow annual average flow rate shall be calculated
35	using the monthly averages and the following formula:
36	Annual Average Flow Rate = $\sum$ Monthly Average for Previous 12 Months

- 37
- Annual Average Flow Rate =  $\sum$  Monthly Average for Previous 12 Months 12
- The use of an average value of 730 hours per month in the monthly average calculation is 38 reasonable, given that all the numbers involved are very large and that the final use of the 39

1 monthly average flow is in an annual calculation. The Permittees will notify NMED within seven

2 calendar days if either the minimum running annual average mine ventilation exhaust rate of

3 260,000 sofm or a minimum active room ventilation rate of 35,000 sofm when workers are

4 present in the room are not achieved.

5 O-3c Active Disposal Room Minimum Airflow

### 6 O-3c(1) Verification of Active Disposal-Room Minimum Airflow

Whenever workers are present, the Permittees shall verify the minimum airflow through active
 disposal room(s) where waste disposal is taking place of 35,000 scfm at the start of each shift,
 any time there is an operational mode change, or if there is a change in the ventilation system
 configuration.

### 11 O-3c(2) Measurement and Calculation of the Active Waste Disposal Room Airflow

12 The Permittees shall measure the airflow rate and use the room cross-sectional area to calculate the volume of air flowing through a disposal room. The measurement of airflow shall 13 use a calibrated anemometer and a moving traverse (McPherson, 1993). Airflow measurements 14 shall be collected at an appropriate location, chosen by the operator to minimize airflow 15 disturbances, near the entrance of each active disposal room. The excavation dimensions at the 16 measurement location are taken and the cross-sectional area is calculated. The flow rate is the 17 product of the air velocity and the cross-section area. The value shall be entered on a log sheet 18 (see Table O-3) and compared to the required minimum. The format and content of the log 19 sheet may vary, but will always contain the data and information shown on Table O-3. Working 20 values are in acfm and the conversion to scfm is described in section O-1 above. 21 Measurements shall be collected, recorded, and verified by qualified operators. 22 The operator shall compare the recorded acfm value with the minimum acfm value provided at 23 the top of the log sheet. The airflow shall be re-checked and recorded whenever there is an 24 operational mode change or a change in ventilation system configuration. Once the ventilation 25 rate has been recorded and verified to be at least the required minimum, personnel access to 26 the room is unrestricted in accordance with normal underground operating procedures. If the 27 required ventilation rate cannot be achieved, or cannot be supported due to operational needs, 28 access to the room shall be restricted. Those periods when active disposal room access is 29 restricted shall be documented on the log sheet for that active disposal room. Entry to restricted 30 access active rooms for the purpose of establishing normal ventilation is allowed. Such entry 31 shall be documented on the log sheet including a reference to the SOP used for reentry, 32

## 33 O-3d Quarterly Verification of Total Mine Airflow

The Permittees shall perform a quarterly verification of the total mine airflow to ensure that rates established by the Test and Balance for various operational modes are reasonably maintained. These checks are identified in Permit Attachment E, Table E-1, and are performed as indicated in Table E-1.

## 38 O-4 Equipment Calibration and Maintenance

Equipment used for the periodic Test and Balance, quarterly flow verification checks, and daily verification of active disposal room flow rate shall be calibrated in accordance with appropriate

- 1 WIPP calibration and data collection procedures. Work performed by subcontractors shall also
- 2 be calibrated to an equivalent standard. Equipment shall be inspected before each use to
- 3 ensure that it is functioning properly and that the equipment calibration is current. Maintenance
- 4 of equipment shall be completed by qualified individuals or by qualified off-site service vendors.
- 5 Equipment used to conduct the Test and Balance, Quarterly Verification of Total Mine Airflow, 6 and to determine the airflow through the active disposal room(s) are provided in Table O-2.
- 7 O-5 Reporting and Recordkeeping
- 8 O-5a Reporting

9 The Permittees shall submit an annual report to NMED presenting the results of the data and analysis of the Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan. In the years that the Test and Balance is performed, the Permittees will provide a summary of the results in the annual report.

The Permittees shall calculate the running annual average mine ventilation rate on a monthly basis and evaluate compliance with the minimum <u>ventilation rate for an</u> active room <u>ventilation</u> rate-specified in O-3b(2)Permit Section 4.5.3.2 on a monthly basis. Whenever the evaluation of the mine ventilation monitoring program data identifies that the ventilation rates specified in O-3b(2) have not been achieved, tThe Permittees will notifyshall report the Secretary in writing within seven calendar days the annual report specified in Permit Section 4.6.4.2 whenever the evaluation of the mine ventilation program data identifies that the ventilation rates

- 19 specified in Permit Section 4.5.3.2 have not been achieved..
- 20 O-5b <u>Recordkeeping</u>

23

24

25

26

- 21 The Permittees shall retain the following information in the Operating Record:
- The CMRO Log documenting the ventilation system operating mode.
  - The underground facility running annual average mine ventilation rate on a monthly basis.
  - Active disposal room ventilation flow rate readings as documented on the Active Disposal Room Ventilation Rate Log Sheet (Table O-3).
- The quarterly flow verification check and associated documentation.
- These records will be maintained in the facility Operating Record until closure of the WIPP facility.
- 30 O-6 Quality Assurance

Quality assurance associated with the Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan shall comply with the requirements of the WIPP Quality Assurance Program Description (**QAPD**). The Permittees shall verify the qualification of personnel conducting ventilation flow measurements. The instrumentation used for monitoring both underground and active disposal shall be calibrated in accordance with the applicable provisions of the WIPP procedures. The software used to calculate the monthly and annual running averages and the ventilation simulation software programs shall be controlled in accordance with the WIPP QAPD and WIPP computer software
 quality assurance plans.

3 Data generated by this plan, as well as records, and procedures to support this plan shall be

4 maintained and managed in accordance with the WIPP QAPD. Nonconformance or conditions

5 adverse to quality as identified in performance of this plan will be addressed and corrected as

6 necessary in accordance with applicable WIPP Quality Assurance Procedures.

7