

Department of Energy

Carlsbad Field Office P. O. Box 3090 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221 October 19, 2018

Mr. John E. Kieling, Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, NM 87508-6303

- Subject: Certificate of Completion for Supplemental Environmental Projects Paragraph 34 and Request for Termination of Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-14-21
- Reference: New Mexico Environment Department correspondence from J. C. Borrego, Deputy Secretary, New Mexico Environment Department, to Todd Shrader, CBFO, and Bruce C. Covert, NWP, dated December 28, 2017, subject: Request for Termination of Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-14-21, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, EPA I.D. Number NM4890139088

Dear Mr. Kieling:

The purpose of this letter is to provide the Certificate of Completion with pertinent attachments and data related to the final implementation for the referenced Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order (Agreement) Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) in Paragraph 34 and request the Permittees' original request for termination of the Agreement. This Certificate of Completion is required by Paragraph 32 of the Agreement.

Paragraph 34 of the Agreement states the following:

DOE will fund independent, external triennial reviews of environmental regulatory compliance and operations at WIPP to ensure that any regulatory deficiencies are identified. Each member of the triennial review team shall meet all applicable WIPP facility security, access, environmental, safety, and health protocols and training requirements associated with access to the WIPP site and WIPP records. The results of the triennial reviews shall be made available to the Respondents, NMED and the public. The Respondents, their constituent agencies, contractors and affiliates agree to address any potential regulatory violations, or operational deficiencies, that could lead to potential environmental regulatory violations, identified in the triennial reviews. NMED agrees to refrain from taking any enforcement action against the Respondents. their constituent agencies, contractors and affiliates for any potential regulatory violations, or operational deficiencies, that could lead to potential environmental regulatory violations, identified in the triennial reviews so long as the Respondents and their facility operators correct any deficiencies identified in the course of such reviews within sixty (60) calendar days of the finalization of each triennial review report, or for good cause shown, within another period of time beyond sixty (60) calendar days, if approved by NMED. DOE and NMED shall agree on a mechanism to procure and select a third party to perform the independent triennial reviews.

a) DOE shall submit to NMED a proposed WIPP Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines, within one (1) calendar year of the effective date of this Settlement Agreement for comment and final approval by NMED. Should DOE amend any provision contained in an approved WIPP Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines prior to any subsequent triennial review, DOE shall resubmit the amended WIPP Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines to NMED for approval.

b) The initial triennial review for WIPP shall be completed and made public before the end of federal fiscal year 2018.

Mr. Kieling

The initial triennial review has been made public by posting it on the WIPP Information Repository in accordance with Administrative Compliance Order HWB-14-21, Paragraph 122. It was posted on September 19, 2018. Paragraph 34a of the Agreement was completed on February 3, 2017 as indicated in the referenced letter. The enclosed Certificate of Completion for the SEP in Paragraph 34 with pertinent attachments and data is being provided pursuant to Paragraph 32 of the Agreement. The following are enclosed:

- Enclosure 1 Certificate of Completion
- Enclosure 2 Pertinent Attachments and Data
 - o Permittees' Notification of Public Posting for the Triennial Review Report
 - o Initial Triennial Review Report

Per the referenced letter, all other SEPs have been acknowledged as completed. Therefore, the Permittees are requesting termination of the Agreement.

We certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under our direction or supervision according to a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on our inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of our knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. We are aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Michael R. Brown at (575) 234-7476

Sincerely,

Signatures on file

Todd Shrader, Manager Carlsbad Field Office Bruce C. Covert, Project Manager Nuclear Waste Partnership LLC

Enclosures (2)

cc: w/enclosure	
R. Maestas, NMED	* ED
D. Biswell, NMED	ED
B. Yurdin, NMED	ED
M. McLean, NMED	ED
H. Tellez, NMED	ED
CBFO M&RC	
*ED denotes electronic distribution	

ENCLOSURE 1: CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION .

(1 PAGE)

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

IN SATISFACTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATED FINAL ORDER JANUARY 22, 2016 HWB-14-21 (CO)

The Respondents certify that Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP) Paragraph 34 has been completed in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order (AGREEMENT), January 22, 2016 [HWB-14-21 (CO)] between the Respondents and the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). This SEP was completed on September 19, 2018.

Therefore, the Respondents issue this Certificate of Completion to the NMED in satisfaction of the AGREEMENT at paragraphs 32 and 34.

The Respondents do hereby certify that the SEP Paragraph 34 is complete and has been accepted by the Respondents by the signatures below.

Signatures on file

Todd Shrader, Manager Carlsbad Field Office Bruce C. Covert, Project Manager Nuclear Waste Partnership LLC ENCLOSURE 2:

PERTINENT

ATTACHMENTS

AND DATA

(162 PAGES)



Department of Energy

Carlsbad Field Office P. O. Box 3090 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

SEP 1 9 2018

Mr. John E. Kieling, Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, NM 87508-6303

- Subject: Notification of Completion and Public Posting of the Triennial Review Report
- References: 1. New Mexico Environment Department correspondence from J. C. Borrego, Deputy Secretary, New Mexico Environment Department, to Todd Shrader, CBFO, and Bruce C. Covert, NWP, dated December 28, 2017, subject: Request for Termination of Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-14-21, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, EPA I.D. Number NM4890139088

2. The Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-14-21 (CO), January 22, 2016

Dear Mr. Kieling:

The purpose of this letter is to notify the NMED that the First Triennial Review Report for the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) facility was completed and made available to the public as required by Paragraph 34b of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order (Ref 2.). This report was made available to the public by posting it onto the WIPP Information Repository on September 19, 2018.

This notification is made pursuant to the referenced correspondence (Ref 1) that states:

NMED has reviewed the Request and acknowledges that all requirements of the Order except for Paragraph 34b have been completed, as discussed above. Because Paragraph 34b has not been completed, and is not scheduled to be completed until several months from now, NMED cannot terminate the Agreement in its entirety at this time. This request may be readdressed after Paragraph 34b has been completed. The Permittees should notify NMED once the initial triennial review has been completed and the results are made available.

Enclosed is the First Triennial Review Report for the WIPP facility.

We certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under our direction or supervision according to a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on

Mr. Kieling

our inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of our knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. We are aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Michael R. Brown at (575) 234-7476.

Sincerely,

Signatures on File

Todd Shrader, Manager Carlsbad Field Office Bruce C. Covert, Project Manager Nuclear Waste Partnership LLC

Enclosure

cc: w/enclosure B. Tongate, NMED *ED R. Maestas, NMED ED D. Biswell, NMED ED M. McLean, NMED ED H. Tellez, NMED ED CBFO M&RC *ED denotes electronic distribution



First Triennial Review Report For the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)

Prepared for: Nuclear Waste Partnership LLC (NWP)

> Prepared by: Firewater Associates, LLC

> > September 7, 2018



Table of Contents

1.	INT	RODUCTION	3
2.	REV	IEW OBJECTIVES	3
3.	sco	PE	4
4.	REV	IEW TEAM	4
4.	.1	Personnel	.4
4.	.2	Responsibilities	.5
5.	MET	THODOLOGY	5
5.	.1	Criteria Selection	.5
5.	.2	Selection of Training Records and Inspection Forms for Review	.9
6.	ON-	SITE REVIEW ACTIVITIES	9
6.	.1	Document Review1	.0
6.	.2	Interviews1	.9
6.	.3	Field Observations2	20
7.	FINI	DINGS, OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2
8.	сол	ICLUSIONS 2	28

Attachment A – WIPP Triennial Review Plan Attachment B – Quality Assurance Plan Attachment C – Criteria Checklists Attachment D – Review Team Qualifications



Triennial Review Final Report September 7, 2018

1. INTRODUCTION

This first Triennial Review (or Review) of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) is the result of a Settlement Agreement between the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)-Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) and Nuclear Waste Partnership LLC (NWP) to resolve alleged violations of the New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act (HWA), NMSA 1978, Sections 74-4-1 to- 14, the Hazardous Waste Management Regulations, 20.4.1 NMAC, and the WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit (Permit). Specifically, Paragraph 34 of the Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order (SFO) dated January 22, 2016, requires a Triennial Review.

The Review is designed to be a systematic, independent, and documented process of objectively obtaining and evaluating evidence to determine whether specified environmental regulatory requirements are met at the WIPP. The Review is intended to evaluate the integrity of the regulatory compliance processes implemented at the WIPP facility under legislation, permits, DOE Orders, notices, and agreements.

The DOE-CBFO funded the independent, external Triennial Review in accordance with Paragraph 34 of the SFO. Through a competitive procurement process, Firewater Associates, LLC (Firewater) was selected as the independent firm to conduct the first Triennial Review. The document review and on site portion of the Triennial Review was conducted from October 17, 2017 through March 16, 2018. In accordance with its contract (PO #509218) with NWP, Firewater developed a Review Plan to conduct the WIPP first Triennial Review. The Review Plan incorporates the requirements of the *Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines* (SOW), document 17-1003 submitted on January 20, 2017, that the SFO required DOE to submit to NMED for approval. The Review Plan also specifies the methodologies that the Triennial Review Team (Review Team or Team) utilized to conduct the Review for both the off-site and on-site portions of the Review. This Triennial Review Report (Report) documents: 1) the Review objectives; 2) the Review scope, 3) the Review Team members; 4) the activities performed during the on-site and off-site portions of the Review; and, 5) the Review Team's findings, observations and recommendations.

2. REVIEW OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of the Review was to determine whether specified environmental regulatory requirements within the designated scope areas are being properly implemented at the WIPP facility. In those areas, the Review sought to identify potential regulatory deficiencies,



potential violations (herein referred to as non-compliances), and deficiencies that could lead to non-compliances of environmental regulations.

In addition to identifying potential regulatory deficiencies and non-compliances, the Review Team attempted to identify areas of improvement so that NWP could address and mitigate immediate risks, as well as make process improvements to prevent future risks.

3. SCOPE

The Review focused on the following environmental statutes, regulations, and Orders, consistent with the *First Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines*:

- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and implementation through the New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act (NMHWA)
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) responsibilities under the Atomic Energy Act (AEA)
- Clean Water Act (CWA) and the New Mexico Water Quality Act
- DOE Order 231.1B, Admin Change 1, Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting
- DOE Order 458.1, Change 3, Radiation Protection of the Public

The Review Team evaluated current WIPP facility programs, plans and procedures for compliance with the above statutes, regulations, and orders at surface and underground structures/facilities at the WIPP facility.

4. REVIEW TEAM

4.1 Personnel

The Triennial Review Team was made of up six team members from two contractors -Firewater Associates, LLC (Firewater) and Longenecker & Associates (L&A). Their resumes can be found in Attachment D. The Review Team members included the following individuals:

Team Member	Affiliation
William Palmer	Firewater Associates, LLC
Gregory Edwards	Firewater Associates, LLC
Anne Weaver	Firewater (Visionary Solutions)
Dr. Ben Rogers	Firewater Associates, LLC
Christine Gelles	Longenecker & Associates
Kathryn Roberts	Longenecker & Associates



4.2 Responsibilities

Each Team member was responsible for developing Lines of Inquiry (LOI) for each of the criteria assigned. Additionally, Review Team members considered the following factors when developing LOIs:

- Accuracy of reporting and documentation
- Identifying precursors of future non-compliances
- Lessons Learned from previous complex wide environmental assessments
- Impacts on the client
- Schedule/timetable adherence
- Communication
- Confidentiality and information security

5. METHODOLOGY

In accordance with the Review Plan, the Review Team developed criteria checklists for each of the assigned areas (e.g., RCRA, TSCA). The criteria checklists were reviewed by NWP for consistency with the NMED approved *First Waste Isolation Plant Project Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines* (scope of work and guidelines) prior to the on-site portion of the Review. The final criteria checklists were designed to guide on-site observations and help the Team assess whether collected evidence met the review criteria.

5.1 Criteria Selection

This section describes the methodology employed by the Review Team in determining the specific criteria to be evaluated in each of the six areas (i.e., RCRA, TSCA, CWA, AEA, DOE O 231.1B and DOE O 458.1). In general, criteria were generated from specific language in the controlling document (will, shall, must, etc.), but other criteria were added based on the Review Team's evaluation of language that conveyed intent to require an action by NWP. In addition, the Review Team added criteria based on its experience with operations in regulated facilities and the associated risks. The language used in the "Required Program" (question to be answered) column of the associated Criteria Workbook also reflects that experience.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)

Requirements listed in the Permit that do not directly relate to current activities at the WIPP facility (e.g., closure, post-closure) were not included in the Review criteria. These determinations were made based on the Team's subject matter knowledge, in consultation with NWP and CBFO. Additionally, there were specific areas of the Permit (e.g., waste characterization at generator sites, transportation, packaging) that were outside the scope of the first Triennial Review and were therefore not evaluated. Finally, most provisions identified in the Permit Attachments are referenced in the relevant Permit Parts. Therefore, the Team did not duplicate the criteria, but instead cross-referenced the applicable Permit Part or Attachment in the checklists where appropriate.



In addition to criteria developed from direct requirements of the Permit, criteria associated with non-Permit requirements associated with 40 CFR Part 262 and 40 CFR Part 761 directives were also included. While Permit requirements related to remote-handled (RH) transuranic (TRU) waste management were identified in the development of criteria prior to mobilization for the onsite portion of the Review, these were not addressed, at the request of NWP, based on the decision that RH TRU waste was not being processed at the WIPP facility at the time of the Review.

The Team evaluated the Parts and Attachments of WIPP's Permit with the following exceptions:

- <u>Permit Part 6 Closure Requirements</u>: The Team did not evaluate the majority of Permit Part 6 because the WIPP facility is still actively receiving waste. However, the Team did evaluate the closure requirements for filled panels Panels, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), including commitments on closure methodology, design and schedule.
- <u>Permit Part 7 Post-Closure Care Plan</u>: The Team did not evaluate Permit Part 7 because the WIPP facility is not in any phase of post-closure care. Therefore, the majority of these requirements are not yet applicable to the facility.
- <u>Permit Attachment A General Facility Description and Process Information</u>: The Team did not evaluate Permit Attachments A, A1, A2, A3 or A4 because these Attachments provide descriptive language about the facility, rather than Permit requirements. Furthermore, references to Attachment A are captured throughout the Permit Parts (particularly Permit Parts 3 & 4) and were addressed by the Team during the Review.
- <u>Permit Attachment B Part A Application</u>: Attachment B is the WIPP facility RCRA Part A Permit application provided as information within the regulatory record. As such, there are no requirements to be evaluated. The requirements resulting from this application are contained in the Permit itself and were evaluated during the Review.
- <u>Permit Attachment F Personnel Training</u>: At the time of the Review, a Class 2 Permit Modification Request containing a major restructuring of the training program and requirements for hazardous waste facility personnel working at the WIPP facility had been submitted and was under review by NMED. Since completion of the onsite portion of the Review, that modification request has been approved and is being implemented. However, the Review was conducted based on the then-in-force Permit requirements. No review of the changes to the findings based on the new Permit requirements has been conducted.
- <u>Permit Attachments H and H1 Post Closure Plan:</u> The Team did not evaluate Permit Attachments H & H1 because the WIPP facility is not in any phase of post-closure care. Therefore, these requirements are not yet applicable to the facility. Those that apply once Panels are closed are covered in Attachment N.
- <u>Permit Attachment I Compliance Schedule</u>: The Team did not evaluate Permit Attachment I because, currently, there is no Compliance Schedule.
- <u>Permit Attachment J Hazardous Waste Management Unit Tables</u>: Attachment J is a table that lists the Hazardous Waste Management Units (HWMUs). There are no requirements listed in Attachment J. The requirements for each of HWMU are addressed in the individual Permit Parts/Attachments and were evaluated during the Review.



- <u>Permit Attachment M Figures</u>: The Team did not evaluate Permit Attachment M because, currently, there are no figures in this Attachment.
- <u>Permit Attachment N1 Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring Plan</u>: Permit requirements related to this Attachment were identified in the development of criteria prior to mobilization for the onsite investigation portion of the Review. However, upon initiating the investigation during the on-site Review, it was determined that the requirements for hydrogen and methane monitoring are being proposed for deletion from the Permit in a Class 3 Permit Modification Request pertaining to the Panel closure design. The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to a NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, (which do not have explosion isolation walls installed) are not accessible for monitoring. Therefore, no detailed review of the criteria was conducted.

NM Water Quality Act – Discharge Permit 831

Applicable sections of the New Mexico Water Quality Act (NMWQA) were reviewed. Additionally, Discharge Permit (DP) 831 was reviewed in its entirety, and the Permit provisions were evaluated with the following exceptions:

 Conditions 42-51 of DP 831 are common to all discharge permits issued by the NMED-Groundwater Quality Bureau (GWQB). These provisions outline administrative processes that must be followed, such as submitting modifications or amendments to the Permit or payment of fees. For the purposes of the Review, the Team focused on the process provisions of the Permit (rather than the administrative) because violations of these provisions could potentially result in releases to the environment. Preventing releases to the environment is the primary objective of the NMWQA and DP 831.

Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)

Criteria under the TSCA, Disposal of PCB/TRU and PCB/TRU Mixed Waste at the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) WIPP Carlsbad, New Mexico Conditions of Approval (EPA Conditions of Approval), were selected based on the current operations at the WIPP facility. In addition to criteria developed as direct requirements of the Conditions of Approval, criteria associated the applicable PCB regulations in 40 CFR 761 were also included for completeness.

Atomic Energy Act (AEA)

While the AEA is listed within the scope of work and guidelines as a relevant regulatory document, involving the collection and reporting of information required by the recent EPA Compliance Re-Certification responsibilities and authorities for both DOE and EPA, it does not warrant or lend itself to a specific compliance checklist. The Review Team reviewed the AEA as amended and confirmed that any WIPP-related requirements, including waste type definitions and references to TRU waste management and disposal, are also included in other statutory and regulatory requirements within the First WIPP Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines. Further, the responsibilities assigned to the EPA within the AEA relate to regulation of uranium production and enrichment facilities and the disposal of by product materials. As such, the AEA has no apparent relevance to the Review in regard to EPA authorities. Rather, the



EPA roles and authorities are clearly established within the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA), 40 CFR Part 191, and 40 CFR Part 194.

DOE Orders

DOE Order 231.1B, *Environment, Safety and Health Reporting* and DOE Order 458.1, *Radiation Protection of the Public and Environment* are two regulatory requirements promulgated by DOE under its AEA authorities. Compliance with these two Orders at the WIPP facility was specifically evaluated as part of the Review.

DOE Order 231.1B

In summary, this Order requires the operator at DOE sites, including the WIPP, to 1) produce an annual site environmental report (ASER); 2) report occupational health and safety information; 3) provide ionizing radiation exposure information; and, 4) report radioactive sealed source information.

The WIPP facility 2016 ASER was evaluated, as well as the WIPP facility related environmental monitoring and reporting procedures. Procedures and implementation in the areas of occupational health and safety reporting and radioactive source management were also reviewed. However, the review focused on environmental aspects, rather than worker safety and health, due to the Triennial Review Team focus on environmental criteria pursuant to SFO and the First WIPP Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines.

DOE Order 458.1

This DOE Order establishes requirements to protect the public and the environment against undue risk from radiation associated with radiological activities conducted under the DOE control. As such, it has broad implications on any DOE site operations. It also underpins a site Environmental Management System (EMS) and the Radiation Protection Program and relies heavily on recordkeeping. However, compliance with every detail of the Order itself is not the purpose of this Review. In developing the Review Plan relative to Order 458.1, the Team reviewed the Order and the Contractor Requirements Document (CRD) in detail to select those requirements that were directly and currently relevant to the WIPP facility and the purpose of the Review. The Team did not include specific requirements related to institutional controls (IC) or clearance of real property, as they aren't currently relevant to the WIPP facility.

In contrast, the Team included clearance of personal property containing residual radioactivity because there could be contaminated equipment and other items from recovery located at the WIPP facility, and there could be contaminated containers resulting from waste handling activities that require decontamination. The Team focused on two general requirements from the CRD: 1) the NWP implementation schedule, and 2) the documentation of DOE acceptance of the compliance documentation. The Team also focused on requirements related to the establishment and management at the "program level".



As with Order 231.1B, the Review did not address requirements specifically related to occupational exposures to site personnel, based on the decision that the Review is limited to environmental criteria pursuant to SFO and the First WIPP Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines. Rather, the Review against Order 458.1 requirements centered on those related to radiation protection of the environment.

5.2 Selection of Training Records and Inspection Forms for Review

In determining which inspection forms or which employee training records within a job title should be examined to determine whether the regulatory requirements were being met, the Review Team used the following methodology:

- For determining the number of samples to be reviewed for various size sample populations (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly, semi-annually), the Team chose values commonly used in statistical selection to determine the number of records to select from each group of records in order to achieve a high degree of confidence in the review results. A random number generator was then used to select which records from those groups for in-depth review.
- For documents (such as inspection forms), the Team first had to determine the time frame that established the available population. An enhanced review process for inspection forms was implemented at the WIPP facility in July 2016. Therefore, the Team chose October 1, 2016 as the start date for selecting the population of documents to be reviewed to allow the WIPP facility staff two months to implement the new inspection forms process. The publication/production dates within each document frequency group (e.g., daily, weekly, monthly, semi-annually) were sequentially numbered starting with October 1, 2016. A random number generator was then used to select the specific document dates to be reviewed.
- For selection of personnel for in-depth review of training compliance, the list of personnel for each job title was numbered sequentially. A random number generator was then used to select the personnel from each job category to be examined in-depth.

6. ON-SITE REVIEW ACTIVITIES

The Review Team was on-site for a four-week period extending from February 20, 2018 through March 16, 2018. During that time, team members performed a thorough document review (e.g., program plans, procedures, instructions, other documentation), conducted interviews of relevant NWP and CBFO personnel, observed a variety of operational activities (e.g., volatile organic compound (VOC) sampling, inspections, groundwater sampling) and participated in walk-downs of several areas (e.g., storm water ponds, the Waste Handling Building (WHB), all accessible areas of the underground). The Review Team evaluated over 500 individual criteria across the six focus areas identified in Section 3, above.

Below is a summary of the Review Team activities during the on-site portion of the Review.



6.1 Document Review

The Triennial Review Team reviewed the following documents during the on-site portion of the Triennial Review:

Table 1 – Document Review		
Criteria	Procedures/Plans/Documents	
Area		
Permit Part	02-EC.06 WIPP Site Effluent and Hazardous Waste Sampling Plan	
1 – General	• 02-EC1001 Characterization Sampling, Shipping, and Documentation	
Permit		
Conditions	 02-EC3506 Environmental Incident Reporting 	
	02-RC3112 Stakeholder E-mail Notification System	
	02-EM.02 Integrated Sample Control Plan	
	• 02-PC.03 WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Reporting and Notifications	
	Compliance Plan	
	 02-PC3005 Hazardous Waste Permit Notification and Reporting 	
	• 02-RC.01 Hazardous and Universal Waste Management Plan	
	O2-RC.05 LL/MLL Waste Management Plan	
	 02-RC3109 Waste Accumulation Area Inspection 	
	02-RC3111 Information Repository	
	02-RC5000 Site Environmental Compliance RCRA Operating Record	
	04-CO.01-6 Conduct of Operations Program - Investigation of Abnormal	
	Events, Conditions and Trends	
	 04-CO.01-7 Conduct of Operations Program - Notifications 	
	08-NT.12 NWP Transportation Program	
	 12-15 WIPP Emergency Management Communications Plan 	
	• 12-17 WIPP Emergency Management Training Program	
	12-ER.02 WIPP Vital Records Program	
	 12-ER4925 CMR Incident Recognition and Initial Response 	
	• 14-TR.01 WIPP Training Program	
	 15-RM WIPP Records Management Program 	
	• 15-RM3002 Records Filing, Inventorying, Scheduling, and Dispositioning	
	 15-RM3003 Disposal of Nonpermanent Records in Office 	
	 15-RM3006 Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule Review and 	
	Approval	
	 18-0317 Amended Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Biennial Report 	
	EA12ER4926-7-0 RCRA Contingency Plan Implementation Decision	
	 16-3316 Implementation of RCRA Contingency Plan 	
	• 17-1004 Quarterly Report	
	 17-1023 Return of Surge Storage to Normal 	
	 17-1029 Implementation of RCRA Contingency Plan 	



	• 17-1035 Class 1 Modification – CH Storage Clarification
	• 17-1045 Request for Extension of Storage Time
	 17-1058 Closure of UG Derived Waste Storage Area
	 17-1068 Certificate of Completion of Settlement Agreement
	• 17-1096 Implementation of RCRA Contingency Plan
	• 17-3592 Semi-Annual VOC, Hydrogen, and Methane Data Summary Report
	• 18-0317 Biennial Report
	EA12ER3907-1-0 Emergency Notification Form
	 EA12ER3907-2-0 WIPP Emergency Notification Fax Coversheet
	• EA12ER4926-8-0 Notification of Implementation of the WIPP RCRA
	Contingency Plan
	2017 Waste Minimization Report
	Guidance on which NWP Transmittals Require Certification - Signatures
	• WIPP Order 05-20001
	 Surface 90-day Accumulation Area Inspections
	 Surface Satellite Accumulation Inspection Reports
	 Underground Operations Pre-Start Inspection Reports
	Underground Compliance Plan
	 NMED Inspection Letter – December 2016
	 Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order
	 2018 Class 3 Permit Modification – Numerous Sections
Permit Part	 02 RC.01 Hazardous and Universal Waste Management Plan
2 – General	 02-EC3506 Environmental Incident Reporting
Facility	• 02-RC5000 WIPP Site Environmental Compliance RCRA Operating Record
conditions	04-AD3001 Facility Mode Compliance
	 04-VU1001 Surface and Underground Ventilation and Filtration System
	 04-VU-2001 Interim Ventilation System (IVS) Operation
	 04-VU2004 Interim Ventilation System Testing and Balancing
	 08-3378 WIPP Emergency Planning Hazards
	08-NT3020 TRU Waste Receipt Inspection
	 08-NT3105 Transportation "Out of Service" Tags
	 08-NT3111 Return of TRU Waste to the Generator
	 12-10 WIPP Incident/Accident Response Team Plan
	 12-15 WIPP Emergency Management Communications Plans
	 12-5 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Radiation Safety Manual
	• 12-9 WIPP Emergency Management Plan
	• 12-ER.02 WIPP Vital Records Program
	• 12-ER.21 WIPP Fire Department Emergency Evacuation Procedure
	• 12-FP.01 WIPP Fire Protection Program
	• 12-FP.28 Fire Protection Program and Implementation Procedure
	• 12-FP.30 WIPP Fire Protection Engineering Training Program



	12-HP1100 Radiological Surveys
	• 12-HP1500 Radiological Postings and Access Control
	• 15-RM WIPP Records Management
	• 17-1011 Safeguards and Security Access Control Plan
	• 17-SS-1011 Safeguards and Security Access Control Plan
	• 17-SS-1023 Safeguards and Security Fences, Gates, and Signs Inspection
	CH-TRU Payload Appendices
	Contact Handled Transuranic Waste Authorized Methods for Payload
	Control (CH-TRAMPAC)
	EA04AD3002-SR54 LCO Surveillance Data Sheet
	2017 Waste Minimization Report
	2016 Waste Minimization Report
	2015 Waste Minimization Report
Permit Part	 02-RC.01 Hazardous and Universal Waste Management Plan
3-	• 04-CO.01-7, 12-15 Conduct of Operations Program Notifications, WIPP
Container	Emergency Management Communications Plan
Storuge	05-WH1101 CH Waste Processing
	 05-WH1025 CH Waste Downloading and Emplacement
	05-WH1202 TP III Monorail Hoist
	• 05-WH1407 6-Ton Bridge Cranes
	 05-WH-1410 Adjustable Center of Gravity Lift Fixture
	 05-WH1810 Underground Transuranic Mixed Waste Disposal Area
	Inspections
	 08-NT3001 Volume Control of Parking Area Storage Unit
	12-IH.02-3, Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergencies
Permit Part	 02-PC.03 WIPP Hazardous Waste facility Reporting and Notifications
4 – Geologic	 02-PC3005 Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Notification and Reporting
Disposal	02-RC3111 Information Repository
Disposal	 02-RC3112 Stakeholder E-Mail Notification System
	04-CO.01 Conduct of Operations
	 04-VU1001 Surface Underground Ventilation and Filtration System
	 04-VU1613 Underground Airflow Configuration and Verification
	 04-VU1614 Underground (UG) Air Volume Readings
	• 05-WH1101 CH Surface Transuranic Mixed Waste Handling Area
	Inspections
	05-WH1810 Underground Transuranic Mixed Waste Disposal Area
	Inspections
	• 07-EU1301 - Manually Acquired Geomechanical Instrumentation Data
	07-EU1303 Geomechanical Instrument Data Processing
	08-NT3020 TRU Waste Receipt Inspection
	 12-FP.29 Fire Protection Program Records Retention



	• 12-VC.01 Volatile Organic Compounds Monitoring Plan
	DWG#51-W-214-W Typical Panel Design Drawing
	• EA04AD3001-SR47 LCO Surveillance Data Sheet
	• EN:18:00317 Geomechanical Mine Stability Surveillance Report
	Map of Container Placement in HWMU
	RPACA3 Preoperational Underground TRU Mixed Waste Disposal Area
	Inspection Form
	WP1736471 Panel 8 Work Package
	 2018 Class 3 Permit Modification
	 Engineering Drawings of Danels 1 Through 7
Pormit Part	Eligiteeting Drawings of Parlets 1 Through 7
	U2-RC5000 RCRA Operating Record
Groundwat	• 02-1 WIPP Groundwater Monitoring Program Plan
er Detection	 02-EM1002 Electric Submersible Pump Operation and Maintenance Purging
Monitoring	 02-FM1010 Field Parameter Measurements and Final Sample Collection
& Attachment	 02-EM1010 Field Full and Field Full and Field Full Sumple Concertion 02-EM1014 Groundwater Level Measurement
L - WIPP	• 02-EM1025 Data Review for the Annual Culebra Groundwater Report
Groundwat	• 02-EM1026 Water Level Handling & Reporting
er Detection	• 02-PC3002 WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Change Request &
Monitoring	Modification Processing
Program	• 02-EC1003 Low-Flow Groundwater Purging & Sampling
Plan	• 02-EM3003 Data Verification & Validation of RCRA Results
	• 02-PC.03 WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Reporting & Notifications
	Compliance Plan
	06-3339 WIPP Groundwater Protection Program Plan
	• 10-AD3029 Calibration & Control of Monitoring & Data Collection
	Equipment
	 RCRA Operating Record List, Revision 12
	• 13-1 NWP Quality Assurance Program Description
Permit	00-2001 WIPP Facility Work Plan for Solid Waste Management Units and
Parts 6-8	Areas of Concern
(Closure	• 04-AU1007 Underground Openings Inspections
Requiremen	• 05-WH.04 WIPP Waste Operations Training Program Plan
ts, Post-	• 12-FR 02 WIPP Vital Records Program
Closure	• 15-RM WIPP Records Management Program
care Plan	Permit Table 4.1.1.1.Inderground HW/DUs
Corrective	
Action for	
SWMUs and	
AOCs),	
Attachment	
s G -	



Closure	
Plan, H -	
Post Closure	
Care Plan	
and K -	
SWMU and	
AOC Tables	
Permit	 02-EC3506 Environmental Incident Reporting
Attachment	04-AD3001 Facility Mode Compliance
C - Waste	 08-NT3105 Transportation "Out of Service" Tags
Analysis	• 08-NT3111 Return of TRU Waste to the Generator
Plan	• 12-5 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Radiation Safety Manual
	• 12-HP1100 Radiological Surveys
	• 12-HP1500 Radiological Postinas and Access Control
	CH-TRU Payload Appendices
	 Contact Handled Transuranic Waste Authorized Methods for Payload
	Control (CH-TRAMPAC)
	 DOE Order 460.2A Departmental Material Transportation and Packaging
	Management
	DOE /WIDD 07 2273 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Technical Safety
	DOE/WIPP 07-5375 Waste isolation Pliot Plant Technical Sujety
	DOE (MIDD 07 2272 Maste Isolation Bildt Plant Desumented Safety
	DOE/WIPP-07-3372 Waste isolation Pilot Plant Documented Sajety Analysis
	EA04AD3002-SR54 LCO Surveillance Data Sheet
	Hazardous Waste Facility Permit, EPA Identification Number
	NM4890139088-TSDF
	RCRA Contingency Plan, Section D-2b
	• U. S. Department of Energy. HalfPACT Safety Analysis Report, NRC-Docket
	71-9279
	• Waste Confirmation data (e.a., shipping records)
Permit	• 1.48 Emergency Management Program
Attachment	 12-13 Emergency Action Levels
D - RCRA	 12-15 Energency Management Training Program
Contingency	 12 17 White Energency Wanagement Hazardous Materials Response Guide 12-ER 05 Fire Department Hazardous Materials Response Guide
Plan	 12 ER3002 Emorgoncy Operations Contor
	 12-ER3002, Emergency Operations Center 12 EP3007 Operational Emergency Notifications
	• 12-ERS907 Operational Emergency Notifications
	• 12-ER4926 CIVIK EXpanded Stajjing Operations
	DUE-WIPP-1/35/3 WIPP Emergency Management Plan
	EA12ER3907-1-0 Emergency Notification Form
	EA12ER3907-2-0 WIPP Emergency Notification Fax Coversheet
	• EA12ER4926-7-0 RCRA Contingency Plan Implementation Decision
	Checklist



	• EA12ER4926-8-0 Notification of Implementation of the WIPP RCRA
	Contingency Plan
Permit	02-RC.01 Hazardous and Universal Waste Management Plan
Attachment	• 04-AU1007 Underground Openings Inspections
E -	• 04-AU1026 Self-Rescuer Inspection
Inspection	• 04-CO.01-7, 12-15 Conduct of Operations Program Notifications, WIPP
Process and	Emergency Management Communications Plan
Forms	04-ED1301 Diesel Generator Operation
	 04-FP1401 Underground Fuel Station Operation
	• 05-WH1101 CH Waste Processing
	• 05-WH1025 CH Waste Downloading and Emplacement
	05-WH1202 TP III, Monorail Hoist
	 05 WH1407 6-Ton Bridge Cranes, 41-T-151 A, B, C & D
	• 05 WH1410 Adjustable Center of Gravity Lift Fixture
	05 WH1810 Underground Transuranic Mixed Waste Disposal Area
	Inspections
	• 05-WH1101 CH Surface Transuranic Mixed Waste Handling Area
	 07-EU1301 Manually Acquired Geomechanical Instrument Data
	 08-NT3001 Volume Control of Parking Area Storage Unit
	• 12-9 WIPP Emergency Management Plan
	• 12-ER.02 WIPP Vital Records Program
	 12-FP 0026 Diesel and Electric Pump Valve Inspections
	• 12-FP.01 WIPP Fire Protection Program
	• 12-FP.19 WIPP Fire Protection Self-Assessment Program
	• 12-FP.20 WIPP Equivalency, Exemption and Variance Program
	• 12-FP.28 Fire Protection Program and Implementation Plan and
	Procedures
	 12-FP.29 Fire Protection Program Records Retention
	• 12-FP.30 WIPP Fire Protection Engineering Training Program Plan
	 12-FP0025 Fire Protection Sprinkler System Report
	• 12-FP0026 Weekly Surveillance for Fire Water Supply and Surveillance
	 12-FP0028 Fire/Safety Inspection and Testing
	 12-FP0029 Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) Inspection
	 12-FP0034 Fire Hydrant and Isolation Valve Inspection
	 12-FP0034, Attachment 1 Fire Hydrant Semi Annual,
	 12-FP0034, Attachment 2 Fire Hydrant and Isolation Valve Annual
	Inspection
	• 12-FP0046 Hydrant Flow Testing
	 12-FP0060 Semi-Annual Inspection and Test of Automatic Fire
	Suppression for Vehicles and Equipment
	• 12-FP3001 Fire Protection Impairment



	• 12-FP3004 WIPP Fire Department Pre-Incident Plans
	• 12-IH.02-3 Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergencies
	• 12-IS.01-1 Industrial Safety Program - Barricades and Barriers
	• 15-EM Records Management Program
	• 15-GM1002 Issues Management Processing of WIPP Forms
	• 15-MD3102 Event Investigation
	• 17-SS1023 WIPP Fence, Gates, and Sign Daily Inspection Checklist
	• 30 CFR Part 57 Safety and Health Standards - Underground Metal and
	Nonmetal Mines
	• 40 CFR Part 761, Subpart C Marking of PCBs and PCB Items
	 40 CFR Part 761, Subpart D Storage and Disposal
	Action Requests, Inspection Forms and Work Orders Department
	Administrative Order Issued May 12, 2014
	DOE Order 251.1C Departmental Directives Program
	DOE Order 420.1C Facility Safety
	DOE Order 426.1 Federal Technical Capability
	DOE STD-1137-2007 Fire Protection Engineering Functional Area
	Qualification Standard
	• DOE/WIPP-02-3212 Ground Control Annual Plan for the Waste Isolation
	Pilot Plant,
	 DOE/WIPP-07-3372 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Documented Safety
	Analysis (DSA),
	DOE/WIPP-07-3373 Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Technical Safety
	Requirement (TSRs)
	DOE-STD-1066-2012 Fire Protection
	DOE-STD-1137-2007 Fire Protection Engineering Functional Area
	Qualification Standard
	EA12FP0025-452 Sprinkler System Inspections
	EA12FP0028-452 Monthly and Quarterly Inspections
	PM000011 Room/Panel Closure Bulkheads Monthly Inspection
	• STDJHA-1039 Underground Openings Inspections,
	Inte 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §264.15, General Inspection
	Requirements
Dormit	Onderground Compliance Plan, Prepared in Response to NMED Request
Attachment	US-WH.04 WIPP Waste Operations Training Program Plan
F - Facility	12-FP.03 WIPP Fire Department Program Plan
, Personnel	12-FF.20 WIPP Equivalency, exemption and variance Program 12 17 WIDD Emergency Management Training Program
Permit	• 12-17 WIPP Emergency Wanagement Training Program
Training	14-10.01 WIFF Hummy Flogram Class 2 Dermit Modification Training Plan Changes
Program	• Cluss 2 Permit Woulfication – Training Plan Changes



	• Training Records Compliance Review of 61 Hazardous Waste Workers in
	26 RCRA Hazardous Management Job Titles
Permit	• 02-PC3003 EPA Compliance Program
Attachment	• 12-IH.02-17 Volatile Organic Chemical Occupancy Exposure Limits
N -	• 12-IH1828 MSHA Air Quality Monitoring 12-VC.02 Quality Assurance
Confirmator	Project Plan for Volatile Organic Chemicals
y voc Monitorina	• 12-VC.01 VOC Monitoring Plan
Plan	• 12-VC1684 VOC Monitoring Group - Air Sampling
-	• 12-VC1685 Subatmospheric Air Sampling in Passivated Containers
	• 12-VC3209 VOC Monitoring Group
	• 13-1 NWP Quality Assurance Program Description
	• 16-01 Environmental Monitoring
	Quality Assurance Independent Assessment Program
	NWP Quality Assurance 2-Year Independent Assessment Schedule
	• Permit Modification Request to Delete Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring
	(11/10/2016)
	Sampling Data Sheet 2/21/2018
	S16-16-61 Closure of NWP QA Surveillance
Permit	OOCD-0001 WIPP Mine Ventilation Plan
Attachment	 02-PC.03 WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Reporting and Notifications
U - Mine Ventilation	Compliance Plan
Rate	04-AD3007 CMC Alarm Disable Authorization
Monitoring	• 04-AD3008 Preparation and Use of Round Sheets, Surveillance Data
Plan	Sheets, Shift Briefing Packages, and Critical Component/Equipment Status
	Sheets
	• 04-CO.01-11 Logkeeping
	04-VU1612 WIPP Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring
	04-V01612 WIPP Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring
	• 04-V01614 Underground (U/G) Air Flow Volume Readings
	U4-V01615 Abnormal Active Room Ventilation Flowrate Conditions &
	Implementing Measures (This document is a drajt)
	U4-V01615 Abnormal Active Room Ventilation Flowrate Conditions & Implementing Massures (This document is a draft)
	• 04 VU2004 Interim Ventilation System Testing and Palancing
	 04-VU3003 - SVS Testing and Balancing
	 10-AD3028 Calibration and Control of Measurement and Test Equipment
	 10-AD3029 Calibration and Control of Monitoring and Data Collection
	Equipment
	 Air Flow Reading, WP 04-VU 1612, "Ventilation Rate Log Sheet.
	12/16/2016 thru 11/27/2017
	• EA04AD3008-36-0 U/G Air Quality Round Sheet



	• IC041087 Calibration of Suction Flow Transmitters for 41-B-9656 and 41-B-
	957
	IC413000 Station B Mass Flow Measurement System, Loop 41A001W2001
	• IC413005 Calibration of Flow Indicating Transmitters for U/G Exhaust Fans
	SDD VU00 Underground Ventilation System Design Description (SDD)
Clean	Discharge Permit 831
Water Act	WP 02-2 - WIPP DP 831 Monitoring Plan
(CWA)/DP 831	WP 02-EM1022 Site Discharge Area Inspections
001	 WP 10-WC3011 Work Control Process (Action Request)
	WP 04-AD3008 Facility Operations Facultative Sewage Lagoons, Industrial
	Wastewater and Stormwater Ponds Round Sheet
	WP 02-EM1014 Groundwater Level Measurement
	WP 02-EC1003 Low Flow Groundwater & Sampling
	WP 02-EC3003 DP 831 Semi-Annual Report Preparation
	WP 02-EM1001 Sewage Lagoon & Infiltration Controls Sampling
	WP 02-EM3001 Administrative Processes for Environmental Monitoring &
	Hydrology
	• Work Order 1744997
	• Work Order 1745215
	Inspection Forms
	Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule
TSCA	05-WH1101 CH Surface Transuranic Mixed Waste Handling Area
	Inspections
	08-NT3020 TRU Waste Receipt
	CH Waste Operations Tailored Shift Briefing
	WDS Containers to be Emplaced Report
AEA, DOE	DOE Order 231.1B:
Orders	04-CO.01 Conduct of Operations
231.1B &	• 12-3 Dosimetry Program
458.1	12-4 Radiological Assistance Plan
	• 12-FP.01 WIPP Fire Protection Program
	 12-NS.04 WIPP Nuclear Criticality Safety Program
	12-HP3200 Radioactive Material Control
	 12-HP3201 Radioactive Source Accountability and Control
	 15 GM.02 Worker Safety & Health Program Description
	15-HS.02 Occupational Health Program
	15-MD3102 Event Investigations
	EA04AD3036 Safety Basis Implementation Plan Development
	 Annual Site Environmental Report (ASER) – 2016
	WIPP Environmental Monitoring Plan
	DOE Order 458.1:



•	95-2054 WIPP Radiation Protection Program
•	02-EM1009 Soil Samplina
	02-EM1010 Field Parameter Measurements and Final Sample Collection
•	02-EM1011 Biotic Samplina
•	02-EM1012 Airborne Particulate Samplina
•	02-EM1017 Surface Water and Sediment Sampling
•	02-EM1019 Vegetation Sampling
•	02-EM3004 Radiological Data Verification and Validation
•	12-2 WIPP ALARA Program Manual
•	12-HP3000 Radiological Control Administration
•	12-HP3100 Radiological Containment/Confinement
•	12-HP3500 Airborne Radioactivity
	12-HP4000 Emergency Radiological Control Responses
	12-RE3007 ALARA Program Integration for Facility Design and
	Modification
•	Annual Site Environmental Report (ASER) – 2016

6.2 Interviews

The Triennial Review Team interviewed several WIPP personnel from the following WIPP organizations:

Table 2 - Interviews		
Criteria Area	Interviewees	
Permit Part 1 - General	Manager, RES; Deputy Manager, Communications	
Permit Conditions		
Permit Part 2 - General	WIPP Protective Force; RES Sustainability Programs; Manager,	
Facility Conditions	RES; RES Confirmation, Manager; NWP Transportation,	
	Manager; RES Site Environmental Compliance Staff	
Permit Part 3 – Container	Manager, RES; Waste Operations; Mining and Ground Control;	
Storage	Manager, Waste Operations	
Permit Part 4 - Geologic	Manager, RES; Mine Engineering Staff; Geotechnical	
Repository Disposal	Engineering Staff	
Permit Part 5 -	Environmental Monitoring and Hydrology, Manager;	
Groundwater Detection	Environmental Monitoring and Hydrology Staff	
Monitoring & Attachment L		
- WIPP Groundwater		
Detection Monitoring		
Program Plan		
Permit Parts 6-8 (Closure	Regulatory Project Manager, RES; Manager, RES	
Requirements, Post-Closure		



Care Plan and Corrective	
Action for SWMUs and	
AOCs)	
Permit Attachment C -	Manager, RES
Waste Analysis Plan	
Permit Attachment D -	Hazardous Waste Permitting Manager, RES; Senior Technical
RCRA Contingency Plan	Advisor, RES; Manager RES
Permit Attachment E -	Fire Control Engineer; WIPP Protective Force, Supervisor; Fire
Inspection Schedule Process	Department, Chief of Operations; Waste Operations,
and Forms	Manager; Waste Operations Staff; Geotechnical Engineering
	Staff; U/G Facility Operations Staff
Permit Attachment F -	Technical Training Staff; Technical Training and Procedures,
Facility Personnel Permit	Manager; Fire Dept. Staff; Fire Protection Engineering Staff,
Training Program	Manager, RES
Permit Attachment G -	Regulatory Project Manager, RES; Manager, RES
Closure Plan	
Permit Attachment H - Post	Regulatory Project Manager, RES; Hazardous Waste
Closure Care Plan	Permitting Manager, RES; Senior Technical Advisor, RES;
	Manager, RES
Permit Attachment K -	Regulatory Project Manager, RES; Hazardous Waste Permitting
SWMU and AOC Tables	Manager, RES; Senior Technical Advisor, RES; Manager, RES
Permit Attachment N -	Environmental Monitoring and Hydrology Staff; QA Programs
Confirmatory VOC	Manager; Nuclear Safety Manager; Site Environmental
Monitoring Plan	Compliance Manager, RES; Geotechnical Engineering Staff
Permit Attachment O -	Hazardous Waste Permitting Manager, RES; Senior Technical
Mine Ventilation Rate	Advisor, RES
Monitoring Plan	
CWA/DP 831	Site Environmental Compliance Manager, RES; Environmental
	Compliance Staff, RES
TSCA	Manager, RES
AEA, DOE Orders 231.1B &	N/A
458.1	

6.3 Field Observations

The Review Team performed the following field observations/inspections during the on-site portion of the Triennial Review:

Table 3 – Field Observations			
Criteria Area	Field Observations/Inspections		
Permit Part 1 - General Permit Conditions	3/7/18 – Satellite Accumulation Area walk-down 3/12/18 – Waste Accumulation Area walk-down		



Permit Part 2 - General	3/7/18 - WHB walk-down
Parmit Part 2 Container	2/7/19 MUD walk down / Darking Area Unit walk
Storage	down/Underground Town
Storage	down/Underground Tour
Permit Part 4 - Geologic	3/7/18 - Underground Tour
Repository Disposal	
Permit Part 5 -	2/22/18 - Performed walk-down with NWP staff of stormwater
Groundwater Detection	ponds (SWP), salt storage ponds (SSP) and the facultative
Monitoring & Attachment L	lagoons
- WIPP Groundwater	
Detection Monitoring	3/12/18 - Observed well stabilization/calibration activities at
Program Plan	WQSP-1
Permit Parts 6-8 (Closure	N/A
Requirements, Post-Closure	
Care Plan and Corrective	
Action for SWMUs and	
AOCs)	
Permit Attachment C -	N/A
Waste Analysis Plan	
Permit Attachment D -	N/A
RCRA Contingency Plan	
Permit Attachment E -	3/7/18 - WHB walk-down/Underground Tour
Inspection Schedule Process	
and Forms	
Permit Attachment F -	3/13/18 – Inspection of Records
Facility Personnel Permit	
Training Program	
Permit Attachment G -	N/A
Closure Plan	
Permit Attachment H - Post	N/A
Closure Care Plan	
Permit Attachment K -	N/A
SWMU and AOC Tables	
Permit Attachment N -	2/28/18 - Witnessed Disposal Room VOC sampling under WP
Confirmatory VOC	12-VC 1685 Subatmospheric Air Sampling in Passivated
Monitoring Plan	Canisters R11, @ S-2520, W-170, from within Panel 7.
	3/1/18 - Witnessed Repository VOC sampling under WP 12- VC.01, R13 (VOC-C) <i>Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring</i> <i>Plan</i>



	3/1/18 - Witnessed Repository VOC sampling under WP 12- VC.01, R13 (VOC-D) <i>Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring</i> <i>Plan</i>
	3/7/18 – Underground Tour
Permit Attachment O -	N/A
Mine Ventilation Rate	
Monitoring Plan	
CWA/DP 831	2/22/18 – Performed walk-down with NWP staff of
	stormwater ponds (SWP), salt storage ponds (SSP) and the
	facultative lagoons
TSCA	3/7/18 - WHB walk-down/Parking Area Unit walk-down
AEA, DOE Orders 231.1B &	N/A
458.1	
General	3/1/18 – Site perimeter walk-down

7. FINDINGS, OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This section documents the findings and observations identified during the Review and the Review Team recommendations for correcting these findings. In accordance with the Review Plan, these are issues that the Review Team identified that fall into one of the following categories: 1) potential regulatory deficiencies; 2) potential non compliances; or, 3) deficiencies that could lead to non-compliances of environmental regulations. For the purposes of this report, the Review Team has also listed observations for NWP's consideration.

Findings

1. Finding 1 – Panel Closure Schedule Requires Update

Description

Permit Part 6 - Closure Requirements and Attachment G - Closure Plan

Permit Part 6 and associated Attachment G, define both the approach to and the anticipated completion dates for, completion of closure of Panels 1-6. The current Permit describes approaches for closing each Panel and the date (June 30, 2018) by which that closure is anticipated to be completed. The Review Team reviewed the pending Class 3 Permit Modification Request (PMR) for panel closure currently under review by NMED, to evaluate whether the proposed changes would provide relief from the June 30, 2018 closure date. At the time of the Review, the pending PMR (nor any other PMRs currently pending NMED review) did not address the June 30, 2018 compliance date. Therefore, the Team concluded that, without approval of a Permit modification to extend the date for final closure of Panels 1-6, a Permit non-compliance would occur on June 30, 2018.



Recommendation

The Permittees developed and submitted a Class 1* PMR that proposed revisions to Table G-1 - *Anticipated Earliest Closure Dates for Underground HWDUs*. This modification was submitted to NMED on June 4, 2018. NMED approved the Class 1* PMR on June 29, 2018. On that basis, this finding is closed.

2. Finding 2 - No List of Employees by RCRA Permit Job Title

Description

Permit Attachment F - Training Plan

Permit Attachment F – *Training Plan*, requires that there be a current list of employees by Permit job title maintained at the facility. When requested by the Review Team, no list existed. Subsequently, a list was developed. That list was used in activities related to review of the criteria for Permit Attachment E - *Inspection Schedule, Process and Forms*, and Attachment F - *Personnel Training*.

On March 23, 2018, a Class 2 PMR that completely redefined the training requirements for hazardous waste management personnel at the WIPP facility was approved by NMED.

Recommendation

The Review Team's understanding is that NWP is currently in the process of adding a Permit Job Title field for each employee to the associated database. That field will allow the list of employees by Permit Job Title to be generated. It is also the Review Team's understanding that procedures for assuring that the information is current are also being developed. Using that information, it is recommended that a master report, similar to the individual job title reports, be developed for the specific training requirements of the Permit. With that, the first column of the job title reports could be "Permit Requirements". A yellow or red color indicator in that column would instantly alert the supervisor of a pending or actual issue.

3. Finding 3 – Inspections of Fire-Related Systems Do Not Specifically Meet Permit Requirements

Description

Permit Attachment E - Inspection Schedule, Process and Forms; **Permit Attachment F** - Personnel Training

Multiple sources reported that inspections of some fire-related systems are not being conducted by personnel qualified in compliance with the Permit. Upon review of training and inspection records, the Review Team determined that Permit training for some inspectors was not in compliance with Permit requirements. Specifically, only four of the Fire Protection Technicians (FPTs) had completed FPT-01, Fire Protection Technician



Qualification Card as required by the Permit. In addition, FPTs not qualified under FPT-01 were participating in inspections required under Permit Attachment E.

In investigating the issue, a meeting was held with the Fire Department (FD) and Fire Protection Engineering (FPE). A history was presented, as follows:

- It was determined that at a point in the past the FPTs lacked the required National Institute of Certification of Engineering Technologies (NICET) certification and that the inspection responsibility was transferred to Fire Protection Engineering (FPE). At that time the FPT-01 Qualification Card was terminated.
- At a later date, the approach was modified, with both an FPT and FPE conducting the inspections, with the FPE providing the required certification.
- Both parties sign the inspection reports. In addition, a set of new training requirements for FPTs has been developed (FPS-01-01 through 04). FPTs are currently working through those requirements.

A Class 2 PMR that redefined the training requirements for WIPP was approved by NMED on March 23, 2018. The Review Team has not evaluated the new training requirements. However, NWP staff have stated that they are addressing remaining training gaps during the implementation phase for the new Permit training program.

Recommendation

The Review Team has determined that, while current practices do not *wholly* meet the requirements of the Permit, required inspections of fire-related systems are adequate to meet all other regulatory requirements. The current practice does not present a risk that would require action on an emergency basis. However, only four of the current FPTs have completed FPT-01. FPTs who have not completed FPT-01 are participating in inspections. It should be noted that unqualified personnel participating in fire-related system inspections are supervised by personnel fully qualified under the fire protection standards.

The Review Team recommends that NWP review the recently approved training program requirements to determine whether those requirements can be met with the current structure. Should that not be possible, a Permit modification should be submitted to ensure full compliance with the Permit. Additionally, because the FPE engineers are participating in the inspections, the Team recommends that NWP consider applying the same or similar training requirements for FPTs as FPEs, along with maintaining their NICET certifications.



4. Finding 4 – Failure to Assure that Employees Meet Permit Required Training

Description

Permit Attachment F - Personnel Training

A review of training documentation for randomly selected personnel (consistent with the methodology outlined in Section 4.2) in all job titles applicable to the Review was conducted. Through that process, a variety of potential inconsistencies were identified with the Permit that was current at the time the Review was conducted.

NWP has been developing a new, visually improved system, that allows managers to view the status of personnel training in each job classification. The report is a table that lists employees with columns for each training requirement. The columns are populated with due dates, and are color coded for easy recognition of pending or current issues (e.g., yellow - training due within current month, red – training overdue). Reports have been developed for the most prevalent job classifications. However, the reports do not include "once and done" training requirements, only recurring (refresher) requirements.

The reports described above are developed in support of the training requirements included in the current NWP Qualification Program. That program is not consistent with training requirements in the Permit in all cases. In addition, because reports have not yet been generated for all job titles included in the Permit, training gaps currently go unidentified. As a result of the Review, the following inconsistencies were identified related to training and may potentially result in non-compliances:

- Training modules have been consolidated or expanded and given new titles. No crosswalk for these changes exists, so a new employee taking the new training would not technically meet the requirements of the Permit (examples: OPS-122 is now SBD-101; for RCTs, RAD-201 is now RCT-01-3R; FPT-01 is now FPS-01-01 through 04). However, most personnel currently in job titles with these training requirements have completed the original training.
- Some managers have training gaps relative to Permit requirements (Radiation Control, Environmental Compliance).
- WWIS Data Administrator lacks Subject Matter Expert/OJT training
- Mine Rescue Team one member lacks First Aid/CPR Refresher
- No report has been developed for the Emergency Response Team One member lacks hazardous waste responder training.
- One employee, based on a training status report run on March 13, 2018, had failed to complete the required respirator fit test that was required in February 2018. Further investigation indicated that the test was completed on February 26, 2018, but the record was slow to make it to data entry. While not a non-compliance, this issue created an apparent issue when a report was run.
- FPT training issues, as detailed in depth in Finding 3.



Recommendation

The Review Team's understanding is that there is a major effort currently ongoing to complete training to meet the new requirements contained in the Class 2 PMR. Part of that effort is to update the report tables for each job title. We recommend that NWP ensure that there is a report for each job title referenced in the modified Permit. The Team also recommends that the additional column for "once and done" training, be included in each report template.

The Team further recommends that NWP/CBFO conduct a compliance review of every change to the training program, specifically aimed at identifying changes that create a technical non-compliance with the Permit.

A potential for delay exists for portions of the SAF-631 (Respiratory Protection) series of requirements, especially the medical qualification and respirator fit test portions, based on how the supporting documentation is developed and transmitted. We suggest that NWP conduct a review of the process to identify changes that would assure timely updating of the training database.

A Class 2 PMR that redefined the training requirements for hazardous waste management personnel at the WIPP facility was approved by NMED on March 23, 2018. The Review Team has not evaluated the new training requirements. However, NWP staff have stated that they are addressing remaining training gaps during the implementation phase for the new Permit training program.

5. Finding 5 – DP 831 Fence and Sign Inspections

Description

Discharge Permit (DP) 831

DP 831, Conditions 5 & 6 require that the fences and signs surrounding the Facultative Lagoons be inspected. At the time of the on-site portion of the Review, the Operations procedure (WP 04-AD3008) did not include an explicit requirement for fence & sign inspection.

Recommendation

The Team discussed this finding with NWP during the onsite Review. As a result, the applicable Round Sheet (EA04AD3008-31-0) administered by Operations has been modified to incorporate the documentation of applicable inspection of the fence and signage. On that basis, this finding is closed.



Observations

1. Need for Additional Assurance that Permitted Waste Volumes in the Waste Handling Building (WHB) and Parking Area Unit (PAU) are Not Exceeded

Description

TSCA Permit Section III A 1-2, PCB/TRU Waste Storage, Authorized Storage Areas, defines the maximum quantities of PCB/TRU waste that may be stored in the WHB and PAU at any time. Current practice is to review the CH Waste Operations Tailored Shift Briefing report at the beginning of each shift. That report includes the status of shipments by shipment and TRUPACT identifying location (PAU, WHB, EnRoute) with associated waste volumes.

For the WHB, the current approach limits storage of PCB/TRU waste containers either in the unloading area (limit two TRUPACTs) or on pallets, two loads per pallet. The storage locations of pallets are marked on the floor ensuring that the permitted storage limit cannot be exceeded.

For the PAU, at the beginning of each shift, the amount of waste stored in the PAU is calculated and, if close to the Permitted limit, shipments are held at the gate until waste is moved from the PAU to the WHB, opening up room to receive the shipment(s).

While the system can work, it relies on the experience of the workers to assure that waste isn't received during the shift before space has been created within the Permitted limit. In addition, a desire to remove the artificial limits placed on the WHB in order to improve waste management, was expressed in interviews with NWP. Changing that aspect of WHB operations will increase the complexity of manually calculating waste volumes throughout the shift.

Recommendation

Based on the Review Team's experience with operations of commercial treatment storage and disposal facilities (TSDFs), the current approach to assuring that Permitted waste volume limits are not exceeded is inadequate. The Team recommends an automated approach that will both provide documentation of compliance and increase ease of management of waste movements. In discussions with NWP personnel who manage the WDS, they identified a report that they felt could be used to develop a relatively simple system for checking waste movements.

Before moving waste, the package and move information would be entered into the program. The program would then check resulting waste volumes against defined limits and indicate 'yes' or 'no'. By implementing such a system, subjectivity would be eliminated from the process.



2. Formalizing DP 831 requirements in procedures or desk instructions <u>Description</u>

There are several instances where NWP uses the requirements in DP 831 as its procedure for that activity. For example, in Criteria 50, NWP was asked whether there is a procedure/process that outlines the requirements of a contingency plan (once the contingency plan has been enacted). Because there are provisions of DP 831 that aren't explicitly addressed in a procedure, the Review Team is concerned that NWP may be unnecessarily at risk of a non-compliance.

Recommendation

Although having a procedure is not a requirement of DP 831, the Review Team encourages NWP to assess the need for formalizing, through procedure or desk instruction, more of these requirements.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The Review Team concluded that, overall, the WIPP facility has done an outstanding job of maintaining compliance in the regulatory areas evaluated as part of this Review. The Review Team evaluated over 500 individual criteria across six different regulatory areas. The above findings and observations were identified as part of the Review Team's off-site document review and research as well as during the on-site portion of the Review. Five items were identified as potential regulatory deficiencies, potential non-compliances, or deficiencies that could lead to non-compliances of environmental regulations. Finding 1 was resolved based on NMED's June 29, 2018 approval of a Class 1* PMR which extended the date for final closure of Panels 1-6 beyond June 30, 2018. Finding 5 was resolved by the Permittees by providing a revised Round Sheet (EA04AD3008-31-0) on April 2, 2018.

The remaining unresolved findings are related to training requirements and training/inspection records. These findings were also documented in the Review Team's Triennial Review Close-Out Report, dated March 15, 2018. NWP is in the process of correcting the three remaining training-related findings as a part of implementing the revised training program resulting from NMED's approval of the above-referenced Class 2 PMR on March 23, 2018. The two observations, while not required to be addressed by NWP, can be resolved by either implementing the Review Team's recommendations or by implementing solutions of NWP's design.



ATTACHMENT A

WIPP TRIENNIAL REVIEW PLAN


WIPP TRIENNIAL REVIEW PLAN SUBCONTRACT DOE13-PO509218

Triennial Review Plan Submitted:	December 18, 2017				
Submitted By:	Firewater Associates, LLC Team				
Technical Point of Contact:	Wille Most, RES				

Table of Contents

1.		PUR	POSE		2
2.		INTR	ODU	ICTION	2
3.		REVI	EW C	DBJECTIVES	3
4.		sco	PE		3
5.		TRIE	NNIA	L REVIEW TEAM	1
	5.	1	Pers	onnel	1
	5.	2	Tear	n Lead – Mr. William Palmer (Firewater)	5
	5.	3	Role	s and Responsibilities	5
	5.	4	REP	ORTING/DOCUMENTATION	5
		5.4.1	1	Monthly Reports	5
		5.4.2	2	Review Checklists	5
		5.4.3	3	Draft Triennial Review Report	5
		5.4.4	1	Final Triennial Review Report	5
		5.4.5	5	Triennial Review Records	7
6.		QUA	LITY	ASSURANCE PLAN	7
7.		MET	HOD	OLOGY	7
8.		SCH	EDUL	E	7

Appendices:

Appendix A – Review Team Resumes

Appendix B – Schedule



1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Review Plan (Plan) is to provide guidance and direction to the Firewater Triennial Review Team for performance of the first Triennial Review of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) environmental programs. Performance of the Triennial Review is intended to ensure the Permittees regulatory deficiencies are identified regarding the applicable regulations in those areas that have been selected for review. The Triennial Review is designed to demonstrate the integrity of the regulatory compliance processes implemented at the WIPP facility under legislation, permits, DOE Orders, notices, and agreements.

2. INTRODUCTION

Firewater Associates, LLC (Firewater) has developed this Plan in response to Nuclear Waste Partnership, LLC (NWP) Request for Proposal Number 509218¹ to conduct the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Project (WIPP) First Triennial Review. The Triennial Review (Review) is a systematic, independent, and documented process of objectively obtaining and evaluating evidence to determine whether specified environmental regulatory and operations requirements are met. The first (initial) Triennial Review is committed to be completed and made public before the end of federal fiscal Year 2018 (i.e., September 30, 2018). The review will be carried out by knowledgeable professionals using industry approved audit techniques, consensus standards and familiarity with applicable environmental regulations in accordance with the requirements of the referenced Subcontract ("the Review Team").

This Review Plan provides the flow-down requirements from the Triennial Review Scope of Work and Guidelines from the Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order No. HWB-14-21 Supplemental Environmental Projects Paragraph 34(a), January 20, 2017. This is a "living document" intended to provide a safe, cost effective means of objectively and independently ensuring the objectives of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED), DOE, and NWP are successfully achieved. It is anticipated that as the project progresses, this Plan will require updating to reflect any changed requirements.

This Review Plan implements applicable requirements of DOE Order 226. 1B, *Implementation of Department of Energy Oversight Policy*, in the areas of management and independent assessment, and integrates roles and responsibilities of the Triennial Review Team into an Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) program.

This plan promotes and integrates a Safety Conscious Work Environment, in which all personnel feel that:

- They are empowered to raise safety questions without fear of retaliation.
- Management wants and willingly listens to their concerns.
- Issues they identify are managed through constructive and timely processes.

¹ WIPP Project First Triennial Review Statement of Work, Aug 2, 2017, Revision 1



The Safety Conscious Work Environment Policy is strongly supported by the leadership of DOE, NWP, and likewise will be adhered to by the Review Team.

3. REVIEW OBJECTIVES

The objective of the Triennial Review is to determine whether specified environmental regulatory requirements within the designated areas are being properly implemented at the WIPP facility. In those areas, the Triennial Review will identify potential regulatory deficiencies, potential violations, and deficiencies that could lead to violations of environmental regulations.

The goal of the Review Team is to qualitatively identify current and future vulnerabilities and risks in the identified areas so that NWP can address and mitigate immediate risks as well as understand the potential and likelihood for future risks. The Review Team will develop a hierarchy of risk sources that will provide NWP with direction for resource allocation to manage those risks. Further, the Review Team will identify vulnerabilities that could be embedded in the current programs or that could involve unresolved issues that relate to current or future change in regulation, personnel, procedure, or program.

The Review Team will deploy effective, consistent, and thorough review methods to provide smooth transition to the next Triennial Review. The effective methodology deployed during this first Review will carry on to subsequent reviews for the benefit of Permittees. The Review Team will perform qualitative risk analysis (i.e., confidence assessment) at varying stages throughout the Review, as appropriate.

4. SCOPE

The first Triennial Review will focus on the following environmental statutes, regulations, and Orders, to the extent requested:

- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and New Mexico implementation through the Hazardous Waste Act (HWA)
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)
- Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
- Clean Water Act (CWA) and the New Mexico Water Quality Act
- DOE Order 231.1B, Admin Change 1, Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting
- DOE Order 458.1, Change 3, Radiation Protection of the Public

The Triennial Review will evaluate those portions of the regulating documents for compliance with the above statutes, regulations and orders at surface and underground structures/facilities at the WIPP facility. The exact locations for various review methods, activities, and processes to be observed will be determined after the initial meeting between the Review Team and the Permittees.



The activities to be performed by the Triennial Review Team will include:

- Determining, through investigation, examination of records, interviews, and inspections
 if the Permittees are deficient with regard to the terms and conditions of permits and
 authorizations implementing the environmental regulations that stem from the listed
 statutes.
- Determine, through investigation, examination of records, observation, and interviews, if the Permittees have programs in place to identify and implement new environmental requirements when they are promulgated.
- Examine the status of the Environmental Management System (EMS) regarding completeness. Completeness is defined as including the major activities that impact the environment and providing a method for mitigation of the impacts.
- Determine, through investigation, examination of records, and interviews, the robustness of the oversight processes in place for the environmental programs at the WIPP facility to ensure the technical content of the implementation programs is effectively controlled.
- Document findings in a written report that will be submitted to the Permittees through the NWP subcontract technical point of contact (TPOC) at the completion of the review.
- Determine, by applying the graded approach through risk assessment, the potential for, and likelihood of failures, so that the Permittees can develop a risk management plan and mitigation strategy if appropriate.

5. TRIENNIAL REVIEW TEAM

5.1 Personnel

The Triennial Review Team is made of up six team members from two contractors - Firewater Associates, LLC (Firewater) and Longenecker & Associates (L&A). Resumes for each Team member are provided in Appendix A. The Review Team members and their contact information are:

Team Member	Affiliation	Email	Phone
William (Bill) Palmer	Firewater Associates, LLC Team Lead Reviewer	weap1114@gmail.com	(865) 805-2220
Gregory Edwards	Firewater Associates, LLC Support Reviewer	gedwardstn@aol.com	(865) 368-3000
Anne Weaver	Firewater (Visionary Solutions) Support Reviewer	aweaver@vs-llc.com	(865) 228-0225
Dr. Ben Rogers	Firewater Associates, LLC Support Reviewer	bencrogers@hotmail.com	(423) 505-3299
Christine Gelles	Longenecker & Associates Support Reviewer	gelles@longenecker- associates.com	(301) 508-0177
Kathryn (Katie) Roberts	Longenecker & Associates Subcontractor Lead	kroberts@longenecker- associates.com	(505) 603-9216



The Review Team will operate under the direction of the NWP TPOC, Wille Most.

5.2 Team Lead - Mr. William Palmer (Firewater)

Mr. Bill Palmer is the lead for this Review and is responsible for the following:

- Task assignments to Review Team members
- Protecting the health and safety of Review team members during the Review
- Interfacing with the client and auditee
- Ensuring competence of the Review Team
- Ensuring integrity of the Review Process
- Preventing and resolving conflicts
- Assuring compliance and implementation with this Review Plan

5.3 Roles and Responsibilities

The Team Lead will assign each Review Team member a set of criteria in one or more of the six focus areas identified above. Furthermore, the Team Lead is responsible for ensuring that personnel are trained and qualified to do their assigned work in a manner that achieves performance levels or objectives, and their proficiency is maintained in accordance with this Review Plan. The Team Lead is responsible for ensuring that required indoctrination and training of the team members is successfully completed and that additional training needs are identified and met.

Each Team member will be responsible for developing Lines of Inquiry (LOI) for each of the criteria assigned. Additionally, Review Team members will consider the following factors when developing LOIs:

- Impacts on client
- Schedule/timetable adherence
- Communication
- Accuracy of reporting and documentation
- Confidentiality and information security
- Lessons Learned from previous complex wide environmental violations
- Identifying precursors of future violations

The LOIs will be incorporated into Review Checklists for the on-site portion of the Review. The Review Plan will be updated to reflect each team members' assigned criteria.

Additionally, the complexity of the Triennial Review necessitates responsive management of the interfaces among the Review Team, NWP Representatives, DOE Representatives and Subcontractors, as applicable, to maintain control of contractual work and to facilitate the flow



of technical information. The Team Lead and the TPOC will be responsible for managing these interfaces.

5.4 REPORTING/DOCUMENTATION

Documentation will be passed through the Team Lead for acceptability and accuracy and maintained to prevent breach of confidentiality and security. Records will be protected against damage, deterioration, or loss. Requirements and responsibilities for records transmittal, distribution retention, maintenance, and disposition will be established and documented in the QA Plan.

5.4.1 <u>Monthly Reports</u>: Written monthly reports will be provided to NWP in a format agreed upon by NWP and the Review Team. Monthly reports will be submitted via email to the TPOC by the 10th of each month.

5.4.2 <u>Review Checklists</u>: Review Checklists will be developed before the on-site portion of the Review. The Review Checklists will assist the reviewers in conducting a thorough, systematic, and consistent review. Checklists are used to guide on-site observations and help the reviewer to assess whether evidence meets review criteria. These checklists will provide consistency and will be tracked to completion. Review Checklists will be provided to NWP for review and approval prior to commencing the on-site portion of the Review.

5.4.3 <u>Draft Triennial Review Report</u>: The Team Lead will be responsible for the preparation of the Draft Triennial Review Report. The draft will be submitted to NWP for comments. The draft report will include the following items:

- The review objectives
- The review scope,
- Identification of the reviewers,
- The dates and places where the review activities were undertaken,
- The review criteria,
- The review draft findings,
- The review draft conclusions, and
- Draft recommendations for corrective or preventative action.

5.4.4 <u>Final Triennial Review Report</u>: The Team Lead will be responsible for the preparation of the Final Triennial Review Report. The Final Report will include the following items with comments from the draft report resolved and/or incorporated:

- The review objectives
- The review scope,
- Identification of the reviewers,
- The dates and places where the review activities were undertaken,
- The review criteria,
- The review draft findings,
- The review draft conclusions, and



• Recommendations for corrective or preventative action.

5.4.5 <u>Triennial Review Records:</u> Records will include completed checklists, interview records, draft and final report and non-NWP documents that were used during the review. The Team Lead will be responsible for the submission of Triennial Review records (including electronic records) generated by the Review Team during the review to NWP. Triennial Review records will be marked, "Official Use Only (OUO)." The Technical Point of Contact may designate other documents as OUO, as necessary.

6. QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN

A Quality Assurance (QA) Plan is being developed in parallel with this Review Plan to ensure the integrity of the Triennial Review. The QA Plan will identify quality assurance procedures that will be undertaken during the Triennial Review. The QA Plan will be submitted to NWP as a draft for comment prior to the Review Team issuing a final QA Plan.

7. METHODOLOGY

Methods utilized during the Review will be a combination of interviews, observations, document reviews, and in some cases inspections of certain attributes that can only be adequately determined by field inspection (e.g., instrument calibration and impacts of special processes on environmental hardware). Some activities will be conducted remotely (i.e., document reviews) and will be communicated to the Permittees beforehand.

Once compliance with each requirement has been assessed, findings will be documented in a table similar to the example provided in Attachment C. This table will then be used as a basis for compiling the Triennial Review Report. Each Team member will designate each requirement as compliant or non-compliant. If there is insufficient evidence to make this determination, the Team member will designate the requirement as "undetermined". Consistent with the Statement of Work, NWP may perform further research to facilitate a final determination. The Review Team may recommend alternative methods to achieve compliance or methods to improve current practices; however, implementation of these recommendations is at the discretion of NWP.

Non-compliant conditions shall be brought to the attention of NWP immediately (after confirmation by the Team Lead) for the purposes of assessing the significance and to address the deficiency.

8. SCHEDULE

Appendix B includes a detailed schedule of the Review activities, which has been approved by NWP. The on-site portion of the Review is currently scheduled for February 20, 2018 through March 16, 2018. The exact locations, dates and times for activities (e.g., interviews, inspections) during the on-site portion of the Review will be coordinated with NWP prior to commencement of on-site activities and finalized at the opening (i.e., kickoff) meeting on December 4, 2017 to be held in Carlsbad, NM. During the site-review, a schedule status will be provided weekly.



ATTACHMENT B

QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN



Quality Assurance Plan Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Triennial Review

For

Nuclear Waste Partnership, LLC Subcontract No. DOE13-PO509218 December 18, 2017 Revision 1

December 18, 2017



This page intentionally left blank.



REVISION LOG

Rev No.	Date	Description	Total Pages	Affected Pages
0	11/20/17	Issued	21	All
1	12/18/17	Issued	20	All



This page intentionally left blank.



APPROVALS

Approval:

Wille Most Subcontract Technical Representative NWP-RES

Approval:

Renee Echols President Firewater Associates, LLC Date

Date



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Quality Assurance Plan Policy Statement3
1.0 BACKGROUND
2.0 SCOPE AND GUIDELINES
5.0 QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM12
5.1 Program
5.2 Training
5.3 Improvement
5.4 Documents and Records14
5.5 Work Processes
5.6 Design
5.7 Procurement
5.8 Inspection and Acceptance Testing16
5.9 Management/ Self-Assessment16
5.10 Independent Assessment
6.0 TRIENNIAL REVIEW SCOPE17
6.1 METHOD
6.3 CRITERIA (2)
6.4 IMPACT OF REVIEW ON CLIENT
7.0 PERSONNEL ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES
7.1 PERSONNEL
7.2 ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES
7.3 INTERFACE CONTROLS
8.0 REPORTING AND DOCUMENTATION
9.0 REFERENCES



QUALITY ASSURANCE PLAN POLICY STATEMENT

The Review Team (Team) of Firewater Associates, LLC (Firewater) and Longenecker & Associates (L&A) are committed to performing the First Annual Triennial Review in a manner that minimizes risk and environmental impacts and maximizes safety, reliability, and performance in accordance with the NWP Statement of Work (SOW). The Firewater Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) is intended to provide an effective management system tailored to the assessment process through the deliberate and graded application of Quality Assurance (QA) elements. The graded approach determines the degree of application of controls commensurate with importance and relative risk to safety and regulatory compliance, among other factors. As with the NWP policy, it is Firewater's policy for the Team to participate in establishing, implementing, assessing, and improving its QA program. Each individual is responsible for the quality of his or her own work. NWP along with Firewater management verifies the achievement of quality through periodic management assessments. This QAP will work together with the NWP QA WP 13-1 to provide direction for accomplishment of the triennial review goals.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) provides the primary requirements for the integration of quality functions into all aspects of the Review Team functional and project activities at the WIPP facility. Effective implementation of Quality Assurance (QA) requirements supports the principles and functions of the Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS).

This QAP promotes and integrates a Safety Conscious Work Environment, in which all personnel feel that:

- They are empowered to raise safety questions without fear of retaliation.
- Management wants and willingly listens to their concerns.
- Issues they identify are managed through constructive and timely processes.

The Safety Conscious Work Environment Policy is strongly supported by the leadership of both Firewater and L&A.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AEA Atomic Energy Act

ASME American Society of Mechanical Engineers

ASNT American Society for Nondestructive Testing

QA Plan, Rev. 1



CAA Clean Air Act **CAP** Corrective Action Plan CAQ Condition Adverse to Quality **CBFO Carlsbad Field Office CFR Code of Federal Regulations** CGD Commercial Grade Dedication CGI Commercial Grade Item **CIO Chief Information Officer** CWA Clean Water Act DEAR U.S. Department of Energy Acquisition Regulations DOE U.S. Department of Energy DQO Data quality objective EDO Environmental data operation EM (DOE Office of) Environmental Management EMS Environmental Management System EPA U. S. Environmental Protection Agency EPCRA Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act HWA New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act **HWFP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit** ISMS Integrated Safety Management System ISO International Organization for Standardization **GPDD** General Plant Design Description M&DC Monitoring and data collection (equipment) M&TE Measuring and test equipment MOC Management and Operating Contractor

QA Plan, Rev. 1

4

December 18, 2017



NARA National Archives and Records Administration NDE Nondestructive Examination NEPA National Environmental Policy Act NESHAP National Emission Standards for Hazardous Waste Pollutants NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology NMAC New Mexico Administrative Code NMED New Mexico Environment Department NMSA New Mexico Statutes Annotated NQA Nuclear Quality Assurance **NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission** NUREG Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report Designation NWP Nuclear Waste Partnership LLC Permit Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Hazardous Waste Facility Permit QA quality assurance **QAP** Quality Assurance Program QAPD Quality Assurance Program Description/Document (CBFO) **QAPjP Quality Assurance Project Plan** QC Quality Control QIP Quality Assurance Implementation Plan **RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act RIDS Records Inventory and Disposition Schedule** SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act SCAQ Significant Condition Adverse to Quality S/CI Suspect/Counterfeit Item SEP Supplemental Environmental Project

QA Plan, Rev. 1



SFO Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Final Order

SOP standard operating procedure

SOW Scope of Work

SQA Software Quality Assurance

SSC structure, system, or component

STR Subcontract Technical Representative

TRU transuranic

TRAMPAC TRUPACT-II Authorized Methods for Payload Control TRU Transuranic

TRUPACT Transuranic Package Transporter (Model II and III)

TSCA Toxic Substances Control Act

UL Underwriters Laboratories

V&V verification and validation

WAC Waste Acceptance Criteria

WAP Waste Analysis Plan

TABLE 1 – QA PLAN SOURCE DOCUMENTS								
DEVELOPMENTAL RESOURCES	TITLE							
WP 13-1	Quality Assurance Program Description							
Title 10 CFR Part 21	"Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance"							
Title 10 CFR Part 71, Subpart H "Quality Assurance" (Packaging and Transportation)	"Quality Assurance" (Packaging and Transportation)							
Title 10 CFR Part 830, Subpart A	"Nuclear Safety Management," "Quality Assurance Requirements"							



Title 10 CFR Part 122	"Quality Assurance"
Title 40 CFR Part 194	"Criteria for the Certification and Re-Certification of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant's Compliance with the 40 CFR Part 191 Disposal Regulations"
Title 40 CFR Part 261	"Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste"
Title 40 CFR §268.6	"Petitions to Allow Land Disposal of a Waste Prohibited under Subpart C of Part 286"
Title 48 CFR §970.5204-2	"Laws, Regulations, and DOE Directives"
ASME NQA-1-1989 Basic and Supplementary Requirements	Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities
ASME NQA-2a-1990 addenda, Part 2.7	Quality Assurance Requirements of Computer Software for Nuclear Facility Applications
ASME NQA-3-1989 (excluding Section 2.1(b) and (c), and Section 17.1)	Quality Assurance Program Requirements for the Collection of Scientific and Technical Information for Site Characterization of High-Level Nuclear Waste Repositories
NM4890139088 – TSDF/WIPP, Dated December 8, 2017	WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit
NWP Subcontract DOE-13PO509218, Rev. 2, December 13, 2017	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Project First Triennial Review Statement of Work
DOE Order 226.1B	Implementation of Department of Energy Oversight Policy
DOE Order 414.1D	Quality Assurance
DOE Policy 450.4A	Integrated Safety Management Policy

QA Plan, Rev. 1



DOE/CBFO-94-1012, Dated 4/20/17	Quality Assurance Program Document
DOE/CBFO-09-3442	CBFO Integrated Safety Management System Description
EM-QA-001, Rev. 1, 6/11/2012	EM Quality Assurance Program
SNT-TC-1A-1980	American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) "Recommended Practice No. SNT-TC-1A, Personnel Qualification and Certification in Nondestructive Testing," August 1980
GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS TITLE DOE G 414.1-2B	Quality Assurance Program Guide
EPA (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) QA/G-5	EPA Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans
Firewater QAPP, Rev. 1, May 30, 2017	Firewater QA Program
NUREG-1298 (2/88)	Staff Position – Qualification of Existing Data for High-level Nuclear Waste Repositories
NUREG-0167 (1993)	Software Quality Assurance Program and Guidelines

1.0 BACKGROUND

The Triennial Review (Review) is a systematic, independent, and documented process of objectively obtaining and evaluating evidence to determine whether specified environmental regulatory and operations requirements are met. The First (initial) Triennial Review shall be completed and made public before September 30, 2018. The Triennial Review shall be carried out by knowledgeable professionals using industry approved audit techniques, consensus standards and familiarity with applicable environmental regulations.



NWP has required a quality assurance plan (QAP) be developed to assure the integrity of the Review. The QAP for the Review is written to flow-down DOE Order 414.1D, Quality Assurance; Title 10 Code of CFR, Part 830, Subpart A, Quality Assurance Requirements; 10 CFR Part 71, Subpart H, Quality Assurance, and DOE HQ EM-QA-001, EM Quality Assurance Program for conducting activities that affect, or may affect, nuclear safety at DOE nuclear facilities. The same ten criteria, using the "graded approach," are applied to non-nuclear facilities and activities with the potential to cause harm from radiological or other hazards regardless of where they may occur. This QAP will identify the quality assurance procedures to be utilized during the Review. The Review will be managed by the Triennial Review Team Lead with oversight from NWP.

2.0 SCOPE AND GUIDELINES

This QAP will ensure that the WIPP Triennial Review Team meets the Review requirements and key performance parameters. Key Performance Parameters from the Triennial Review SOW and Guidelines Include:

- The scope and guidelines document resulting from the settlement agreement between NMED and the Permittees. The scope of the Triennial Review is limited to an evaluation of implementation of environmental regulatory requirements that apply to the WIPP facility.
- Recommendation 1: This recommendation resulted in a list of specific applicable environmental regulations that should be considered in the general scope of the review. Implementation of these regulations can be assessed because compliance relies on documented processes, procedures, training, management oversight, and in some cases, the collection of monitoring data.
- Recommendation 2: This recommendation resulted in a list of specific applicable environmental regulations that should be excluded from this review for various reasons indicated in the analysis. Some of these may be included in future scope statements if there is benefit to the Permittees or to the State of New Mexico.
- Recommendation 3: This recommendation identified trends that are indicated by the noncompliances reported by the Permittees. One trend has to do with the adequacy of procedures and processes for performing and documenting inspections required by the Permit. The effectiveness of the corrective actions should be examined by the Review Team. The second trend has to do with preparing and submitting required reports in a timely manner. The Review Team may wish to evaluate the mechanisms that trigger the preparation and submittal of both periodic and non-periodic reports. Other issues are associated with incomplete awareness of the requirements imposed by a specific regulation. This may indicate a less than robust process for identifying applicable regulations or changes in regulations and transforming them into operational activities. The Review Team may wish to evaluate this process.



 Recommendation 4: This recommendation identified the implementation of the Environmental Management System (EMS) as a topic for the triennial review. This review will go beyond the factors considered in the program certification and will include the people, parts, and processes of implementation and the Review Team may choose to evaluate the management oversight process.

Applicable Environmental Statute or Regulation

Focus of the Review

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (and New Mexico implementation through the HWA)	Processes and procedures to assure compliance to the operational requirements and compliance to requirements for the accumulation and retention of records and monitoring data Corrective actions taken to prevent the recurrence of non-compliances
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)	Processes and procedures to assure compliance to the operational requirements and compliance to the requirements for the accumulation and retention of records and monitoring data
Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	Processes for the collection and reporting of information required by the most recent Compliance Certification
Clean Water Act (CWA) (and the New Mexico Water Quality Act)	Processes for controlling permitted discharges and the collection of monitoring data for reporting to the NMED
DOE Order 231.1B, Admin Change 1, (Environment, Safety, and Health Reporting)	Procedures for implementation and the reporting requirements
DOE Order 458.1, Change 3 (Radiation Protection of the Public)	Procedures for implementation and the reporting of environmental requirements



3.0 PURPOSE

NWP has determined that a separate QAP should be written to more precisely describe the necessary controls required for the Triennial Review Team to identify and document their results and conclusions relative to the unique synergy between the various and complex environmental regulations and those organizations interacting to ensure that compliance is achieved.

The purpose of this QAP is to provide direction and guidance to the Triennial Review Team and identifies and incorporates cost-effective, and timely quality measures to promote efficient delivery of the Review that meets the requirements outlined in the Triennial Review SOW.

This QAP provides the primary requirements for the integration of quality functions into all aspects of the review process. Effective implementation of review methods and requirements supports the principles and functions of the DOE ISMS, documented in DOE/CBFO-09-3442 CBFO Integrated Safety Management System Description

This QAP is the written directive of the Firewater President and Project Manager to accomplish the planned tasks and to implement procedures that provide the controls and sound management practices needed to ensure that contractual obligations are met. This QAP is designed to use training, procedures, assessments, and surveillance functions as management tools to ensure that all functional and project activities, including subcontract work, are executed in a quality and safe manner that will protect workers, public health, and the environment, promote the success of DOE and NWP, and meet or exceed contract requirements. For subcontracted work, this is accomplished through a flow down of requirements and standards in procurement documents and subcontract terms and conditions.

The graded approach is the process by which the extent (level of rigor) of application of control is determined based on the importance of the activity or scope of work relative to public and worker safety, potential for environmental releases, working within facility performance boundaries, and achieving programmatic mission objectives. A graded approach is applied to meet customer expectations and utilize resources in a cost-effective manner.

This QAP implements applicable requirements of DOE Order 226.1B, Implementation of Department of Energy Oversight Policy, in the areas of management and independent assessment, and integrates roles and responsibilities of the Triennial Review Team into the ISMS program.

4.0 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

This plan outlines the approach for the Review, and describes the roles and responsibilities of project personnel in performing QA functions. The goal of the Triennial Review is to identify:

- Potential Regulatory deficiencies
- Potential violations

QA Plan, Rev. 1



• Deficiencies that could lead to violations of environmental regulations

The QAP is a living document and will be updated as required to ensure the Review is successful at meeting the WIPP goals and objectives, as the Review progresses.

5.0 QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The team will be onsite for only a few weeks, but within that time it must identify potential deficiencies that could have adverse impact on the continued operation of the WIPP facility.

The Team will perform its review under DOE O 414.1D Quality Assurance Criterion 10 "Independent Assessment". This QAP is structured to the 10 criteria but those applicable requirements of NQA-1 will be addressed as well as relevant requirements of EM-QA- 001, Office of Environmental Management (EM) and its "adoptive" standard, NQA-1. Because ISO 14001 is also applicable in some cases, the applicable requirements ISO 9001 are also considered as appropriate. The following QA requirements apply in cooperation with the WIPP Project CBFO and NWP QA Programs. As is customary with the 10 criteria structure, this QAP has three major elements: management, performance, and assessment. If there are conflicts between the Team requirements and the Site requirements, the Team Lead will determine the path forward in consultation with the STR and appropriate Site Management.

5.1 PROGRAM

This QAP is flowed-down from the Firewater Associates, LLC Quality Assurance Program Plan. This QAP, in combination with the Review Plan, identifies the organization, functional responsibilities, and interfaces necessary to meet the goals and objectives described in the SOW. The Review Team consists of highly educated and experienced professionals led by an audit professional with 30 years of experience in DOE operations, construction, nuclear, and environmental projects. Only certain criteria apply to the Review Team outside of Independent assessment and those criteria are described within this QAP. When applicable, the Review Team will implement NWP quality requirements while on the WIPP Site. The focus of the Team will be to fulfill the safety requirements of the WIPP facility while also fulfilling the Contract obligations.

The Team will comply with NWP and DOE quality management systems as appropriate. The Review Team endorses the establishment and maintenance of a Quality Management System approach. Quality Assurance, as a management tool, provides valuable performance improvement initiatives. The Team fosters an unimpeded communication program to solicit feedback from all members of the Team regarding opportunities for improvement. This QAP prompts early identification, documentation, classification, correction, elimination, and follow-up of items and processes that do not meet established requirements or goals and do not result in the requisite or expected quality.



The Team will meet its objectives by utilizing an integrated quality approach to define quality standards and identify those elements with highest risks based upon a grading scheme, to measure and continuously improve quality.

One method employed by the Triennial Review Team is qualitative risk assessment which will be used for each regulation or group of similar citations or activities. The Risk Assessment (RA) will become a project record that will be available for review upon completion. The purpose of the RA will be to ensure proper priority is placed on an activity such as potential for improper implementation of a procedure. Based on uncertainty relative to an activity and potential for failure within that activity, the Team will provide management a list of deficiencies that could lead to violations of environmental regulations. In most cases, probability of failure cannot be fully quantified or qualified until the assessment of the regulation and area have been completed. Continuous Improvement is the goal of risk management.

5.2 TRAINING

The Review Team consists of highly educated and experienced professionals lead by an audit professional with 30 years of experience in DOE operations, construction, nuclear, and environmental projects under DOE QA programs. The Team's experience elevates it above the need to train to the "basics". The Team's education gives each member structure and discipline above the novice level. Therefore, the need for redundant and step-by-step procedures is unnecessary.

The WIPP EMS is compliant with ISO 14001 and as such uses ISO 9001 as a system framework for implementation. Under the requirements of ISO 14001, procedures are only needed in certain areas such as document control and records as are the requirements of this QAP. Each member relies on their education, experience, discipline, and professionalism to guide them. Every review initiated for DOE does require some level of indoctrination and training.

The magnitude and importance of this review make it even more imperative that the Review Team understands the unique WIPP environmental, safety, and operational requirements. That is why the team has been assigned required reading not only relative to the assessment process, but to the myriad documents and records that are relevant to this Review. Training assignments are made by the Review Team Lead who tracks completion and effectiveness. Documented evidence of assignment completion is maintained at the Firewater corporate office as a Quality Record. Quality in the Review Team organization is achieved through clear understanding of the goals and objectives to be accomplished by each individual, as well as through each person's discipline training.



5.3 IMPROVEMENT

The quality improvement process Is established to ensure that the Review Team maintains focus on achieving review goals and objectives. The Review Team will continuously focus on the goals and objectives of this Triennial Review, and to reduce the risk of failure. Many factors affect risk such as increase or decrease in the probability of an event occurring or may increase or decrease the consequence resulting from the occurrence of an event. These factors, when appropriately applied, can reduce risks to acceptable levels. Part of the improvement program will be to perform risk assessments at stages during the review to determine whether the review is still focusing on those aspects with greatest risk of failure, and with greatest consequence. Improvements may be put into place and communicated to the team improving the review process.

Nonconformances may be identified in WIPP programs during this review and if so, corrective actions may be developed along with causal analysis, corrective actions, and closure.

5.4 DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS

Documents, once approved and verified, will be maintained in hard copy, and electronic format backed up daily as records (NQA-1). The WIPP EMS is compliant with ISO 14001: 2004 and as such uses ISO 9001 as a system framework for implementation.

Records shall be protected against damage, deterioration, or loss. Requirements and responsibilities for records transmittal, distribution retention, maintenance, and disposition will be developed as needed using the Firewater program and will be sensitive to contradicting site records management procedures.

Performance Documents are the collection of policies, procedures, directives, charters, and program descriptions that define the team's management systems, programs, and processes. Processes as documented in Performance Documents implement the requirements of this QAP and applicable QA requirements mandated by law and contract to provide the detail necessary for proper implementation of the QA management program using a graded approach. This ensures the level of documentation necessary to comply with a requirement is commensurate with the following:

• Relative importance to safety, safeguards, and security.

• Magnitude of any hazard involved as identified, analyzed, and controlled in the facility safety basis documents.

• Life-cycle stage of the facility/activity or project.



- Impact/consequences on programmatic mission of the facility/activity or project.
- Characteristics of the facility/activity or project.
- The nuclear safety classification or hazard category of the item or activity.
- Adequacy of existing safety documentation.
- Complexity of products or services involved.
- Environmental consequences and level of resource protection required.
- History of problems at a site, facility, or project.

Performance Documents that contain or implement regulatory requirements or other commitments denote those requirements or commitments in the associated sections or steps of the document. Performance Documents that are technical procedures incorporate job-specific hazard controls. The process for creation of specific documents that become "records" is defined in procedures, or other governing documents as required. These documents include or reference appropriate quantitative or qualitative acceptance criteria as appropriate for determining that results are satisfactory.

The word "shall" indicates mandatory requirements. The word "should" indicates a preferred or recommended approach. The word "may" indicates an acceptable or suggested means of accomplishment.

Review Team Procedures, checklists, and other appropriate means include the following:

- Organization Structure
- Risk Assessment Process
- Documents and Records Process
- Training Flow
- Lessons Learned Coordination
- Checklists
- Criteria Review and Approach Documents (CRADS)

Other instructions, procedures and appropriate means will be developed as needed.

5.5 WORK PROCESSES

The Review process is planned, authorized, and performed by technically competent individuals who provide leadership, direction, and oversight. The review process is performed using technical standards developed or adopted from commercial practice, policies, procedures, and other appropriate means and contain a level of detail commensurate with the complexity and



importance of the work being performed (i.e. graded approach). Environmental, quality, safety, and health requirements are integrated into the Review Team work processes.

The Review Team has developed a set of instructions and guides to implement its work. These procedures and guides provide adequate detail for performing work. The Review QAP encompasses only the assessment process. The team will be subject to WIPP Site requirements in most cases and will implement safe practices in all cases.

5.6 DESIGN

Design is not applicable to this work.

5.7 PROCUREMENT

Procurement is not applicable to this work.

5.8 INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE TESTING

Inspection and Acceptance Testing is not applicable to this work.

5.9 MANAGEMENT/ SELF-ASSESSMENT

Periodic assessment of the review process and progress will be performed by appropriate Firewater and Longenecker management.

The Review Team Management recognizes that there are risks associated with the performance of any item or performance of any activity. Risk is a quantitative or qualitative expression of possible loss or harm with consideration of the probability of occurrence of an unwanted event and the consequences resulting from it. Consequences can include adverse impacts on (1) health and safety of facility personnel and the public, (2) the environment, and (3) NWP Management objectives.

5.10 INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT

Independent Assessment specifies a uniform method for scheduling, conducting, and reporting independent assessments designed to evaluate compliance with environmental, health, safety, quality, and regulatory requirements; evaluate process performance; and promote improvement.

Independent assessments are part of the Review Team assessment and oversight program. Independent assessments are performed to evaluate compliance with environmental, health, safety, quality, and regulatory requirements and to determine the effectiveness of the QA



Program. Independent assessments may also be used to verify or validate conditions or fulfill directed senior management investigations and verify the effectiveness of corrective actions for significant issues. Independent assessments focus on performance of work with significant consideration given to compliance with requirements and safely performing work while achieving the goals of the organization. Their purpose is to improve performance and process effectiveness through assessing item and service quality, measuring adequacy of work performed and promoting improvement. Independent assessments are conducted by technically qualified and knowledgeable staff not responsible for supervising or performing the work being reviewed.

6.0 TRIENNIAL REVIEW SCOPE

The activities to be performed by the Triennial Review Team will include:

- Determining, through investigation, examination of records, interviews, and inspections if CBFO and NWP comply with the terms and conditions of permits and authorizations implementing the environmental regulations that stem from the listed statutes.
- Determine, through investigation, examination of records, and interviews, if the CBFO and NWP have programs in place to identify and implement new environmental requirements when they are promulgated.
- Examine the status of the Environmental Management System (EMS) regarding completeness. Completeness is defined as including the major activities that impact the environment and providing a method for mitigation of the impacts.
- Determine, through investigation, examination of records, and interviews, the robustness of the oversight processes in place for the environmental programs at the WIPP facility to ensure the technical content of the implementation programs is effectively controlled.
- Document findings in a written report that will be submitted to the Permittees through the NWP subcontract technical point of contact (TPOC) at the completion of the review.

The Triennial Review Team will focus on the environmental statutes, regulations and Orders listed in Section 2.0 above. The Triennial Review Team will evaluate compliance with the above-referenced requirements at surface and underground structures/facilities at the WIPP site.

6.1 METHOD

The Triennial Review Team will utilize a variety of techniques such as, interviews, observations, document reviews, and in some cases inspections of certain attributes that can only be adequately determined by field inspection, such as instrument calibration. Some activities will be conducted remotely (i.e., document reviews) and will be communicated to NWP beforehand.



6.3 CRITERIA (2)

Criteria include statutes, regulations, and DOE Orders as well as requirements from procedures and instructions that have been generated from regulations in order to carry out specific activities in demonstration of compliance.

6.4 IMPACT OF REVIEW ON CLIENT

Every effort will be made to minimize impact on WIPP operations and personnel. Activities such as interviews, observations will be scheduled and adhered to.

7.0 PERSONNEL ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

7.1 PERSONNEL

The Triennial Review Team is made of up six team members from two contractors: Firewater Associates (Firewater) and Longenecker & Associates (L&A). The roles and responsibilities of each team member are briefly described below.

Team Lead – Mr. William Palmer (Firewater)

Mr. Bill Palmer is the lead for this Review and is responsible for the following:

- Task assignments to Review Team members
- Protecting the health and safety of Review Team members during the Review
- Interfacing with the client and auditee
- Ensuring competence of the Review Team
- Ensuring integrity of the Review Process
- Preventing and resolving conflicts

Review Team members

Mr. Greg Edwards (Firewater) Ms. Anne Weaver (Firewater) Dr. Ben Rogers (Firewater) Ms. Kathryn Roberts (L&A) Ms. Christine Gelles (L&A)



7.2 ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

The Team Lead is responsible for ensuring that personnel are trained and qualified to do their assigned jobs in a manner that achieves performance levels and objectives. The Team Lead is also responsible for ensuring that required quality assurance indoctrination and training is successfully completed and that additional training needs are identified and met.

Review Team members are responsible for completing all tasks assigned by the Team Lead in accordance with the Review Plan and this QAP.

7.3 INTERFACE CONTROLS

The importance of the Triennial Review necessitates responsive management of the interfaces among the Review Team, NWP Technical Point of Contact (TPOC), and DOE representatives to maintain control of contractual work and to facilitate technical information flow. The procedures and plans identified by this QAP and the Review Plan are on file in the Firewater corporate office and provide applicable interfaces.

8.0 REPORTING AND DOCUMENTATION

Documentation will be passed through the Team Lead for acceptability and accuracy and maintained to prevent breach of confidentiality and security. Records shall be protected against damage, deterioration, or loss. Requirements and responsibilities for records transmittal, distribution, retention, maintenance, and disposition are described below.

The President of Firewater, Ms. Renee Echols, will have sole responsibility for formally transmitting deliverables to Mr. Wille Most, NWP TPOC.

Upon completion of the Review, documentation and records will be turned over to NWP for retention and/or disposition as directed by the STR.

9.0 REFERENCES

10 CFR Part 830, Nuclear Safety Management, Subpart A, Quality Assurance Requirements

10 CFR Part 830, Nuclear Safety Management, Subpart B, Safety Basis Requirements

10 CFR Part 830.7, Graded Approach

10 CFR Part 830.122, Quality Assurance Criteria

DOE M 450.4-1, Integrated Safety Management System Manual,

DOE 0 414.1D, Quality Assurance

QA Plan, Rev. 1

December 18, 2017



DOE P 450.4A, Integrated Safety Management Policy, April 25, 2011, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

EM-QA-001, Office of Environmental Management, Subject: EM Quality Assurance Program (QAP), U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

NQA-1-2008, Quality Assurance Requirements for Nuclear Facility Applications and NQA-1a-2008 and NQA-1b-2009 Addenda

DOE O 232.2, Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information

DOE O 226.1B, Implementation of Department of Energy Oversight Policy

NQA-1-2008, Non-mandatory Appendix 2A-1, "Guidance on the Qualifications of Inspection and Test Personnel"



ATTACHMENT C

CRITERIA CHECKLISTS

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Non-Permit Generator Requireme	ents				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		2			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 1				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	40 CFR §262.11 (20.4.1.300	Is there a program in place to determine if a solid waste generated at	0 () ()			
	NMAC) Hazardous Waste Determination	the WIPP facility is hazardous as defined in 40 CFR Part 261?				
2	40 CFR §262.20 - 23	Is there a program in place to assure compliance with the manifest				
	(20.4.1.300 NMAC) Manifest	requirements for shipping hazardous waste off-site?				
	Requirements					
3	40 CFR §262.30 - 33	Is there a program in place to assure EPA and DOT packaging				
	(20.4.1.300 NMAC) Packaging Requirements	requirements are met before shipping hazardous waste off-site?				
4	40 CFR §262.34(a)(1) -	Is there a program in place to assure accumulation times are not				
	34(a)(3) (20.4.1.300 NMAC)	exceeded?				
	Accumulation Time					
5	40 CFR §262.34(a)(4)	Are there programs and procedures to assure compliance with				-
	(20.4.1.300 NMAC) Compliance with Preparedness and	preparedness and prevention and contingency requirements for large				
	Prevention, Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures,	quantity generators?				
	Training, and Waste Analysis Plan Requirements					
6	40 CFR §262.34(b) (20.4.1.300	Is there a program in place to extend the 90-day storage period if				
	NMAC) Extension of Storage Period	needed?				,
7	40 CFR §262.34(c) (20.4.1.300	Are there programs and procedures to manage satellite accumulation				
	NMAC) Restrictions and Requirements	areas?				
8	40 CFR §262.40 (20.4.1.300	Are there procedures to ensure manifests, test results, waste analyses,				
	NMAC) Record-Keeping Requirements	biennial reports, and exception reports are kept on-site for at least three				
		years.				
9	40 CFR §262.41 (20.4.1.300	Has the most recent biennial report been submitted to the EPA by				
	NMAC) Generator-Biennial Report	March 1 of the most recent even- numbered year?				
10	40 CFR §262.42 (20.4.1.300	Is there a program in place to ensure exception reporting is done for	2			
	NMAC) Exception Reporting	unreturned manifests?				
11	40 CFR §262.43 (20.4.1.300	Has the NMED Secretary required additional reporting beyond what's				
	NMAC) Additional Reporting	required in the regulations?	r			

						ŕ
	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 1 - General Permit Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 1				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
12	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.7 Proper Operation and Maintenance	Are systems required to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit adequately identified and maintained?				
13	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.7 Proper Operation and Maintenance	Are there sufficient staff and is the training of the operating staff current?				
14	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.8 Duty to Provide Information	Have the Permittees been asked to provide additional information and has that information been provided in a timely manner?				
15	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.9.3 Inspection	Has NMED inspected the WIPP facility in the past year?				
16	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.10.1 Representative Sampling	Have representative samples been taken as prescribed?				
17	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.10.2 Record Retention	Is there a compliant records retention program?				
18	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.10.3 Monitoring Records	Do monitoring records contain the required information?				
19	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.11.1 Reporting Planned Changes	Have the Permittees posted links to planned change notification transmittal letters?				
20	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.11.2 Reporting Anticipated Noncompliance	Have the Permittees posted links to planned change notification transmittal letters?				

	Triennial Review Checklist				[ĺ
	RCRA Permit Part 1 - General Permit Co	nditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK			<u>1 8</u>	-	
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 1				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
21	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.13 24 Hour and Subsequent Reporting	Do the Permittees have processes in place to assure compliance with the 24 hour and subsequent reporting?				
22	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.13.4 Contingency Plan Implementation	Have the Permittees implemented the Contingency Plan in the past year and have they complied with the reporting requirements of Attachment D?				
23	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.14 Other Noncompliance	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure the reporting of other noncompliances in the annual monitoring report?				
24	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.14 Other Noncompliance	Have other noncompliances been reported?		ji j		
25	Permit Part 1 Section 1.7.15	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure reporting as required?				
26	Permit Part 1 Section 1.9 Signatory Requirement	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure documents are properly signed and certified?				
27	Permit Part 1 Section 1.10.1 Information Submittal	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure proper information submittal?				
28	Permit Part 1 Section 1.11 Public E-Mail Notification List	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure compliance with the Public E-Mail Notification requirements?				
29	Permit Part 1 Section 1.13 Documents to be Maintained at the Facility	Are the listed documents maintained at the WIPP facility and are there processes in place to assure maintenance?				
30	Permit Part 1 Section 1.14 Information Repository	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure compliance with the Information Repository requirements?				
31	Permit Part 1 Section 1.14 Information Repository	Are the Permittees in compliance with the Information Repository requirements?				
	Triennial Review Checklist					
--------	---	---	-------------	-----	----	----------------
	RCRA Permit Part 1 - General Permit Co	nditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 1				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
32	Permit Part 1 Section 1.15	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure compliance with	9 A	1)	1	
		the Community Relations Plan requirements?				
33	Permit Part 1 Section 1.15	Are the Permittees in compliance with the Community Relations Plan requirements?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility C	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-		-	
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Part 2, Section 2.2.2 - Required Notification of Off-Site Sources	Have the Permittees provided the required notice of off-site sources of TRU mixed waste as required by 24.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.12(b))?				
2	Permit Part 2, Section 2.3.5 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.13	Do the Permittees have processes to identify and characterize derived waste?				
3	Permit Part 2, Section 2.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.73(b)(9)	Do the Permittees have the required waste minimization program in place?				
4	Permit Part 2, Section 2.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.73(b)(9)	Have the Permittees submitted the required waste minimization report to the NMED?				
5	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.1 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(1)))	Is there a surveillance system comprised of security officers that provide protection 24 hours per day, every day?			C 4	
6	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.1 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(1)))	Do security officers continuously monitor and control personnel, vehicle, and material access/egress to the Property Protection Area (PPA)?				
7	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.1 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(1)))	During non-operational hours, do security officers conduct documented security patrols outside of the PPA, at a minimum rate of two per 12-hour shift?				
8	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.1 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(1)))	Whenever scheduled security patrols cannot be made, is the reason for missing the patrol documented in the security logbook?				
9	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(2)(i)))	Is the PPA enclosed by a permanent seven ft high chain-link fence topped by three strands of barbed wire, for a total height of eight ft.?				
10	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(2)(i)))	Does the fence completely surround all major surface structures on the active portion of the facility?			-	

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-	1		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
11	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(2)(i)))	Is the fence inspected as specified in Permit Attachment E to ensure it remains in good repair?				
12	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(2)(ii)))	Do the Permittees control entry to the active portion of the facility at all times?				
13	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(2)(ii)))	Is entry into the PPA, through controlled gates and doors?				
14	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(b)(2)(ii)))	Are only properly identified and authorized persons, vehicles, and property allowed entrance to and exit from the active portion of the facility?				
15	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(c)))	Have the Permittees posted "No Trespassing" signs and "Danger: Authorized Personnel Only" signs in English and Spanish at approximately 50 ft intervals on the permanent chain-link fence surrounding the PPA.?				
16	Permit Part 2, Section 2.6.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.14(c)))	Are signs legible from a distance of 25 ft and visible from any approach to the facility?				
17	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.1 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b))	Have the Permittees implemented the inspection schedule specified in Permit Attachment E to detect any malfunctions and deteriorations, operator errors, and discharges?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process and Forms
18	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b))	Do the Permittees use the inspection logbooks and forms as specified in Permit Attachment E?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process and Forms
19	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b))	Are original copies of these completed forms maintained in the Operating Record?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process and Forms

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility C	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-		-	
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
20	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b))	Do the records include the date and time of the inspection, the name of the inspector, a notation of the observations made, and the date and nature of any repairs or other remedial actions?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process an Forms
21	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b))	Do the Permittees inspect monitoring equipment, safety and emergency equipment, security devices, and operating and structural equipment at the frequency specified in Tables E-1 and E-2 of Permit Attachment E ²				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process an Forms
21 part 2	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b))	Do the Permittees inspect monitoring equipment, safety and emergency equipment, security devices, and operating and structural equipment at the frequency specified in Tables E-1 and E-2 of Permit Attachment E2				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process an Forms
22	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(c))	Do the Permittees have a program to remedy any deterioration or malfunction of equipment or structures which an inspection reveals?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process an Forms
23	Permit Part 2, Section 2.7.5 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(d) and 264.73(b)(5))	Are the Permittees maintaining inspection logbooks and forms in the operating record until closure?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment E – Inspection Schedule, Process an Forms
24	Permit Part 2, Section 2.8.1 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16)).	Have the Permittees implemented a personnel training program that includes the requirements specified in Permit Attachment F and Permit Attachment F2?				Issues were identified during the review. Those issues were technical discrepancies, and did not affect the safety of WIPP operations. These discrepancies are included in Finding 3 of the Report.
25	Permit Part 2, Section 2.8.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16)).	Are Permittees' employees that are involved in the management of mixed and hazardous waste trained in procedures relevant to the positions in which they are employed, as specified in Permit Attachment F1?				
26	Permit Part 2, Section 2.8.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16(d) and (e))).	Do the Permittees maintain training documents and records, as required by the Permit?			4	

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility C	onditions				
č	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
27	Permit Part 2, Section 2.8.4	Is refresher training completed by the end of the month of the				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16)).	anniversary date when the training was previously completed?				
28	Permit Part 2, Section 2.9	Do the Permittees have programs in place to assure no ignitable,				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	corrosive, reactive, or incompatible wastes are managed, stored or				
20	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.17)).	De the Demittee lesses internel committee units?				
29	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.1	Do the Permittees have an internal communications or alarm system				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	capable of providing immediate emergency instruction (voice or				
100000	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32(a))).	signal) to facility personnel?				
30	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.1	Do the Permittees internal communication systems include two-way				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	communication by the public address (PA) system and its intercom				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32(a))).	phones, mobile phones, mine phones, plant base radios, and portable				
		two-way radios.?				
31	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.1	Does the Permittees internal communication systems include local and				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	facility-wide alarm systems?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32(a))).					
32	Permit Part 2. Section 2.10.1.2	Do the Permittees have a communications device or system capable of				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	summoning outside agencies for emergency assistance?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264 32(b)))					
33	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.2	Do the external communication systems include the commercial				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	telephone system and two-way radios?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32(b))).	e de la constante d'estructurante de constante en la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante				
34	Permit Part 2. Section 2.10.1.3	Do the Permittees have portable fire extinguishers, fire control				
1000	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment				
	(incorporating 40 CFR & 264 32(c)))	as described in Permit Attachment D?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program			-1	
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
35	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.4	Do the Permittees have water at adequate volume and pressure to				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	supply water-hose streams, foam- producing equipment, automatic			I	
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32(d))).	sprinklers, or water-spray systems?				
36	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.4	Does the permittees facility water system consist of water furnished by				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	the City of Carlsbad capable of providing water at a rate of 6,000			I	
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32(d))).	gallons per minute; two water storage tanks, one 180,000 gallon			I	
		capacity tank for use by the fire-water system and a second tank with a			I	
		100.000-gallon reserve; dedicated fire water pumps rated at 1,500			I	
		gallons per minute at 125 pounds per square inch; and a wet-pipe			I .	
		sprinkler system connected to surface buildings as described in Permit			I	
		Attachment D?				
37	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Do the Permittees maintain dedicated batteries designed to supply		-	-	
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	power to a fully loaded uninterruptible power system (UPS) for 30			I	
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	minutes?				
38	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are the Permittees maintaining the back-up diesel generators?				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC				I	
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).				I	
39	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are the backup diesel generators connected to the RH equipment as	NA		8	
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	required by the Permit?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).					
40	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event				Deals exclusively with RHTRU - Not in scope
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?			I	4,2%3. 6,0°5*
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	□ The underground filtration system fails in the "filter" mode so			I	
		that no releases of contaminated particulates will occur			I	
41	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?			I	
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	□ The UPS maintains all monitoring systems and alarms in waste			I	
	Naterica American Contractante Contractante Contractante (20)	handling areas so that fires or pressure loss will be detected and an				
		appropriate response initiated				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK			-		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
42	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event		1		
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	Generators are brought on line within 30 minutes, at which time				
		hoisting can be initiated so that personnel do not have to stay				
		underground for extended lengths of time.				
43	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event			4	
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	Decisions to evacuate underground personnel will be made in				
		accordance with the requirements of the Mine Safety and Health				
		Administration (MSHA)				
44	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	□ The waste hoist brakes set automatically so that loads do not				
45	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	□ Cranes retain their loads so that spills do not occur from				
		dropped containers				
46	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	Communication systems are maintained				
47	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.1.5	Are there procedures in place to implement the following in the event				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	of a loss of electrical power?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.32)).	□ The emergency operations center is powered if it is needed				
48	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.2	Do the Permittees test and maintain the equipment specified in Permit				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	Section 2.10.1, as necessary, to assure its proper operation in time of				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.33)).	emergency, as specified in Permit Attachment E?				
49	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.3	Do the Permittees maintain access to the communications and alarm				
1.17	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	systems specified in Permit Section 2.10.1?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.34)).					

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program			5	
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
50	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.4	Do the Permittees maintain aisle space in the WHB Unit and Parking		1		
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	Area Unit to allow the unobstructed movement of personnel, fire				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.35)).	protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination				
		equipment to any area of facility operation in an emergency?				
51	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.5.1	Do the Permittees maintain preparedness and prevention arrangements				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	with state and local authorities, other mining operations, contractors,				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.37)(a) and 264.57(c))).	and other governmental agencies specified in Permit Attachment D.				
		Section D-6?				
52	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.5.2	Are the Permittees arrangements either Memoranda of Understanding				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	(MOUs) or Mutual Aid Agreements (MAAs) between the Permittees				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.37)(a))).	and the off-site cooperating agencies?				
53	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.5.2	Do the Permittees arrangements include the elements required by				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.37)(a))?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.37)(a))).					
54	Permit Part 2, Section 2,10,5,2	Are copies and descriptions of the Permittees MOUs and MAAs				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	maintained at the facility in the operating record?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR § 264.37)(a))).					
55	Permit Part 2, Section 2.10.6	Have the Permittees developed and implemented Live Fire			e	
		Extinguisher Training and Refresher and is it mandatory for				
		unescorted access to the underground?				
56	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.1	Do the Permittees have procedures in place to immediately implement				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	the Contingency Plan as specified in Permit Attachment D whenever				
	(incorporating 40 CFR	there is a fire, explosion, or release of mixed or hazardous waste or				
	§264.51(b)))	hazardous waste constituents which could threaten human health or				
		the environment, as required by.				
57	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.2	Do the Permittees maintain copies of the Contingency Plan and all				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	revisions and amendments to the Contingency Plan?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR					
	8264.53))				I	

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK			-		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
58	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.53(b)))	Do the Permittees provide copies of the current Contingency Plan to the Secretary and all entities with which the Permittees have emergency MOUs or MAAs?				
59	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.2 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.53(b)))	Do the Permittees maintain at least one current paper copy of the Contingency Plan at the facility in a location readily accessible to the Emergency Coordinator?				
60	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.3 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.54))	Do the Permittees have a process in place to review and immediately amend, if necessary, the Contingency Plan, as required by 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.54)?				
61	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.55))	Do the Permittees assure that an Emergency Coordinator as specified in Table D-1 of Permit Attachment D is available at all times in case of an emergency?				
62	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.55))	Is the Permittees' Emergency Coordinator thoroughly familiar with the Contingency Plan?				
63	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.55))	Does the Permittees' Emergency Coordinator have the authority to commit the resources needed to implement the Contingency Plan?				
64	Permit Part 2, Section 2.12.4 (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56))	In the event of an imminent or actual emergency, does the Emergency Coordinator implement the requirements Contingency Plan.				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 2 - General Facility Co	onditions				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 2				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
65	Permit Part 2, Section 2.13	Do the Permittees have a process in place to assure compliance with				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	the manifest requirements?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR					
	§264.71 and 264.72))					
66	Permit Part 2, Section 2.14.1	Do the Permittees maintain a written operating record at the facility?				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC					
	(incorporating 40 CFR					
	§§264.73(a)))					
67	Permit Part 2, Section 2.14.1	Does the Permittees' written operating record include all information				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	required under				
	(incorporating 40 CFR	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.73(b)) subject to the				
	§§264.73(b))	limitations on the storage of classified information				
68	Permit Part 2, Section 2.14.1	For those portions of the Operating Record that are electronic, is the				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	record unalterable by the user and capable of producing a paper copy?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR					
	§§264.73(a)))					
69	Permit Part 2, Section 2.14.1	Do the Permittees have a process in place to maintain the operating				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC	record until closure of the facility?				
	(incorporating 40 CFR					
	§§264.73(a)))					
70	Permit Part 2, Section 2.14.2	Do the Permittees submit to the Secretary a biennial report?				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC					
	(incorporating 40 CFR					
	§§264.75))					
71	40 CFR §264.76 (20.4.1.500	Have the Permittees handled unmanifested waste correctly?				
-	NMAC) Unmanifested Waste Report					
72	40 CFR §264.77 (20.4.1.500	Have the Permittees been required to submit additional reports to the				
	NMAC) Additional Reports	NMED?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 3 - Container Storage					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Permit Part 3				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1 – Designated Container Storage Units	Is there a program in place to ensure that TRU mixed waste containers are only stored in designated container storage units?				
2	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.1 -Storage Containers	Is there a program in place to ensure only permitted containers are used for storage of TRU mixed waste in the WHB?				
3	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.2 - Storage Locations and Quantities	Is there a program in place to ensure containers are stored in the authorized areas of the WHB?				
4	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.2 - Storage Locations and Quantities	Is there a program in place to ensure containers do not exceed the authorized quantities when stored in the WHB?				
5	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.3 - Use of CH Bay Surge Storage	Is there a program in place to ensure compliance with surge storage specification in Attachment A1, Section A1-1c(1)?	14			
6	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.4 - Notification of CH Bay Surge Storage Use	Is there a program in place to ensure the NMED is informed when Surge Storage is used and to justify its use?				
7	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.4 - Notification of CH Bay Surge Storage Use	Is there a program in place to ensure the e-mail notifictions requirements for Surge Storage Use are met?				
8	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.4 - Notification of CH Bay Surge Storage Use	Is there a program in place to ensure the annual report to the NMED regarding surge storage use is submitted timely?				
9	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.5 - Storage on Pallets	Is there a program in place to ensure storage in the WHB is on pallets as applicable?				
10	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.6 - Storage of Derived Waste	Is there a program in place to ensure derived waste is stored in accordance with the Permit?				
11	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.7 - CH TRU Mixed Waste Storage Time Limit	Is there a program in place to ensure CH TRU waste is not stored for longer than 60 days in the WHB?				
12	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.8 - Minimum Aisle Space	Is there a program in place to ensure minimum aisle space of 44 inches is maintained between facility pallets or casks in storage areas?				
13	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.9 - Storage of RH TRU Mixed Waste Containers	Is there a program in place to ensure RH TRU mixed waste is stored in accordance with the specifications in Attachment A1, Section A1.1c(1)?	NA			Deals exclusively with RHTRU - Not in scope
14	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.10 - RH TRU Mixed Waste Storage Time Limit	Is there a program in place to ensure RH TRU mixed waste storage time limits are not exceeded?	NA			Deals exclusively with RHTRU - Not in scope

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 3 - Container Storage					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Permit Part 3				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
15	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.1.11 - Hot Cell RH TRU Mixed Waste Processing Capacity	Is there a program in place to ensure Hot Cell processing limits are not exceeded?	NA			Deals exclusively with RHTRU - Not in scope
16	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2 - Parking Area Container Storage Unit	Is there a program in place to ensure the Permittees manage the Parking Area Container Storage Unit in compliance with the specifications in Permit Attachment A1, Figure A1-2?				
17	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.1 - Storage Containers	Is there a program in place to ensure only permitted containers are used for storage of TRU mixed waste in sealed CH and RH Packages Described in Permit Attachment A1?			2	
18	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.2 - Storage Locations and Quantities	Is there a program in place to ensure RH and CH TRU mixed waste packages are stored in the authorized areas of the PAU?				
19	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.3 - Use of CH Bay Surge Storage	Is there a program in place to ensure compliance with all surge storage specifications in Attachment A1, Section A1-1c(2)?				
20	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.4 - Notification of Parking Area Surge Storage Use	Is there a program in place to ensure compliance with surge storage notification requirements?				
21	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.5 - Prohibition on Opening Containers	Do the Permittees keep containers of off-site waste closed at all times?				
22	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.6 - Storage Time Limits	Do the Permittees have a process in place to prevent exceeding storage times in the PAU?				
23	Permit Part 3, Section 3.1.2.7 - Minimum Aisle Space	Is there a program in place to ensure minimum spacing of 4 feet maintained between loaded packages in the PAU?				
24	Permit Part 3, Section 3.2 - Permitted and Prohibited Waste Idenification	Is there a program in place to ensure compliance with permitted and prohibited waste requirements?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
25	Permit Part 3, Section 3.2.1.1 - Waste Analysis Plan	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste managed in the WHB and PAU comply with the WAP?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
26	Permit Part 3, Section 3.2.1.2 - TSDF Waste Acceptance Criteria	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste managed in the WHB and PAU comply with the TSDF WAC?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
27	Permit Part 3, Section 3.2.1.3 - Hazardous Waste Number	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste managed in the WHB and PAU only contain acceptable HWNs?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
28	Permit Part 3, Section 3.2.2 - Prohibited Waste	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste managed in the WHB and PAU does not contain prohibited items?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 3 - Container Storage					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Permit Part 3				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
29	Permit Part 3, Section 3.3, 20.4.1.500 (incorporating 40 CFR §264.171)	Is there a program in place to ensure waste containers are in "good condition" and in compliance with 40 CFR 264.171?				
30	Permit Part 3, Section 3.3.1 - Acceptable Storage Containers	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste managed in the WHB and PAU are in approved containers?				
31	Permit Part 3, Section 3.3.2 - Derived Waste Containers	Is there a program in place to ensure the Permittees only store derived waste in approved containers in the WHB?				
32	Permit Part 3, Section 3.4, 20.4.1.500 (incorporating 40 CFR §264.172)	Is there a program in place to ensure that containers are compatible with the TRU mixed waste being stored in accordance with 40 CFR 264.172?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
33	Permit Part 3, Section 3.5, 20.4.1.500 (incorporating 40 CFR §264.173)	Is there a program in place to ensure that containers remain closed during storage (except when adding waste to derived waste containers) in accordance with 40 CFR 264.173?				
34	Permit Part 3, Section 3.6, 20.4.1.500 (incorporating 40 CFR §264.175)	Is there a program in place to ensure that secondary containment systems are maintained for containers in the WHB and Parking Area container storage units in accordance with 40 CFR 264.175?				
35	Permit Part 3, Section 3.7, 20.4.1.500 (incorporating 40 CFR §264.174)	Is there a program and/or procedure in place to inspect the WHB and Parking Area container storage units at least weekly in accordance with 40 CFR 264.174?				
36	Permit Part 3, Section 3.8-Recordkeeping	Is there a program and/or procedure in place to ensure that results of waste analysis are placed in the operating record?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 4 - Geologic Reposito	ry Disposal				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		6	Ĉ		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 4				
	Citation	Required Program	î			Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Part 4, Section 4.1 – Designated Disposal Units	Is there a program in place to ensure that waste is dipsosed of in appropriate locations?				
2	Permit Part 4, Section 4.1.1.2 – Designated Disposal Units	Is there a program in place to ensure that the maximum waste capacitiy allowed for disposal in each Underground HWDUs is not exceeded?				
3	Permit Part 4, Section 4.2 – Permitted and Prohibited Waste Identification	Are there procedures to ensure that only permitted waste types are disposed of in the Underground HWDUs?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
4	Permit Part 4, Section 4.2.1.1 - Waste Analysis Plan	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste disposed in the underground comply with the WAP?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
5	Permit Part 4, Section 4.2.1.2 - TSDF Waste Accpetance Criteria	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste disposed in the underground comply with the TSDF WAC?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
6	Permit Part 4, Section 4.2.1.3 - Hazardous Waste Number	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste disposed in the underground only contain acceptable HWNs?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
7	Permit Part 4, Section 4.2.2.1 - General Prohibition	Is there a program in place to ensure TRU mixed waste disposed in the underground is not prohibited?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
8	Permit Part 4, Section 4.2.2.2 - Specific Prohibition	Is there a program in place to ensure that non-mixed waste is not disposed in the underground unless such waste is characterized in accordance with the WAP?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
9	Permit Part 4, Section 4.3 – Disposal Containers	Is there a program in place to ensure that only containers specificed in this section and Attachment A1-1b are disposed of in the Undergound HWDUs	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
10	Permit Part 4, Section 4.3.2 – Condition of Containers	Is there a program/procedure in place to ensure that TRU mixed waste containers are in good condition prior to disposal in the Undergound				
11	Permit Part 4, Section 4.4.1 – Room-Based Limits	Is there a program/procedure in place to ensure that the limits in Table 4.4.1 are not exceeded in each closed room of an active panel?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan
12	Permit Part 4, Section 4.4.2 – Determination of VOC Room-Based Limits	Is there a program in place to ensure that VOC concentrations and emission rate limits in Permit Section 4.4.1 are confirmed?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 4 - Geologic Reposito	ory Disposal				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK	J Z I S P Z Z Z	-	1		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 4	-			
	Citation	Required Program	^			Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
13	Permit Part 4, Section 4.4.3 – Ongoing Disposal Room VOC Monitoring in Panels 3 through 8	Is there a program in place to ensure that ongoing VOC monitoring is conducted in Room 1 of applicable Panels (Panels 3, 4, and 6)?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound
14	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.1-4.5.2 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.601)	Is there a program in place to ensure each Underground HWDU is constructed in conformance with the requirements in Permit Attachments				
15	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.2.2 - Notification Requirements	Is there a program in place to ensure the NMED is notified 30 calendar days prior to beginning construction of a new HWDU? Is the notification posted for the most recent Panel (Panel 8)?				
16	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.3.1 – Underground Traffic Flow	Is there a program/procedure in place separating the ventilation and traffic flow areas in the underground TRU mixed waste handling and disposal areas from the ventilation and traffic flow areas for mining and construction equipment (north of S-1600)?				
17	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.3.1 – Underground Traffic Flow	Is there a program/procedure in place designating routes for the traffic flow of TRU mixed waste handling equipment and construction equipment?				
18	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.3.1 – Underground Traffic Flow	Are the designated routes recorded on a mine map posted in a location where persons entering the underground can read it?				
19	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.3.1 – Underground Traffic Flow	Are old copies of the mine map in the facility files?				
20	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.3.2 – Ventilation	Is there a program/procedure in place to ensure that a minimum acive room ventilation rate of 35,000 standard ft3/min is maintained during waste disposal activities and when workers are present in the room as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-2a(3)?				
21	Permit Part 4, Section 4.5.3.3 – Ventilation Barriers	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring construction of ventilation barricades in active Underground HWDUs to restrict the flow of mine ventilation air through full disposal rooms as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-2a(3)?				
22	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.1- Geomechanical Monitoring (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.602)	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring Geomechnical Monitoring as specified in Permit Attachment A2, Section A2-5b(2)?				
23	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.1.2- Reporting Requirements	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring submittal of an annual report (in October) of the Geomechnical Monitoring program (including certification of explosion-isolation walls by a registered professional				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 4 - Geologic Reposito	ry Disposal				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 4				
	Citation	Required Program	î		1	Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
24	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.1.2- Reporting Requirements	Is there a program/procedure in place to assure posting of the annual report of the Geomechnical Monitoring program to the WIPP Home page and inform those on the e-mail notification list? Was the most recent report posted?				
25	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.1.3- Notification of Adverse Conditions	Is there a procedure in place ensuring that notification to NMED is made when the geomechnical monitoring system data identifies a trend towards unstable conditions?				
26	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.1.3- Reporting Requirements	Is there a program/procedure in place to assure posting of a link to the adverse condition transmittal letter to the WIPP Home page and inform those on the e-mail notification list?				
27	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.2.1 – Implementation of Repository VOC Monitoring	Is there a Repository VOC monitoring program in place?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan
28	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.2.1 – Implementation of Repository VOC Monitoring	Is there a LPEP or proficiency testing program in place?	67			Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan
29	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.2.2 - Reproting Requirements	Do the Permittees provide semi-annual reports in April and Ocotber?				
30	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.2.3 – Notification Requiremetns	Is there a program in place to assure notification of a VOC exceedance to the NMED?				
31	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.2.4 – Remedial Action	Is there a program in place to assure remedial action is taken if there is a VOC exceedance requiring action?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan
32	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.3.1 – Disposal Room Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring	Is there a Disposal Room VOC monitoring Program in place?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan
33	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.3.2 – Notification Requirements	Is there a program in place to assure notification of a VOC exceedance to the NMED?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 4 - Geologic Reposito	ry Disposal				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 4				
	Citation	Required Program	1			Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
34	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.3.3 – Remedial Action	Is there a program in place to assure remedial action is taken if there is a VOC exceedance requiring action?				evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment N - Volatile Organic Compound
35	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.4.1 –Implementation of Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan	Is the Mine Ventiation Rate Monitoring Plan required by Attachment O in place?				
36	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.4.2 – Reporting Requirements	Is there a program in place to assure that the Permittees submit the required report in October?				
37	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.4.3 – Notification Requirements	Is there a program in place to assure that the Permittees evaluate the minimum active room ventilation rate on a monthly basis and submit the required notification in the annual report?				
38	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.5.1 – Implementation of Hydrogen and Methane Monitoring	Is there a hydrogen and methane monitoring program in place?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for
39	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.5.2 – Reporting Requirements	Do the Permittees provide semi-annual reports in April and October?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for
40	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.5.3 – Notification Requirements	Is there a program in place to assure notification of a hydrogen/methane exceedance to the NMED and posting a link to the notification letter and informing those on the e-mail list?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for
41	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.5.4 – Remedial Action	Is there a program in place to assure remedial action is taken if there is a hydrogen/methane exceedance requiring action?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for
42	Permit Part 4, Section 4.6.55 – Sampling Line Loss	Is there a program in place to assure notification of a hydrogen/methane sampling line loss to the NMED and posting a link to the notification letter and informing those on the e-mail list?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for
43	Permit Part 4, Section 4.7 – Inspection Schedules and Procedures	Is there a program in place ensuring that Underground HWDUs are inspected at least weekly to detect malfunctions, signs of deterioration, operator errors, discharges, or any other factors which have caused or may cause a release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents or may compromise the ability of any HWDU to comply with the environmental				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 4 - Geologic Reposito	ry Disposal		. L		
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK LI	ST	÷	C.		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 4				
	Citation	Required Program	Ċ.			Notes/Comments
		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
			74			
44	Permit Part 4, Section 4.8.1 – Recordkeeping-Underground HWDU Location Map	Do the Permittees have an up to date (i.e., within the last 6 months) map of the exact location and dimensions of each Underground HWDU?				
45	Permit Part 4, Section 4.8.2 – Recordkeeping-Disposal Waste Type and Location	Do the Permittees have a Record as well as a map identifying the types and quantities of TRU mixed waste in each Underground HWDU and the disposal location of each container or container assembly in accordance with the requirements in this Permit section?				
46	Permit Part 4, Section 4.8.3 – Recordkeeping-Ventilation	Do the Permittees have a Record identifying non-conformances to the ventilation rate specified in Permit section 4.5.3.2?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 5 - Groundwater Dete	ction Monitoring				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 5				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Part 5, Section 5.1 - 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97 and 264.98)	Have the Permittees established a groundwater detection moniotring program in accordance with 40 CFR §§264.97 and 264.98?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
2	Permit Part 5, Section 5.1, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.601(a))	Does the detection monitoring program (DMP) demonstrate compliance with the environmental performance standard for the Underground HWDUs in accordance with §264.601(a))?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
3	Permit Part 5, Section 5.2, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.98 and 264.601)	Do the Permittees conduct the DMP at the detection monitoring wells (DMW) specified in Table 5.3.1?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
4	Permit Part 5, Section 5.3, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264 Subpart F)	Is there a program in place ensuring that the DMP is maintained in compliance with 40 CFR §264 Subpart F?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
5	Permit Part 5, Section 5.3.1, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(a) and §264.98(b))	Is there a program in place ensuring that the DMWs are maitained at the locations identified in Attachment L?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
6	Permit Part 5, Section 5.3.2, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(c) and §264.98(b))	Is there a program in place ensuring that the DMWs are maitained in accordance with Attachment L?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
7	Permit Part 5, Section 5.4, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(a))	Is there a program in place ensuring that the DMWs are sampled for the indicator parameters and hazardous constituents identified in Tables 5.4a & 5.4b?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
8	Permit Part 5, Section 5.5.1 Sample Collection Procedures incorporating 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97(g)(2), 264.98(d), and 264.601(a))	Do the Permittees collect DMP samples and DMP sample duplicates as specified in Permit Attachment L. Section L-4c?		-		Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
9	Permit Part 5, Section 5.5.2 - Sample Preservation and Shipment Procedures	Do the Permittees preserve and ahip DMP samples as specified in Permit Attachment L. Section L-4c(2)(iv)?	2			Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
10	Permit Part 5, Section 5.5.3 - nalytical Procedures	Do the Permittees analyze DMP samples using the procedures specified in Permit Attachment L. Section L-4c(3)?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
11	Permit Part 5, Section 5.5.4 - Chain of Custody Procedures	Do the Permittees track and control DMP samples using chain of custody procedures specified in Permit Attachment L. Section $L-4c(2)(v)$?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 5 - Groundwater Dete	ction Monitoring				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 5				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
12	Permit Part 5, Section 5.6, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.97(g) and 264.98(d)	Is there a program/procedure documenting the background groundwater quality values listed in Table 5.6 of Permit Part 5 ?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
13	Permit Part 5, Sections 5.7.1, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(f))	Is there a program/procedure in place to essure that the groundwater surface elevation is determined at each DMW each time groundwater is sampled ?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
14	Permit Part 5, Sections 5.7.2, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(f))	Is there a program/procedure in place to essure that the groundwater surface elevation is determined at each well completed in the Culebra monthly?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
15	Permit Part 5, Section 5.8, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(e))	Is there a program/procedure in place to essure that the groundwater flow rate and direction in the Culebra Member of the Rustler Formation is determined at least annually?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
16	Permit Part 5, Section 5.9,1 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(h)) & §264.97(i))	Is there a program/procedure in place to esnure that the statistical analysis methods identified in Permit Attachment L are used to evaluate DMP data for each hazardous constituent?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
17	Permit Part 5, Section 5.9.2, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.90(c))	Is there a program/procedure in place to essure that statistical tests are performed on DMW samples to determine whether there is statistically significant evidence of contamination for hazardous constituents listed in Permit Table 5.4.b?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
18	Permit Part 5, Section 5.9.3, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(f))	Is there a program/procedure in place documenting the methodology for determining whether statistically significant evidence exists (i.e., comparison of groundwater quality to background values)?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
19	Permit Part 5, Section 5.9.4, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(f)(2))	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that data evaluations are performed within 120 calendar days?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
20	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.1, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.73(b)(6)) - Operating Record Requirements	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that DMP monitoring, testing and analytical data are posted in the Operating Record?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
21	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.2.1, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(j)) - Data Evaluation Results	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Data Evaluation results are reported to NMED by November 30th each year?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 5 - Groundwater Dete	ction Monitoring				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Part 5				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
22	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.2.2 – Groundwater Surface Elevation Results	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Groundwater Surface Elevation results are reported to NMED semiannually by May 31st and November 30th?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
23	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.2.3 – Groundwater Flow Results	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Groundwater Flow results are reported to NMED by November 30th each year?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
24	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.3, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g))	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that if statistically significant evidence demonstrates there is contamination, the Permittees comply with all notification, sampling and reporting requirements in Permit Section 5.10.3?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
25	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.3.1, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(1)) - Notification	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the NMED is notified if statistically significant evidence demonstrates there is contamination requirements in Permit Section 5.10.3?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
26	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.3.2, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(2)) - Appendix IX Sampling	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring Appendix IX sampling for DMW for which there is evidence of contamination?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
27	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.3.3, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.98(g)(3)) -Verification Sampling	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring re-sampling for DMW for which there is evidence of contamination?		2		Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan
28	Permit Part 5, Section 5.10.4 – Demonstration of Outside Contamination	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that if statistically significant evidence demonstrates there is contamination from an off- site source, the Permittees comply with notification, sampling and reporting requirements in Permit Section 5.10.4?				Evaluated in conjunction with RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program Plan

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 6 through 8 - Closure	Post-Closure and Corrective Action				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Parts 6 through 8				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE S	NO	
1	Permit Part 6, Section 6.4 Notification of Closure (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.112(d) and 40 CFR § 264.601))	Is there documentation of 60 calendar day written notification to the Secretary prior to the start of closure of each Underground HDWU, and are there links on the WIPP Home Page to those notices and documentation of notification of those on the e-mail notification list?				No HDWUs have undergone closure to-date. However, the schedule in the current approved Permit requires closure of Panels 1-6 by June 30, 2018, which is deemed unachievable.
2	Permit Part 6, Section 6.5.1 Partial Closure (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.113))	Does documentation support closure of completed Underground HDWU's in accordance with the requirements of Permit Attachment G?	NA			No HDWUs have undergone closure to-date
3	Permit Part 6, Section 6.7 Certification of Closure (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.111 and 40 CFR § 264.178))	Is there documentation of the 60 calendar day written notification to the Secretary of completion of closure of each Underground HDWU?	NA			No HDWUs have undergone closure to-date
4	Permit Part 6, Section 6.8 Survey Plat (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.116))	Is there documentation that survey plats detailing the location and dimensions of each of the closed Underground HMWU's were submitted prior to the certification of those closures?				
5	Permit Part 6, Section 6.10.1 Panel Closure	Is their documentation of written notification to the Secretary stating the final volume of TRU mixed waste emplaced in each Underground HDWU, and are their links on the WIPP Home Page to those notices and documentation of notification of those on the e-mail notification list?				
6	Permit Part 6, Section 6.10.1 Panel Closure	Does documentation support closure of completed Underground HDWU's in accordance with requirements of Permit Attachment G and Permit Attachment G1 (Detailed Design Report)?	NA			No HDWUs have undergone closure to-date
7	Permit Part 7 - Post Closure Care Plan NO APPLICABLE CRITERIA		NA			No Poet-Closure Care Plan is required
8	Permit Part 8, Section 8.4 Notification and Assessment for Newly Identified SWMUs and AOCs	Have there been any newly identified SWMUs or AOC's beyond those listed in Permit Attachment K? If so, is there documentation of written notification of the Secretary within 15 days of the discovery, and does that notification meet the notification requirements?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Part 6 through 8 - Closure	Post-Closure and Corrective Action				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Parts 6 through 8				
	Citation	Required Program		Î		Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
9	Permit Part 8, Section 8.4 Notification and Assessment for Newly Identified SWMUs and AOCs	If written notifications to the Secretary have ben made under Section 8.4, has the Secretary required the submittal of Release Assessment Report, and has that report been submitted meeting the requirements of Section	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
10	Permit Part 8, Section 8.4 Notification and Assessment for Newly Identified SWMUs and AOCs	If written notifications to the Secretary have been made under Section 8.4, has the Secretary required the submittal of an Investigation Work Plan, and has that report been submitted meeting the requirements of	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
11	Permit Part 8, Section 8.4 Notification and Assessment for Newly Identified SWMUs and AOCs (20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 270.42))	If an Investigation Work Plan has been requested, has the Permit been modified to add the identified SWMU or AOC to Permit Attachment K?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
12	Permit Part 8, Section 8.6.1 Release Assessment Report (20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 270.14(b)(19)))	If a Release Assessment Report has been requested by the Secretary, was it perpared and submitted in accordance with Permit Part 8.6?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
13	Permit Part 8, Section 8.7.1 Secretary-Initiated Interim Measures	Has written notification for the Secretary of a requirement for an Interim Measures (IM) Work Plan been received and, if so, has the IM Worl Plan been submitted within 30 calendar days?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
14	Permit Part 8, Section 8.7.2 Permittee-Initiated Interim Measures	Has Permittee-initiated Interim Measures been initiated and, if so, was 30 calendar days notice provided to the Secretary before initiating IM?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
15	Permit Part 8, Section 8.7.3 Emergency Interim Measures	Has emergency Interim Measures been initiated and, if so, was one day notice provided to the Secretary before initiating IM?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
16	Permit Part 8, Section 8.7.5 Interim Measures Implementation	If Interim Measures were approved, was the work completed within 180 calendar days of the start of implementation, or was written approval received from the Secretary for an extension of that schedule?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
17	Permit Part 8, Section 8.8.1 Investigation Work Plan Submittal	Have investigation work plans meeting the requirements of Permit Section 8.14.1 been submitted to the Secretary for all SWMUs and AOCs listed in Permit Attachment K, Table K-1?	NA			There have been no newly identified SWMUs or AOCs
18	Permit Part 8, Section 8.8.1.3 Investigation Work Plan Submittal Histroical Documents	Have historical documents for the SWMUs and AOCs been submitted to the Secretary as required?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment C - Waste Analysis Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment C				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment C , Section C-0b – AK Sufficiency Determination	Is there a program/procedure in place outlining the information necessary to properly evaluate a "Determination Request" for completeness and technical adequacy?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
2	Permit Attachment C , Section C-0b – AK Sufficiency Determination	Should the Permittees determine that the Determination Request is complete, is there a program/procedure in place outlining the public notification and public meeting requirements?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
3	Permit Attachment C , Section C-0b – AK Sufficiency Determination	If the Permittees provisionally approve the Determination Request, is there a program/procedure in place outlining the process for submittal and review to NMED?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
4	Permit Attachment C , Section C-0b – AK Sufficiency Determination	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Permittees submit a list of waste streams to NMED that may be submitted for an AK Sufficiency Determination during the upcoming FFY by July 1st each year?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
5	Permit Attachment C , Section C-0c – Waste Stream Profile Form Completion	Is there a program/procedure in place outlining the information necessary to properly evaluate "Waste Stream Profile Form (WSPF)" and a "Characterization Information Summary (CIS)" for completeness and technical adequacy prior to loading any TRU waste at a generator site?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
6	Permit Attachment C , Section C-0d – Waste Confirmation	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Permittees perform a waste confirmation on a representative subpopulation of each waste stream shipment after certification and prior to shipment?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
7	Permit Attachment C, Section C-1b – Waste Summary Category Groups and Hazardous wastes accepted at WIPP	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Permittees only allow generators to ship TRU mixed waste streams with EPA hazardous waste numbers listed in Table C-5 of Permit Attachment C?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
8	Permit Attachment C, Section C-1c – Wastes Prohibited at WIPP	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Permittees perform waste confirmation activities on at least 7% of each waste stream shipment to ensure prohibited items/wastes are not shipped to WIPP?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment C - Waste					
	Analysis Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment C				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	YES	NO	
9	Permit Attachment C, Section C-2 -Waste Characterization	Through what means (e.g., procedure or process) do the Permittees	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	Program Requirements and Waste Characterization Parameters	require generator sites to develop procedures specifying their programmatic waste characterization requirements?				characterization related and not facility related.
10	Permit Attachment C, Section C-3a –Acceptable Knowledge	Through what means (e.g., procedure or process) do the Permittees	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	32° 87° 87°	require generator sites to meet the minimum requirements and DQOs				characterization related and not facility related.
		for use of Acceptable Knowledge (AK)?				
11	Permit Attachment C, Section C-3b –Radiography and Visual	Through what means (e.g., policy, procedure or process) do the	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	Examination	Permittees require generator sites to characterize CH and RH TRU				characterization related and not facility related.
		waste via radiography or visual examination?				
12	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4 –Data Verification and Quality	Through what means (e.g., policy, procedure) do the Permittees	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	Assurance	ensure that applicable waste characterization processes performed by				characterization related and not facility related.
		generator/storage sites sending TRU mixed waste to the WIPP for				
		disposal meets WAP requirements through data validation, usability				
		and reporting controls?				
13	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(1) – Data Quality Objectives	Through what means (e.g., policy, procedure) do the Permittees	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
		ensure that waste characterization data obtained through WAP				characterization related and not facility related.
		implementation will be used to ensure that the Permittees meet				
		regulatory requirements with regard to both regulatory compliance				
		and to ensure that all TRU mixed wastes are properly managed during				
14	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(2) –Quality Assurance	Through what means (e.g., policy, procedure) do the Permittees	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	Objectives	ensure that generator/storage sites demonstrate compliance with each				characterization related and not facility related.
		QAO associated with the characterization methods as presented in				
97615		Permit Attachment C3?				
15	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(3) –Data Generation	Through what means (e.g., policy, procedure) do the Permittees	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
		ensure that generator/storage sites use BDRs, in a format approved by				characterization related and not facility related.
		DOE, for reporting waste characterization data?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment C - Waste					
	Analysis Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment C				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	YES	NO	
16	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(3) –Data Generation	Is there a program/procedure in place describing the Permittees' audit requirements and responsibilities with regard to generator site	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
17	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(4) –Data Verification	How do the Permittees ensure that data validation and verification at both the data-generation level and the project level are performed as required by this Permit?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
18	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(5) –Data Transmittal	How do the Permittees ensure that generator sites comply with the data transmittal requirements in section C-4a(5)?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
19	Permit Attachment C, Section C-4a(6) –Records Management	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Permittees are in compliance with the records management requirements of section C 4a(6)?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
20	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5a –Phase 1 Waste Stream Screening and Verification	Is there a program/procedure in place outlining the requirements of Phase 1 (both initial audit and WSPF approval) waste screening and verification?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
21	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5a(1) –WWIS Description	Is there a program/procedure in place to ensure that generator/storage sites supply required data to the WWIS?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
22	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5a(2) –Examination of the Waste Stream Profile Form and Container Data Checks	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring the Permittees verify the completeness and accuracy of WSPFs and complete container data checks (i.e., waste matrix codes, determination of ignitability, reactivity, and corrosivity; and a determination of compatibility?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
23	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5a(3) –Audit and Surveillance Program	Does the Permittees' audit and surveillance program ensure that containers and their associated documentation are adequately tracked throughout the waste handling process?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.

		Ĩ	r	r		L
	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment C - Waste					
	Analysis Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment C				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
24	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5b –Phase II Waste Shipment Screening and Verification	Is there a program/procedure in place outlining the requirements of Phase II (e.g., confirm EPA numbers and check for irregularities) waste shipment screening and verification?				
25	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5b –Phase II Waste Shipment Screening and Verification	How do the Permittees ensure that generator sites provide the information listed in section C-5b for each container shipped?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
26	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5b(1) –Examination of the EPA Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest & Associated Waste Tracking Information	Is there a program/procedure in place outlining the requirements for examination of the EPA Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest & Associated Waste Tracking Information upon receipt of a TRU mixed waste shipment?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
27	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5b(2) –Examination of the Land Disposal Restriction (LDR) Notice	Is there a procedure in place outlining how the Permittees evaluate a generator site LDR Notice for accuracy and completeness?				
28	Permit Attachment C, Section C-5b(3) –Verification	How do the Permittees verify that the containers in a shipment are the containers for which accepted data already exists in the WWIS?				
29	Permit Attachment C, Section C-6 – Permittees' Waste Shipment Screening QA/QC	What administrative QA/QC processes control the waste shipment screening process? Where is it documented?				
30	Permit Attachment C, Section C-7 – Records Management & Reporting; C-7(a) - General Requirements, C-7(b) - Records Storage	Is there a procedure in place documenting how waste characterization records will be managed, stored and maintained?				
31	Permit Attachment C, Section C-8 – Reporting	Are the Permittees in compliance with the requirement to provide a biennial report to NMED that includes information on actual volume and waste descriptions received for disposal during the time period covered by the report?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment D -					
	Contingency Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment D				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment D, Section D-1 - Scope and Applicability,	Is there a program/procedure requiring a formal contingency plan that				
	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.51(b)) &	describes actions that facility personnel take in response to any fire,				
	§262.34(a)(4)	explosion, or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste				
	a de altra a de altra de la compansión de	constituents which could threaten human health or the environment?				
2	Permit Attachment D, Section D-2a - Emergency Response	Is there a program/procedure requiring that a RCRA emergency	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Personnel, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.52(d))	coordinator be on site at WIPP full-time and be trained in accordance				
		with the requirements in Attachment F-1?				
3	Permit Attachment D, Section D-2a - Emergency Response	Is there a program/procedure outlining the responsibilities of the				
	Personnel	additional eight individuals, groups and organizations listed in Section				
		D-2a?				
4	Permit Attachment D, Section D-2b – Emergency Response	Is there a program/procedure in place to ensure WIPP Fire	÷	-		
	Training	Department personnel are trained in accordance with the WIPP Fire				
	225	Department Training Plan?				
5	Permit Attachment D, Section D-3 - Criteria for Implementation of	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the RCRA				
	the RCRA Contingency Plan, 20.4.1.500 2 NMAC (incorporating	Contingency Plan is implemented immediately in the case of a fire,				
	40 CFR §264.51(b))	explosion or a release of hazardous wastes or hazardous waste				
		constituents that could threaten human health or the environment?				
6	Permit Attachment D, Section D-3 - Criteria for Implementation of	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Emergency				
	the RCRA Contingency Plan, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40	Coordinator record the date, time and details of the incident that				
	CFR §264.56(i))	required implementation of the Contingency Plan?	g			
7	Permit Attachment D, Section D-3 - Criteria for Implementation of	Is there a program/procedure in place ensuring that the Permittees				
	the RCRA Conrtingency Plan, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating	immediately notify NMED of incidents requiring implementation of				
	40 CFR §264.56(i)) & §264.56(a)	the Contingency Plan ?				
8	Permit Attachment D, Section D-3 - Criteria for Implementation of	Is there a program/procedure in place which describes the emergency				
	the RCRA Contingency Plan, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40	situations (e.g., fire, explosions, unplanned sudden-non sudden				
	CFR §264.56(i))	releases, other occurrences) that require immediate implementation of				
		the Contingency Plan?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment D -					
	Contingency Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment D				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
9	Permit Attachment D, Section D-3 - Criteria for Implementation of the RCRA Contingency Plan	Is there a program/procedure in place that requires the Emergency Coordinator to document when the RCRA Contingency Plan was not implemented?				Requirements under this criterion have bee evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment D - RCRA Contingency Plan
10	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4a(1) – Initial Emergency Response & Alerting the RCRA Emergency Coordinator, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(a))	Is there a program/procedure in place which describes the notification processes required for facility personnel when a fire, explosion or release occurs at the facility?				
11	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4a(2) – Communication of Emergency Conditions to Facility Employees, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(a))	Are there communications (i.e., fire alarms surface evacuation signal) in place to notify facility personnel immediately of emergency situations?				
12	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4b - Identification of Released Materials and Assessment of the Extent of the Emergency, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(b)	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency Coordinator direct an investigation to determine pertinent information relevant to the actual or potential threat posed to human health or the environment?				
13	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4b - Identification of Released Materials and Assessment of the Extent of the Emergency, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.52(a) and §264.171)	In the event of a spill or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents, is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency Coordinator take the actions (i.e., assemble equipment, transfer contents, determine extent) identified in Permit Attachment D-4b?				
14	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4c - Assessment of the Potential Hazards, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(c))	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency Coordinator conduct a hazard assessment to identify potential hazards to human health and the environment from the fire, explosion or spill/release?				
15	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4d - Post-Assessment Notifications, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(d)(1))	If it is determined that a spill or release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents could threaten human health or the environment outside the facility boundary, is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency Coordinator notify the local (NM Homeland Security, Eddy Co., Lea Co.)				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment D -					
	Contingency Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment D				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
16	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4d - Post-Assessment	If it is determined that a spill or release of hazardous waste or				
	Notifications, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR	hazardous waste constituents could threaten human health or the				
	§264.56(d)(2))	environment outside the facility boundary, is there a				
		program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency Coordinator				
		notify the government (i.e., NMED and National Response Center)				
		agencies/organizations listed in Permit Attachment D, Section D-4d?				
17	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e - Control and Containment of	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency				
	the Emergency, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56	Coordinator ensure control of an emergency and minimize the				
	(e) and 31(f))	potential for the occurrence, recurrence, or spread of releases due to				
		the emergency situation?				
18	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e - Control and Containment of	Is there a program/procedure in place requiring that the Emergency				
	the Emergency	Coordinator, in conjunction with the Incident Commander ensure				
		control of an emergency via the measures (e.g., stopping processes &				
		operations) listed in Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e?				
19	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e - Control and Containment of	Is there a procedure(s) in place documenting the appropriate actions				
	the Emergency	for controlling releases (e.g., establishing drainage controls) in				
		accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e?				
20	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e - Control and Containment of	If the facility stops operations in response to a fire, explosion or	6			
	the Emergency	release, is there a procedure/program in place to ensure continued				
	03 - 24RD	monitoring for leaks pressure buildup, gas generation, or ruptures in				
		valves, pipes, or other equipment, wherever appropriate in				
		accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e?				
21	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e - Control and Containment of	Is there a procedure/program in place to ensure that natural and/or				
	the Emergency	synthetic methods (e.g., absorption, neutralization) are utilized to limit				
	seed 5.	release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents in				
		accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e?				
22	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e - Control and Containment of	Is there a procedure/program in place documenting the steps				
	the Emergency	necessary to terminate the field emergency response activities in				
		accordance with Permit Attachment D-4e?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment D -					
	Contingency Plan					
r.	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment D				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
23	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(1) - Fires	In case of a fire that threatens TRU mixed waste or site-generated hazardous waste, is there a procedure/program in place documenting the emergency response actions that can be utilized in accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(1)?				
24	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(2) - Explosions	In case of an explosion that threatens TRU mixed waste or site- generated hazardous waste, is there a procedure/program in place documenting the emergency response actions that can be utilized in accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(2)?				
25	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(3) – Unplanned Sudden/Non- Sudden Releases	In case of an unplanned sudden/non-sudden release that threatens TRU mixed waste or site-generated hazardous waste, is there a procedure/program in place documenting the emergency response actions that can be utilized in accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(3)?				
26	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(4) – Other Occurrences	In case of a natural phenomenon (e.g., earthquake, tornado) that threatens TRU mixed waste or site-generated hazardous waste, is there a procedure/program in place documenting the emergency response actions that can be utilized in accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(4)?				
27	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(4) – Other Occurrences	In case of an underground structural integrity emergency that threatens TRU mixed waste or site-generated hazardous waste, is there a procedure/program in place documenting the emergency response actions that can be utilized in accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-4e(4)?				
28	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4f - Post-Emergency Activites, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(g))	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that upon initial release or spill control and containment have been completed, the RCRA Emergency Coordinator completes necessary decontamination and that recovered hazardous waste is properly managed, stored, and/or disposed?				

	PCPA Permit Attachment D					
	RCRA Fernin Attachment D -					
	Contingency Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment D				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
29	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4f - Post-Emergency Activites,	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that upon initial				
	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(h))	release or spill control and containment have been completed, the				
		RCRA Emergency Coordinator will ensure that incompatibility of				
		waste and restoration of emergency equipment are addressed?	(
30	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4f(1) - Management and	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that the Emergency				
	Disposition of Released Material, 20.4.1.200 NMAC	Coordinator, upon completion of decontamination, nonradioactive				
	(incorporating 40 CFR Part 261, Subparts C and D)	hazardous waste resulting from the cleanup of a fire, an explosion, or				
	Tor data" (see) 75 data" (se	a release involving a nonradioactive hazardous waste at the WIPP				
		facility will be appropriately managed in accordance with Permit				
		Attachment D, Section D-4f(1)?				
31	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4f(2) - Incompatile Waste,	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that the Emergency	6	· ·		
	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(h)(1))	Coordinator not treat, store or dispose of any waste that may be				
	96 5502 612 611 611 611 611 611 611	incompatible with the released material until cleanup of the released				
		material has been completed?				
32	Permit Attachment D, Section D-4f(3) - Cleaning and Restoring	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that the Emergency				
	Equipment, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR	Coordinator take measures to ensure that in the affected area(s) of the				
	§264.56(h)(2))	facility, emergency equipment listed in the RCRA Contingency Plan,				
	del sul paler	and used in the emergency response, is cleaned and fit for its intended				
		use or replaced before operations are resumed?				
33	Permit Attachment D, Section D-5 - Required Reproting,	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that the Permittees				
	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.56(i))	submit a report to NMED and the EPA Region VI Administrator				
		within 15 days after an incident that requires implementation of the				
		Contingency Plan ?				
34	Permit Attachment D, Section D-6 - Emergency Equipment,	Is there a procedure/program documenting the emergency equipment				
	20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.52(e))	available at the WIPP facility, including its location and a brief				
		description, in accordance with Permit Attachment D, Section D-6 and				
		Table D-2?				
35	Permit Attachment D, Section D-7 - Agreements with Local	Is there a procedure/program for maintaining/updating the agreements				
	Emergency Response Agencies, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating	with local emergency response agencies (e.g., BLM, Eddy Co.)				
	40 CFR §264.37 and §264.52(c))	identified in Permit Attachment D, Section D-7?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment D -					
	Contingency Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment D				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
36	Permit Attachment D, Section D-8 - Evacuation Plan, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.52(f))	Is there a procedure/program for surface and underground evacuations as well as evacuation training drills?				
37	Permit Attachment D, Section D-8a – Surface Evacuation On-Site and Off-Site Staging Areas	Is there a procedure/program identifying the locations of surface evacuation on-site & off-site staging areas for WIPP facility	()			
38	Permit Attachment D, Section D-8b – Underground Assembly Areas and Egress Hoist Stations	Is there a procedure/program identifying the location of underground assembly areas and egress hoist stations for WIPP facility personnel?				
39	Permit Attachment D, Section D-8c –Plan for Surface Evacuation	Is there a procedure/program documenting the surface evacuation processes, including alarms, egress routes relevant incident information and specific instructions for ERT members?				
40	Permit Attachment D, Section D-8d –Plan for Underground Evacuation	Is there a procedure/program documenting the underground evacuation processes, including alarms, egress routes relevant incident information and specific instructions for WIPP Fire Department and MRT members?				
41	Permit Attachment D, Section D-8e –Further Site Evacuation	Is there a procedure/program documenting the evacuation processes involving personnel transport and the evacuation routes from the WIPP facility ?				
42	Permit Attachment D, Section D-9 - Location o fthe RCRA Contingency Plan and Plan Revisions, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.53(a))	Is there a procedure/program in place which documents the locations where the RCRA Contingency Plan shall be maintained at the WIPP facility?				
43	Permit Attachment D, Section D-9 - Location of the RCRA Contingency Plan and Plan Revisions, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.53(a))	Are copies of the RCRA Contingency Plan provided to the list of agencies/organizations in Permit Attachment D, Section D-2 and D, Section D-9?				
44	Permit Attachment D, Section D-9 - Location o fthe RCRA Contingency Plan and Plan Revisions, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §264.53(b))	Is there a procedure/program in place to ensure that the RCRA Contingency Plan is updated in accordance with the provisions in Permit Attachment D, Section D-9 (e.g., emergency coordinators change, the plan fails)?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment E - Inspection					
	Schedule, Process and Forms					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment E				
	Citation	Required Program				
lumber		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment E Section E-1- Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	Select equipment/systems from Tables E-1 for an in depth review of historical inspections to determine compliance with recordkeeping, frequency, problems identified with resulting work requests, out of service periods and return to service documentation.				RHTRU related equipment removed - Not in scope
2	Permit Attachment E Section E-1- Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	Confirm that inspection and maintenance records are maintianed as active for three years, and that records beyond three years are stored either onsite or are acrived offsite at a facility that is temperature and humidity controlled.				
3	Permit Attachment E Section E-1- Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	Are operating personnel thoroughly familiar with the inspection and maintenance procedures including logging, limitations to authority, and return of equipment to service?				
4	Permit Attachment E Section E-1 - Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	Are pre-operational inspections performed and logged using the approved procedure?				
5	Permit Attachment E Section E-1 - Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	Is there evidence that increasing trends are logged and noted and communicated?				
6	Permit Attachment E Section E-1 - Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	If a negative inspection cannot be corrected by the inspector or only requires monitoring, are appropriate actions taken?				
7	Permit Attachment E Section E-1 - Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(c)))	Are post-repair inspections with approval to return equipment to service documented?				
8	Permit Attachment E Section E-1 - Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 270.42))	Have non-administrative changes to equipment inspection forms been implemented and, if so, have these changes been submitted to NMED in accordance with the governing documents?				
9	Permit Attachment E Section E-1 - Inspection Schedule (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(a-d), 40 CFR § 264.174, and 40 CFR § 264.602))	Select equipment/systems from Tables E-1 that are subject to preventative maintenance for an in depth review of historical maintenance to determine compliance with recordkeeping, frequency, problems identified, out of service periods and return to service documentation.				RHTRU related equipment removed - Not in scope

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment E - Inspection					
	Schedule, Process and Forms					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment E				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
10	Permit Attachment E Section E-1a - General Inspection	Are daily inspections of designated areas such as loading and				
	Requirements (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §	unloading areas of the WHB unit documenting conditions of				
	264.15(b)(4)))	structures and equipment, as well as spills, completed and				
11	Permit Attachment E Section E-1a - General Inspection	Are inspections, testing and maintenance of communication and alarm				
	Requirements (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §	systems, fire-protection equipment, and spill and decontamination				
	264.33))	equipment performed as scheduled and appropriately documented?				
12	Permit Attachment E Section E-1a(2)Frequency of Inspections	Have the more extensive annual inspections of the RH Complex been	NA			Deals exclusively with RHTRU - Not in scope
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b)(4))+B470	performed, and have areas requiring attention that were not identified				20 343
		using video inspection found?				
13	Permit Attachment E Section E-1b(1) Container Inspection	Do containers managed by the WIPP facility meet the descriptions			5	
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b)(4))	found in this section?				
14	Permit Attachment E Section E-1b(1) Container Inspection	Is there evidence that inspections of containers that are required by				
	(20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.15(b)(4))	procedure are being performed and documented?				
15	Permit Attachment E Section E-1b(2) -Miscellaneous Unit	Is there evidence that inspections of the miscellaneous unit including				
	Inspection (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.602))	the geomechanical monitoring system are being conducted?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment F - Personnel					
	Training					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment F				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment F - Personnel Training (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16 and 20.4.1.900 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR § 270.14))	Perform overall review of the WIPP facility training program documentation and recordkeeping process.				
2	Permit Attachment F - Personnel Training (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16 and 20.4.1.900 NMAC, incorporating 40 CFR § 270.14))	Select specific personnel for a minimum of fifteen (15) job titles from Table F-1 for an in depth review of training records as compared to the respective Training (Type/Amount) requirements of the Permit Job Description for those positions.				For the most part, training was proven to be in compliance with the Permit. However, some discrepancies were identified and reported in Finding 3 of the Report.
3	Permit Attachment F Section F-1a - Personnel Training Job Title/Job Description (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16)	Is there an up-to-date list of personnel assigned to the job titles in Table F-1?				When requested, no current list was available. Subsequently, a list was provided and used in the review of Attachment F requirements. This discrepacny was reported in Finding 2 of the
4	Permit Attachment F Section F-1a Personnel Training Job Title/Job Description (20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.16)	Are changes that affect the type or decrease the amount of training given to employees handled as Class 2 Permit modifications, and other changes handled as Class 1 Permit modifications? How are those changes documented?				
5	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1b(1) - Training Content	Have facility employees who will be on site longer than 30 days received the facility-specific training listed in the Permit?				
6	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1b(2) - Training Frequency	Is there a process to assure new hires or transfers receive relevant training with in 6 months of assuming their new position?				
7	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1b(2) - Training Frequency	Is there a process or procedure for notifying managers when personnel are transferred into or out of a position associated with hazardous waste management?				
8	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1b(3) - Training Techniques	Are training techniques stipulated for each course listed in the Permit and do they include the methods listed in the Permit?				
9	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1c - Training Manager	Has an individual been designated as the Technical Training Manager and does this person direct the RCRA Training Program?				
10	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1c - Training Manager	Is the Technical Training Manager trained in hazardous waste management and is he/she knowledgeable of the appliclable regulations, orders, guidelines, and specific training processes employed at the WIPP facility?				
	Triennial Review Checklist					
--------	--	---	-------------	-----	----	--
	RCRA Permit Attachment F - Personnel					
	Training					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment F				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
11	Permit Attachment F, Section F-1e - Training for Emergency Response	Select specific Emergency Response personnel for an in depth review of training records as compared to the respective Training (Type/Amount) requirements of the Permit Job Description for those personnel.				
12	Permit Attachment F, Section F-2 - Implementation of Training Program	Are training records maintained at the facility for current employees and for three years after an employee leaves?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment G - Closure					
	MASTE ISOLATION DILOT DI ANT 2049 TRIENNIAL DEVIEW CHECK					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2010 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment G				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment G Section G-1d(1) Schedule for Panel Closures	Have panel closures occurred within the start and end dates in Table				
	241 m m 241 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	G-1 of the Attachment? If not, have requests for Permit				
		modification(s) been submitted?				
2	Permit Attachment G Section G-1d(1) Schedule for Panel Closures	Has a Permit modification request been submitted for anticipated				
		delays in start/end dates related to the remaining unclosed panels?				
3	Permit Attachment G Section G-1d(1) Schedule for Panel Closures	For panels that have undergone closure, is there documentation that	NA			
	10040 COMMENSE OF ANY SECONDERES OF AUTOMOUSE COMPANY	supports adherance to the specific process for closure included in the				
		section?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment H - Post					
	Closure Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment H				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment H Section H-1 Post-Closure Plan	Do the Permittees have a process/procedure to routinely inspect openings in the vicinity of panel closures				
2	Permit Attachment H Section H-1 Post-Closure Plan	Do the Permittees have a process/procedure to sample ventilation air for harmful constituents?				
3	Permit Attachment H Section H-1 Post-Closure Plan	Do the Permittees have a VOCMP in place to minitor releases from closed panels?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment K - SWMU and AOC Tables					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment G				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment K Table K-4 Hazardous Waste Management Units	Have any new AOC's been identified? If so, has a Permit modification been submitted to add them to the permit?	NA			
2	Permit Attachment K Table K-4 Hazardous Waste Management Units	Has closure been completed on any of the listed panels?	NA			
3	Permit Attachment K Table K-4 Hazardous Waste Management Units	Has a Permit amendment been submitted to add panels 8-10 to the permit?	NA			Panel 8 has been added to the Permit

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP					
	Groundwater Detection Monitoring					
	Program Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment L				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment L, Section L-2, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §§264.600 through 264.603 & §§264.90 through 264.101)	Through what means do the Permittees flow down the groundwater monitoring requirements necessary to meet the requirements of §§264.90 through 264.101?				
2	Permit Attachment L, Section L-3a, 20.4.1.500 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR § 264.97 and 264.98 (f))	Do the Permittees use Attachment L as the Groundwater Detection Monitoring Program and the Water Level Monitoring Program for the WIPP facility or are there separate procedures/programs that outlines these requirements?				
3	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4a – Monitoring Frequency	Do the Permittees monitor the groundwater surface elevations at the six DMWs on a monthly basis and prior to each annual sampling				
4	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4b – Analytical Parameters & Hazardous Constituents	Do the Permittees monitor for the parameters and hazardous constituents listed in Permit Part 5, Tables 5.4a and 5.4b?				
5	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4b – Analytical Parameters & Hazardous Constituents	When additional hazardous constituents are identified, how do the Permittees make changes to Tables 5.4.a and 5.4.b?				
6	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1) – Groundwater Surface Elevation Monitoring Methodology	Do the Permittees measure the groundwater surface elevations in each DMW prior to groundwater sample collection and on a monthly basis?				
7	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1) – Groundwater Surface Elevation Monitoring Methodology	Do the Permittees only collect serial samples until field indicator parameters stabilize or three well bore volumes are purged? What field indicator parameters are used?				
8	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1), 20.4.1.900 NMAC (incorporating 40 CFR §270.41(a)(2))	Do the Permittees have a process established in the event a cumulative groundwater surface elevation change of more than 2 feet is detected in any DMP well over the course of one year which is not attributable to site tests or natural stabilization of the site hydrologic system?				
9	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1) - Groundwater Surface Elevation Monitoring Methodology	Do the Permittees measure density in the DMWs annually?				
10	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1)(i) – Field Methods & Data Collection Requirements	Do the Permittees use an SOP (s) when making the groundwater surface elevation measurements? Which SOP(s)?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP					
	Groundwater Detection Monitoring					
	Program Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment L				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
11	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(1)(i) – Field Methods & Data Collection Requirements	How often do the Permittees calibrate the water-level measuring device used to collect groundwater surface elevation measurements?	NA			This only applies to DMWs
12	Permit Attachment L, Section 4c(1)(ii) – Groundwater Surface Elevation Records & Document Control	Do the Permittees use an SOP(s) when administering and managing the field data sheets? Which SOP(s)? Is the computerized work sheet under appropriate QA control?				
13	Permit Attachment L, Section 4c(2)(i) – Groundwater Pumping & Sampling Systems	Do the Permittees use a dedicated insulated sampling line, that has a flow-control valve, to collect water samples that will undergo				
14	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(ii) – Serial Samples	Do the Permittees use an SOP(s) when collecting serial samples? Which SOP(s)?				
15	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(iii) – Final Samples	Do the Permittees use an SOP(s) when collecting final samples? Which SOP(s)?				
16	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(iii) – Final Samples	Do the Permittees collect and analyze a serial sample for each day of final sampling to ensure samples collected for laboratory analysis are representative of stable conditions?				
17	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(iii) – Final Samples	Is sample integrity ensured in accordance with the Permit?				
18	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(iv) – Sample Preservation, Tracking Packaging & Transportation	Do the Permittees use an SOP(s) for sample preservation, tracking, packaging and transport? Which SOP(s)?				
19	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(v) – Sample Documentation r = 0	Do the Permittees use an SOP(s) to document sample collection,				
20	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(2)(v) – Sample Documentation & Custody	Does the following documentation exist for each sampling event reviewed? - Sample numbers and Labels - Custody Seals - Sample Identification and Tracking - Chain of Custody and Request for Analysis				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP					
	Groundwater Detection Monitoring					
	Brogram Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous				
		Waste Act - Attachment L				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
21	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4c(3) – Laboratory Analysis	Do the laboratory selection criteria specify that the laboratory follow				
		the procedures specified in SW 846 and that the laboratory follow				
		EPA protocols unless alternate methods or protocols are approved by				
		the NMED?				
22	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4d(1) – Sampling and	According to existing SOPs, how often must sampling and				
	Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Equipment Calibration & L-	groundwater elevation monitoring equipment be calibrated?				
	4d(2) - Groundwater Surface Elevation Monitoring Equipment					
	Calibration Requirements					
23	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4e(1) – Temporal & Spatial	Do the Permittees evaluate changes relative to baseline on an				
	Analysis	individual basis and report the concentrations of constituents as a time				
		series, either in tabular form or in time plots?				
24	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4e(2) – Distribution & Descriptive	Do the Permittes use the 95th UTLV for those data sets where target				
21/2 2/27	Statistics	analytes are measured at concentrations above method detection				
25	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4e(3) – Action Levels	Is there a procedure for conducting an outlier test should the				
		groundwater concentration of a constituent identified in Part 5, Table				
		5.6 is found to exceed an action level?				
26	Permit Attachment L, Section L-4e(4), 20.4.1.500 NMAC	Do the Permittees compare the results from groundwater hazardous				
	(incorporating 40 CFR §264.97(h)(4))	constituents of ongoing annual groundwater sample analysis to				
224072		baseline values and report the results annually to NMED?				
27	Permit Attachment L, Section L-5a – Laboratory Data Reports	How do the Permittees ensure that analytical laboratories comply with				
		the hard copy reporting requirements (e.g., summary, results of QC				
		sample analyses) in section L-5a?				
28	Permit Attachment L, Section L-5c – Semi-Annual Groundwater	Does the Annual Culebra Groundwater Report submitted to NMED				1
	Surface Elevation Report & Annual Culebra Groundwater Report	on an annual basis include the information listed (e.g., DMW &				1
		WLMP well configuration changes, pumping activities) in section L-				
29	Permit Attachment L, Section L-5c – Semi-Annual Groundwater	Is the Annual Culebra Groundwater Report maintained as part of the				
	Surface Elevation Report & Annual Culebra Groundwater Report	WIPP facility Operating Record?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment L - WIPP					
	Groundwater Detection Monitoring					
	Program Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment L				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
30	Permit Attachment L, Section L-6 – Records Management	Do the Permittees maintain records generated during groundwater				
		sampling and water level monitoring in project files or the Operating				
		Record? Do they include the information (e.g., SAPs, SOPs) listed in section L-6?				
31	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7a(1) - L-7a(2)(vi) - Data Quality	How do the Permittees ensure that the DMP and the WLMP comply				
	Objectives	with the quality assurance requirements identified in section L-7?				
32	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7a(1) - L-7a(2)(vi) - Data Quality	How do the Permittees ensure that the DMP and the WLMP comply				
	Objectives	with the data quality objectives identified in section L-7a(1)?				
33	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7c – Instructions, Procedures and	Does WIPP facility document WP 13-1 outline the preparation and			1	
	Drawings	use of instructions and data quality procedures at the WIPP facility?				
34	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7d – Document Control	How do the Permittees ensure that the latest approved versions of				
		WIPP facility SOPs are used in performing groundwater monitoring				
		functions and that obsolete materials are adequately identified or				
		removed from work areas?	1			
35	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7e – Inspection and Surveillance	Do the Permittees conduct inspection and surveillance (related to	· · · · · ·			
		groundwater monitoring) activities in accordance with WIPP				
		document WP 13-1?				
36	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7f – Control of Monitoring &	Do the Permittees control, calibrate and maintain monitoring and data				
	Data Collection Equipment	collection equipment in accordance with document WP 13-1?				
37	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7g– Control of Nonconforming Conditions	Do the Permittees control and prevent the use of defective equipment in accordance with WP 13-1?				
38	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7h- Corrective Action	How do the Permittees document and report conditions adverse to				
	~	acceptable quality in accordance with corrective action procedures				
		and correct these conditions as soon as possible?				
39	Permit Attachment L, Section L-7i- Quality Assurance Records	Do the Permittees identify prepare, collect, store, maintain, dispose,				
		and permanently store QA and RCRA records in accordance with WP				

		BL				
	RCRA Permit Attachment N - VOC Monit	oring Plan				
	RCRA Permit Attachment N1 - Hydrogen	& Methane Monitoring Plan				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment N & N1				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment N, Section N-1b – Objectives of the Volatile Organic Compound Monitoring Plan	Through what means do the Permittees flow down the VOC monitoring requirements necessary to meet the objectives of section N-				
2	Permit Attachment N, Section N-2 (and Table N-1) – Target Volatile Organic Compounds	Do the Permittees monitor for the target VOCs for repository monitoring and disposal room monitoring listed in Table N-1?				
3	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3a(1) – Sampling Locations for Repository VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees collect air samples at Station VOC-C (west of air intake at bldg 489) to quantify VOCs in ambient air?				
4	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3a(1) – Sampling Locations for Repository VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees collect air samples at StationVOC-D (at groundwater pad WQSP-4) to quantify background VOCs?				
5	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3a(2) – Sampling Locations for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring	How do the Permittees flow down the requirements for VOC monitoring of airborne VOCs in underground disposal rooms in which waste has been emplaced listed in section N-3a(2)?				
6	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3a(3) – Ongoing Disposal Room VOC Monitoring in Panels 3 through 8	Are the Permittees conducting VOC monitoring in Room 1 of Panels 3, 4, and 6?				
7	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3b – Analytes to be Monitored	How are non-target VOCs, that meet the criteria in section N-3b, added to the analytical laboratory target analyte list for both repository and disposal room VOC monitoring programs?				
8	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3c – Sampling & Analysis Methods	Do the Permittees use section N-3c to establish the VOC sampling and analysis methods or is there a separate procedure/program that outlines these requirements?				
9	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3d(1) – Sampling Schedule for Repository VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees collect a 24-hour time-integrated sample two times per week in accordance with section N-3d(1)?				
10	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3d(2) – Sampling Schedule for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees collect VOC samples in disposal rooms with open panels at least once every two weeks in accordance with section N- 3d(2)?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment N - VOC Monit	oring Plan				
	RCRA Permit Attachment N1 - Hydroger	& Methane Monitoring Plan				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		1			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment N & N1	·			
	Citation	Required Program				
lumber		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
11	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3d(2) – Sampling Schedule for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees collect VOC samples in disposal rooms with filled panels 3, 4, and 6 (unless an explosion-isolation well is installed) at least once a month in accordance with section N-3d(2)?				
12	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3e(1) – Data Evaluation & Reporting for Repository VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees evaluate air sampling data to determine whether VOC emissions from the Underground HWDUs exceed the action levels Permit Section 4.6.2.3?				
13	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3e(1) – Data Evaluation & Reporting for Repository VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees calculate the carcinogenic risk for the non-waste surface worker for each target VOC using the the equations in section N-3e(1)?	2			
14	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3e(1) – Data Evaluation & Reporting for Repository VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees notify NMED in writing, within seven calendar days of obtaining validated analytical results, whenever the risk or HI exceeds the action levels?				
15	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3e(2) – Data Evaluation & Reporting for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring	Do the Permittees evaluate the validated data to determine whether the VOC concentrations in the air of any closed room, the active open room, or the immediately adjacent closed room exceeded the Action Levels for DRVMP?				
16	Permit Attachment N, Section N-3e(2) – Data Evaluation & Reporting for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring	Is there a procedure for notifying NMED in writing, within seven calendar days of obtaining validated analytical results, whenever the concentration of any VOC specified in Permit Part 4, 34 Table 4.4.1 exceeds the action levels specified in Permit Part 4, Table 4.6.3.2?				
17	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4a - N-4a(3) – Sampling Equipment	Does the SOP(s) for air sampling equipment provide detailed information about sample canisters, sample collection units and sample tubing as described in sections N-4a-N-4a(3)?				
18	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4b – Sample Collection	Does the SOP(s) for VOC sampling specify that Repository VOC samples will be 24 -hour time-integrated samples for each sampling event?				
19	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4b – Sample Collection	Does the SOP(s) for VOC sampling specify that field duplicate samples will be collected (two canisters filled simultaneously) for each VOC monitoring program at an overall frequency of at least 5				

		I	r	<u> </u>	r	1
	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment N - VOC Monit	oring Plan				
	RCRA Permit Attachment N1 - Hydrogen	& Methane Monitoring Plan	C			
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment N & N1				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
20	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4b – Sample Collection	Does the SOP(s) for VOC sampling require that the sample lines be purged to ensure that the air collected is not air that has been stagnant in the tubing?				
21	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4c – Sample Management	Is there a procedure for how field sampling data sheets are to be completed to document the sampler conditions under which each VOC sample is collected?				
22	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4c – Sample Management	Is there a procedure for how VOC sample containers are to be labeled, maintained, tracked and shipped in accordance with section N-4c?				
23	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4d – Maintenance of Sample Collection Units	Is there a procedure for how periodic maintenance for sample collection units and associated equipment will be performed?			4	
24	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4e – Analytical Procedures	How do the Permittees ensure that analytical laboratories comply with the methods and reporting requirements in section N-4e?				
25	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4e – Analytical Procedures	Is there a procedure for how the Permittees will preform data validation for VOC laboratory analytical results ?				
26	Permit Attachment N, Section N-4e – Analytical Procedures	Do the Permittees provide SOP updates to the NED on an annual basis by January 31?				
27	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5 (and Table N-2)– Quality Assurance	Is there a procedure to ensure that QA activities for the VOC monitoring programs will be conducted in accordance with the documents: EPA Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans QA/G- 5 (EPA, 2002) and the EPA Requirements for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans, QA/R-5 (EPA, 2001) and the QA criteria for VOC monitoring programs listed in Table N-2?				
28	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5 (and Table N-2)– Quality Assurance	Are the SOPs for QA in the facility Operating Record?				
29	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5a – Quality Assurance Objectives for the Measurement of Precision, Accuracy, Sensitivity & Completeness	Is there a procedure in place ensuring that the QA objectives for the measurement of data quality parameters (e.g., precision, accuracy, sensitivity, and completeness) detailed in section N-5a are achieved?				
30	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5a(1) – Evaluation of Laboratory Precision	Is there a procedure describing how laboratory sample duplicates and blank spike/blank spike duplicate will be used to evaluate laboratory precision in accordance with section N-5a(1)?				

	PCPA Permit Attachment N. VOC Menit	oring Plan				
	RORA FEITHIL ALLACHIMENT N - VOC MONILO	UTITY FTATT		-		
	RCRA Permit Attachment N1 - Hydrogen	& Methane Monitoring Plan				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		1			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment N & N1				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
31	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5a(2) – Evaluation of Field Precision	Is there a procedure describing how field duplicate samples will be collected at a frequency of at least 5 percent for the RVMP and at least 5 percent for the DRVMP in order to achieve the data quality objective for field precision of 35 percent for each set of field duplicate samples?				
32	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5a(3) – Evaluation of Laboratory Accuracy	Is there a procedure describing how quantitative analytical accuracy will be evaluated through performance criteria on the basis of: (1) relative response factors generated during instrument calibration, (2) analysis of laboratory control samples (LCS), and (3) recovery of internal standard compounds?				
33	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5a(4) – Evaluation of Sensitivity	Is there a procedure describing how the sample inlet of the sample collection units will be protected sufficiently from the underground environment to minimize salt aerosol interference and that up to two filters, inert to VOCs, will be installed in the sample flow path to minimize particulate interference?				
34	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5a(5) – Completeness	Is there a procedure describing that the expected completeness for the program is greater than or equal to 95 percent and that data completeness will be tracked monthly?				
35	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5d – Data Reduction, Validation & Reporting	Is there a procedure in place ensuring that the data reduction, validation and reporting requirements of section N-5d are met?				
36	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5e – Performance & System Audits	Do the Permittees perform system audits to evaluate whether the monitoring systems and analytical methods are functioning properly in accordance with Permit Attachment N-5e?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment N - VOC Monit	oring Plan				
	RCRA Permit Attachment N1 - Hydrogen	& Methane Monitoring Plan				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment N & N1				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	YES	NO	
37	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5g – Corrective Actions	How do the Permittees identify, document and report corrective actions necessary to maintain 95% completeness of valid data and laboratory data quality?				
38	Permit Attachment N, Section N-5h – Records Management	Through what means do the Permittees maintain records control systems that provide adequate control and retention for program- related information in accordance with the requirements of section N-				
39	Permit Attachment N, Section N-6 – Sampling & Analysis Procedures for Disposal Room VOC Monitoring in Filled Panels	Do the Permittees collect disposal room VOC samples using the subatmospheric pressure grab sampling technique described in section				
40	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-2 – Parameters to be Analyzed & Monitoring Design	Do the Permittees monitor for hydrogen and methane in Panels 3, 4, and 6 in accordance with Permit Attachment N1, section N1-2?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
41	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-3 – Sampling Frequency	Do the Permittees sample for hydrogen and methane in accordance with Permit Attachment N1, section N1-3? Is there a procedure which flows down this requirement?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
42	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-4 – Sampling	Do the Permittees collect samples for hydrogen and methane using the subatmospheric pressure grab sampling method as described in Permit Attachment N-1, section N1-4? Is there a procedure which flows down this requirement?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
43	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-5a – SUMMA® Canisters	Do the Permittees utilize stainless-steel canisters with passivated or equivalent interior surfaces (i.e., SUMMA® Canisters) to collect and store gas samples for hydrogen and methane analyses collected as part of the monitoring processes? Is there a procedure which flows down this requirement?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
44	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-5b – Sample Tubing	Do the Permittees utilize treated stainless steel tubing as a sample path? Is there a procedure which flows down this requirement?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.

·			r	T	r	1
	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment N - VOC Monit	toring Plan				
	RCRA Permit Attachment N1 - Hydroger	& Methane Monitoring Plan				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment N & N1				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	YES	NO	
45	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-5b – Sample Tubing	Is there a procedure identifying the steps taken when the Permittees suspect that a line is not useable when it is purged prior to sampling?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
46	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-6 – Sample Management	Do the Permittees seal and uniquely mark sample containers at the time of sample collection and complete a "Request for Analysis Form"? Is there a procedure which flows down this requirement?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
47	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-7 – Analytical Procedures	How do the Permittees ensure that analytical laboratories comply with the methods outlined in Permit Attachment N1, section N1-7?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.
48	Permit Attachment N1, Section N1-8 – Data Evaluation & Notifications	Is there a procedure in place ensuring that the Permittees notify NMED if any action level is exceeded or if sampling line loss occurs?	NA			The monitoring is not being conducted pursuant to NMED Administrative Order and because filled Panels 3 and 4, are not accessible for monitoring.

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment O - WIPP					
	Mine Ventilation Pate Monitoring Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK			-		
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous		-		
		Waste Act - Attachment O				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	Permit Attachment O Section O-3a(1) Test and Balance Process	Is there documentation supporting that the testing and balancing of				
		the mine ventilation system results meet the specific requirements of the section?				
2	Permit Attachment O Section O-3a(2) Test and Balance Schedule	Is there documentation supporting the testing and balancing of the				
		mine ventilation system at intervals of less than eighteen months?				
3	Permit Attachment O Section O-3c(1) Ventilation of Active Room	Is there a log which documents that minimum air flow of 35,000 scfm				
	Minimum Air Flow	through active room(s) exists at the start of each shift, operational				
		mode changes and configuration changes?				
4	Permit Attachment O Section O-3c(1) Ventilation of Active Room	Is there a record of occurances for times when the minimum flow rate				
	Minimum Air Flow	cannot be achieved including reason and actions taken?				
5	Permit Attachment O Section O-5a Reporting	Has an annual report on Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan				
		results been submitted to NMED annually, including Testing and				
		Balancing results, when applicable?				
6	Permit Attachment O Section O-5a Reporting	Does the annual report on Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan				
		results include reporting of failure to achieve the permitted flow rate				
		when applicable?				
7	Permit Attachment O Section O-5a Reporting	Has placement of waste ocurred when air flow rate was below 35,000				
	114- 011	scfm and, if so, was NMED notified by e-mail within 15 calenndar				
		days for the start of placement?				
8	Permit Attachment O Section O-5b Recordkeeping	Does the Operating Record include the CRMO operating log that				
		documents the ventilation system operating mode?	-			
9	Permit Attachment O Section O-5b Recordkeeping	Does the Operating Record include a log sheet documenting				
		ventilation flow rate readings and applicable information listed in				
10	Permit Attachment O Section O-5b Recordkeeping	Does the Operating Record include flow verification check and				
		associated documentation?				
11	Permit Attachment O Section O-6 Quality Assurance	Are personnel conducting ventilation flow measurements cleary				
		identified and have their qualififcations been verified?				
12	Permit Attachment O Section O-6 Quality Assurance	Are instruments used in ventilation flow measurement calibrated as				
		required, and is that information marked on the instruments?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	RCRA Permit Attachment O - WIPP					
	Mine Ventilation Rate Monitoring Plan					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and New Mexico Hazardous Waste Act - Attachment O				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
13	Permit Attachment O Section O-6 Quality Assurance	Is information on the calibration of instruments used in ventilation flow measurements documented as a part of the measurement process?				
14	Permit Attachment O Section O-6 Quality Assurance	How is ventilation simulation software used in ventilation flow management controlled?				

	TSCA Pormit					
	I JCA FEITIIIL					
	DEVIEW TODIO	Tavia Subatanaga Cantral Ast			-	
	REVIEW TOPIC					
	Citation	Required Program	NIA	VE	NO	Notes/Comments
Number		NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	or ND	S	NO	
1	40 CFR §761.40-Marking Requirements	Are all PCB NRC Type B Packages, DOT Type A CH Packages,				
		over the road vehicles and storge areas properly marked as required				
		by 40 CFR 761.40?				
2	40 CFR §761.45 – Marking Formats		NA			Greg Edwards - This was included in error and will not be
2			DT A		-	assessed
3	40 CFR § /61.60 – Disposal Requirements		NA			assessed
4	40 CFR §761.61 – Remediation Waste		NA			Greg Edwards - This was included in error and will not be
5	40 CFR §761.65 – Storage for Disposal	Does storage of PCB/TRU waste comply with 40 CFR 761.65 (c)(5)				a3563564
	5 5 1	and (c)(6) (Storage for Disposal) requirements?				
6	40 CFR §761.180 - Post-Closure Care	Are records required under 40 CFR 761.180 (d) and (f) maintianed		4		
	recenter states and the states of the recent of the states of an and the states and read of the states of the stat	for the time specified for closed panels?				
7	Permit Part III, Section A 1 - PCB/TRU Authorized Storage Areas	Is there a system for maintaining a live-time inventory of waste				While the system currently in use meets the minimum
	9	stored in the Parking Area Container Storage Unit and does that				requirements of the Permit, areas for improvement have been
		system demonstrate that storage has not exceeded 8,863 cubic feet of				identified and included in Observation 1 of the Report.
8	Permit Part III, Section A 2 - PCB/TRU Authorized Storage Areas	Is there a system for maintaining a live-time inventory of waste				While the system currently in use meets the minimum
		stored in the Waste Handling Building Container Storage Unit and				requirements of the Permit, areas for improvement have been
		does that system demonstrate that storage of CHTRU and RHTRU				identified and included in Observation 1 of the Report.
		have not exceeded 6,466.3 and 387.7 cubic feet of waste,				
9	Permit Part III, Section B&C - PCB/TRU Addition or Expansion	Have aditional storage areas, or expansion in size or capacity of				
	of Authorized Storage Areas	permitted storage areas been requested, and, if so, has approval been				
	Disconduction of the operation of the product of the operation of the product of the operation of the product o	received as prescribed prior to operation of those areas?				
10	Permit Part III, Section D 2 - PCB/TRU - General Storage	Are all waste packages received in approved casks and containers?				
	Requirements					
11	Permit Part III, Section D 3 - PCB/TRU - General Storage	Are all packages in storage properly marked in accordance with 40				
	Requirements	CFR §761.40 (except PCB/RHTRU Type 7A containers)?				
12	Permit Part III, Section D 4 - PCB/TRU - General Stroage	Are all PCB items identified in the WIPP Waste Data System				
	Requirements	including all required dates?				
13	Permit Part III, Section D 5 - PCB/TRU - General Storage	Is there a system in place to identify containers that are approaching				
	Requirements	maximum storage durations for CH and RHTRU of 60 and 25 days,				
	NO	respectively?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	TSCA Permit					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK				1	
	REVIEW TOPIC	Toxic Substances Control Act				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	Y E S	NO	
14	Permit Part III, Section D 5 - PCB/TRU - General Stroage	Is there an established process for identifying containers that will				
	Requirements	exceed maximum storage durations and initiate corrective actions?				
15	Permit Part III, Section E 1 - PCB/TRU - Storage Area Operating Requirements	Is there an established process for handling PCB items in the case of manifesting discrepancies?				
16	Permit Part III, Section E 2 - PCB/TRU - Storage Area Operating	Is there a clear definition of "adequate aisle space, and has adequate				
	Requirements	aisle space been maintained in the WHB Unit PCB/TRU waste storage areas?				
17	Permit Part III, Section E 3 - PCB/TRU - Storage Area Operating Requirements	Are waste containers stacked no more than two high in the operating area, or three high in the hot cell?				
18	Permit Part IV, Section B 2 - PCB/TRU Disposal Requirements - Operating Requirements	Are responses to PCB spills documented to an extent that compliance with permit requirements can be verified?				
19	Permit Part IV, Section B 4 - PCB/TRU Disposal Requirements - Operating Requirements	Is there documentation of the total quantities of CH and RH TRU disposed of in completed panels, and are those quantities within the permitted amounts?				
20	Permit Part IV, Section B 6 - PCB/TRU Disposal Requirements - Operating Requirements	Are waste disposal record prepared and maintained in accordance with Part 761 Subpart K requirements?				
21	Permit Part V, Section B - PCB/TRU - Notice of Closure	Has EPA Region 6 been notified at least 30 days prior to closure of the completed disposal Panels?	NA			No HDWUs have undergone closure to-date
22	Permit Part V, Section C - PCB/TRU - Post-Closure Care	Are records maintained for closed Panels as required under 40 CFR §761.180(d) and (f)?	NA			No HDWUs have undergone closure to-date
23	Permit Part VI, Section E 1 - PCB/TRU - Standard Approval Conditions -Operation and Maintenance	Does a system exist to track and control the maintenance of all systems to assure timely response to issues that would affect proper operation?				
24	Permit Part VI, Section E 2 - PCB/TRU - Standard Approval Conditions -Operation and Maintenance	Is there a system to track compliance of training requirements for all personnel that handle, transport, store, and/or dispose of PCB TRU waste and are all personnel current with that training?				Requirements under this criterion have been evaluated as a part of the RCRA Permit Attachment F – Personnel Training
25	Permit Part VI, Section I 2 - PCB/TRU - Standard Approval Conditions -Monitoring and Records	Are records maintained in compliance with 40 CFR §761.180(b)				
26	Permit Part VI, Section I 3 - PCB/TRU - Standard Approval Conditions -Monitoring and Records	Are all records written in ink, typed. Or put into electronic format?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	TSCA Permit					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Toxic Substances Control Act				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	Y E S	NO	
27	Permit Part VI, Section K - PCB/TRU - Standard Approval Conditions -Twenty-Four Hour Reporting	Does documentation exist that supports compliance with the reporting requirements for non-compliances?				
28	Permit Part VI, Section N 2 - PCB/TRU - Standard Approval Conditions -Spills	Does documentation exist that supports compliance with the reporting requirements for notifications for spill cleanups that exceed the permitted time, if any have occurred?				

Triennial Review Checklist Atomic Energy Act

	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK LIST										
	REVIEW TOPIC	Atomic Energy Act									
Number	Citation	Required Program									

While-the-Atomic-Energy-Act-(AEA)-is-listed-within-the-SOW-as-a-relevant-regulatory-document,-involvingresponsibilities-and-authorities-for-both-DOE-and-EPA, it-does-not-warrant-or-lend-itself-to-a-specificcompliance-checklist.* The-WIPP-Triennial-Review-Team-has-reviewed-the-AEA-as-amended-and-confirmedthat-any-WIPP-related-requirements,-including-waste-type-definitions-and-references-to-transuranic-wastemanagement-and-disposal,-are-also-included-in-other-statutory-and-regulatory-requirements-within-the-Triennial-Review-SOW..*Further,-the-responsibilities-assigned-to-the-EPA-within-the-AEA-relate-to-regulationof-uranium-production-and-enrichment-facilities-and-the-disposal-of-by-product-materials.*As-such, the-AEAhas-no-apparent-relevance-to-the-WIPP-Triennial-Review-in-regard-to-EPA-authorities.*Rather, the-EPAauthorities-are-clearly-established-within-the-WIPP-Land-Withdrawal-Act-(LWA)-and-40-CFR-191.*¶

1

For-purpose-of-the-WIPP-Triennial-Review, the-team-will-consider-the-AEA-as-the-foundational-regulatorybasis-by-which-DOE, as the-successor to-the-Atomic-Energy-Commission, is self-regulating-in-its-managementof-radioactive-wastes-and-materials.*In-regard-to-WIPP, this-self-regulation-is-augmented-by-the-EPA's-directregulatory-responsibilities-for-the-certification-of-WIPP-as-a-disposal-facility-pursuant-to-the-WIPP-LWA, aswell-as-their-regulatory-roles-in-the-review-approval-of-DOE-TRU-waste-inventories-and-specific-RH-wastestreams.*In-contrast, the-regulatory-authority-for-the-hazardous/chemical-aspects-of-DOE-TRU-wasteinventories,-including-WIPP's-Hazardous-Waste-Permit,-rest-with-the-New-Mexico-Environment-Department.**¶

1

A-comprehensive-understanding-of-the-AEA-is-necessary-for-the-WIPP-Triennial-Team-to-fully-understand-thecomplex-regulatory-framework-in-play-at-WIPP;-yet,-it-does-not-require-a-formal-compliance-checklist-as-partof-the-WIPP-Triennial-Review.⁹

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	Clean Water Act (CWA) & NM Water Qua	ality Act				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Clean Water Act and the New Mexico Water Quality Act		-		
	Citation	Required Program				
lumber		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE S	NO	
1	NMAC 20.6.2.1201 (A) – Notice of Intent to Discharge	How do the Permittees ensure compliance with the requirement to file a notice with the groundwater quality bureau (GWQB) for discharges that may affect groundwater and/or the surface water quality bureau (SWOB) for discharges that may affect surface water?				
2	NMAC 20.6.2.1201 (C) – Notice of Intent to Discharge	Is there a process in place for ensuring that notices of intent (NOI) to discharge include all the required information outlined in 20.6.2.1201, subsection C?				
3	NMAC 20.6.2.1202 (A) – Filing of Plans and Specifications – Sewerage Systems	Have the Permittees had to file plans and specifications in accordance with 20.6.2.1202, subsection A? If so, how do the Permittees ensure compliance with the requirement to file plans and specifications for modifying a sewerage system in a manner that will substantially change the quantity or quality of discharge to either groundwater or surface water?				
4	NMAC 20.6.2.1202 (C) – Filing of Plans and Specifications – Sewerage Systems	When applicable, how do the Permittees ensure compliance with the requirement to file plans and specifications for modifying a sewerage system prior to construction?				
5	NMAC 20.6.2.1203 (A) – Notification of Discharge-Removal	Should there be a discharge from the facility of oil or other water contaminant, is there a process in place to ensure compliance with the requirements of 20.6.2.1203, subsection A?				
6	NMAC 20.6.2.3103 (A-C) - Standards for Groundwater of 10,000 mg/l TDS concentration or less	How do the Permittees ensure that groundwater meets the human health standards, standards for domestic water supplies and standards for irrigation use outlined in 20.6.2.3103, subsections A-C NMAC?				
7	NMAC 20.6.2.3107 (A) – Monitoring, Reporting and Other Requirements	Does the Permittees' discharge plan meet the requirements of 20.6.2.3107, subsections A NMAC?				
8	NMAC 20.6.2.3107 (B) – Monitoring, Reporting and Other Requirements	Do the Permittees' sampling and analytical techniques meet the requirements of 20.6.2.3107, subsections B NMAC?				
9	NMAC 20.6.2.3107 (C) – Monitoring, Reporting and Other Requirements	Is there a process in place ensuring that the Permittees notify NMED of any facility expansion, production increase or process modification that would result in any significant modification in the discharge of water contaminants?	2	1		

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	Clean Water Act (CWA) & NM Water Qu	ality Act				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
-	REVIEW TOPIC	Clean Water Act and the New Mexico Water Quality Act				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE S	NO	
10	NMAC 20.6.2.3107 (D) – Monitoring, Reporting and Other Requirements	Is there a process in place ensuring that any authorized representative of NMED can conduct the activities (e.g., inspect relevant records) identified in 20.6.2.3107, subsection D?		7.		
11	DP-831, Section A, condition 3 -	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that the Permittees maintain the impoundment liners in such a manner as to avoid conditions (e.g., erosion damage, animal burrows) which could affect the structural integrity of the impoundment(s) and/or impoundment liner(s)?				
12	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part A, condition 3 -	Is there a procedure/program in place ensuring that the Permittees routinely control vegetation by mechanical removal in a manner that is protective of the impoundment liner(s)?				
13	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part A, condition 4 -	How do the Permittees preserve a minimum of one foot of freeboard between the liquid level in all impoundments and the elevation of the top of the impoundment liners?				
14	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part B, condition 5	Do the Permittees maintain fences around the Facultative Lagoon System to control access by the general public and animals?				
15	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part B, condition 6	Do the Permittees maintain signs around the Facultative Lagoon System indicating that the wastewater at the facility is not potable?				
16	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part B, condition 7	Do the Permittees utilize certified operators to operate the wastewater collection, treatment and disposal systems?				
17	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part B, condition 8	Have the Permittees measured the thickness of the sludge blanket in each pond of the Facultative Lagoon System? If not, will it be completed before the end of 2018?				
18	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part B, condition 8	Is there a process/procedure in place describing how sludge will be removed from the pond in a manner protective of the liner?				
19	DP-831, Operational Plan, Part B, condition 8	Is there a procedure/process in place describing the requirements for containing, transporting, disposing and reporting/documenting of removed sludge solids?				
20	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 9	Have the Permittees measured the thickness of the solids blanket in each of the storm water runoff impoundments? If not, will it be completed before the end of 2018?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	Clean Water Act (CWA) & NM Water Qu	ality Act				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		2			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Clean Water Act and the New Mexico Water Quality Act	-			
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	Y E S	NO	
21	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 9	Is there a procedure/process in place describing the requirements for containing, transporting, disposing and reporting/documenting of removed solids?	17			
22	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 10	Do the Permittees inspect the leak detection systems for Salt Storage Ponds 2 & 3 on a monthly basis for the presence of liquid?	2			
23	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 10	Do the Permittees keep an inspection log of findings and repairs made and include those logs in the semiannual report submitted to NMED?	- A			A recommendation related to fence and sign inspection and documentation have been included as Finding 5 and Observation 2 in the
24	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 11	Do the Permittees conduct regular maintenance of the earthen cover on the Salt Cell 1 and the SPDV material pile?				
25	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 11	Do the Permittees conduct inspections monthly and after storm events of 2 inches or greater in a 24-hour period to evaluate potential erosion and vegetation success of the cover at the Salt Cell 1 and the SPDV material pile?				A recommendation related to fence and sign inspection and documentation have been included as Finding 5 and Observation 2 in the Report.
26	DP 831, Operational Plan, Part D, condition 11	In the event there is significant erosion or failure of vegetation success, is there a procedure/process for providing a plan and schedule for repair to NMED within 90 days of discovery and then reporting those cover repairs to NMED?				
27	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part A, condition 13	Do the Permittees have a process/procedure for sampling and analysis that incorporates the sampling methodology requirements of this permit part?				
28	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part A, condition 14	Do the Permittees conduct semiannual monitoring and submit a semiannual monitoring report to NMED in accordance with the timeframes and dates listed in this permit part?				
29	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part B, condition 15	Do the Permittees measure the domestic influent discharge to the Facultative Lagoon System on a monthly basis using a totalizing flow meter either on the influent line or one that measures the total domestic water usage?				
30	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part B, condition 15	Do the Permittees measure other authorized discharges to the Facultative Lagoon System by calculating the time/volume or volumetric measurement of the transport containers?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	Clean Water Act (CWA) & NM Water Qu	ality Act				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		-			
	REVIEW TOPIC	Clean Water Act and the New Mexico Water Quality Act				
2	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	Y E S	NO	
31	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part B, condition 15	Do the Permittees include monthly meter readings, the units of				
		measurement, monthly discharge volumes and other volumetric				
		calculations in the semiannual monitoring report submitted to	5			
32	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part B, condition 16	Do the Permittees collect a wastewater sample every 6 months from	-	S		
		the influent to the Facultative Lagoon System and analyze the sample				
		for TKN, No3-N, SO4, TDS and Cl?				
33	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part B, condition 16	Is there a procedure/process for preserving, transporting and				
	25 9001, 28 8/02 22	analyzing the sample?				
34	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part C, condition 17	Do the Permittees measure the volume, using a time/volume method				
		volumetric measurement of the transport container calculation, of all				
		wastewater discharged to the Evaporation Pond H-19 that is derived				
		from miscellaneous non-hazardous sources and reported to NMED?				
35	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part C, condition 18	Do the Permittees collect a sample semiannually from the				
		Evaporation Pond H-19 and analyzed for SO4, TDS and Cl?		e .		
36	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part C, condition 18	Is there a procedure/process for collecting samples annually after a				
		significant storm event from Storm Water Ponds 1, 2 & 3 and				
		analyzed for SO4, TDS and Cl?				
37	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part C, condition 18	Is there a procedure/process for preserving, transporting and				
		analyzing the sample?	-			
38	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part C, condition 19	Do the Permittees measure the water depth, on a monthly basis, to the				
		nearest tenth of a foot in Storm Water Ponds 1, 2 and 3 and report the				
		approximate volume of storm water to NMED in the semiannual				
		monitoring report?				
39	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part D, condition 20	Is there a procedure/process for collecting a sample annually after a				
		significant storm event from Salt Storage Cells 1, 2, and 3 and				
		analyzed for SO4, TDS and Cl?	-			
40	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part D, condition 20	Is there a procedure/process for preserving, transporting and		4-1		
		analyzing the sample?				
41	DP 831, Monitoring & Reporting, Part D, condition 21	Do the Permittees measure the water depth, on a monthly basis, to the				
		nearest tenth of a foot in Salt Storage Ponds 1, 2 and 3 and report the				
		approximate volume of storm water to NMED in the semiannual				
		monitoring report?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	Clean Water Act (CWA) & NM Water Qu	ality Act				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
-	REVIEW TOPIC	Clean Water Act and the New Mexico Water Quality Act				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE S	NO	
42	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, condition 22	Do the Permittees measure the depth to the water table, on a quarterly basis, to the nearest hundredth of a foot in the piezometers/monitoring wells listed in this permit section?	1			
43	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, condition 23	Do the Permittees perform semiannual groundwater sampling at the piezometers/monitoring wells listed in this permit section and analyze those samples for temperature, pH, specific conductance, SO4, TDS and Cl?				
44	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, condition 23	Do the Permittees use this permit section as the procedure for collecting, preserving, transporting and analysis of groundwater samples or is there a separate procedure/process which documents this requirement?				
45	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, condition 23	Is there a procedure/process ensuring that the depth-to-most-shallow groundwater measurements, analytical results, including the laboratory QA/QC summary report, and a facility layout map showing the location and number of each well are reported to NMED in the semiannual monitoring reports?				
46	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, condition 24	Do the Permittees preform semiannual groundwater sampling at monitoring well WQSP-6A and analyze the samples for TKN and	1			
47	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, condition 24	Is there a procedure/process for preserving, transporting and analyzing the sample?	_			
48	DP 831, Groundwater Monitoring & Reports, conditions 25-28	Do the Permittees annually submit hydrographs, a potentiometric map, water level measurement table and groundwater data table in accordance with the requirements in conditions 25-28 of the permit?				
49	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 29	Is there a procedure/process that describes when the contingency plan should be enacted?				
50	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 29	Is there a procedure/process that outlines the requirements of a corrective action plan (once the contingency plan has been enacted)?				
51	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 30	In the event that an inspection reveals significant damage likely to affect the structural integrity of the lined impoundment(s) or its ability to contain contaminants, is there a procedure/process that outlines the requirements of submittal of a corrective action plan for repair or replacement?				A recommendation related to fence and sign inspection and documentation have been included as Finding 5 and Observation 2 in the Report.

	Triannial Deview Checklist		1	r –		1
	Triennial Review Checklist			·		
	Clean Water Act (CWA) & NM Water Q		v			
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Clean Water Act and the New Mexico Water Quality Act				
	Citation	Required Program				
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE S	NO	
52	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 31	In the event that a minimum of one foot of freeboard cannot be preserved in the impoundment(s), is there a procedure/process that outlines how the Permittees will restore the required freeboard within 72 hours?	-			
53	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 31	In the event that freeboard cannot be restored within 72 hours in the impoundment(s), is there a procedure/process that outlines the requirements for submittal of short-term corrective action plan to restore the freeboard?				
54	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 31	In the event that short-term corrective action plan cannot restore the freeboard in the impoundment(s), is there a procedure/process that outlines the requirements for submittal of long-term corrective action plan to restore the freeboard?				
55	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 32	In the event that a release occurs that is not authorized under the permit, is there a procedure/process that outlines the requirements to mitigate damage and initiate notifications (e.g., 24-hour verbal) and corrective actions (e.g., submittal of corrective action plan/report within 15-days) in accordance with this permit condition?				
56	DP 831, Contingency Plan, condition 34	In the event of a pipeline break, pump failure, pond overflow or other system failure, is there a procedure/process that outlines the requirements for containing discharged water and repairing replacing failed components within 72 hours?				
57	DP 831, E - General Terms and Conditions, condition 41	Is there a procedure/process that outlines what records are required to be kept at the facility for at least five years?				

	Triennial Review Checklist					
	DOE O 231.1B - Environment Safety and	Health Reporting				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK		1			
	REVIEW TOPIC	ES&H Peporting	-			
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
1	4.a	Did WIPP submit its ASER for 2016 by October 1, 2017?				
2	4.a. and Attachment 2, paragragh 1	Is WIPP's ASER developed in accordance with Attachment 2 of the Order? Does is include all required data, including: Data effluent releases, environmental monitoring, and types and quantities of radioactive materials emitted or discharged to the environment, the estimated or calculated total effective dose to a representative person or maximally exposed member(s) of the public and the calculated collective dose to members of the public from exposure to radiation sources identified under DOE O 458.1, and, where it is of concern, releases of radon and its decay products from DOE sources and the resultant individual and collective dose from these radionuclides,				
3	4.a. and Attachment 2, paragragh 2	Does WIPP's latest ASER include a summary of environmental occurrences and responses reported during the calendar year?				
4	4.a. and Attachment 2, paragragh 3	Does WIPP's latest ASER include a environmental compliance information that confirms compliance with environmental standards and requirements?				
5	4.a. and Attachment 2, paragragh 3	Does WIPP's latest ASER include information on significant programs and efforts that highlights significant environmental performance indicators and/or performance measures that reflect the size and extent of WIPP's programs?				
6	4.a. and Attachment 2, paragragh 5	Does WIPP's latest ASER include information on WIPP's use, if any, of authorized limits?				
7	4.c	Does WIPP's latest ASER include Ionizing Radation Exposure Information in accordance with the Order and Attachment 4?				
8	4.c Attachment 4, paragarph 1	Were annual radiation exposure records for the preceding monitoring year, required to be collected by 10 C.F.R. § 835.702, reported to the REMS repository by March 31?				

		1	r –	r -		Ť
	Triennial Review Checklist					·
	DOE O 231.1B - Environment Safety and	Health Reporting				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK			1		
	REVIEW TOPIC	ES&H Peporting	-			
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YES	NO	
9	4.c Attachment 4, paragarph 1	Has WIPP made any revisions to radiation exposure records				
		previously submitted/reported? If so, if the revised dose record				
		resulted in a dose exceeding regulatory dose limits defined in 10				
		C.F.R. § 835.202, were revised records submitted within 30 days of				
		the revision to the dose record?				
11	4.c Attachment 4, paragarph 2b	How does WIPP confirm that its employees acting in an official	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	101101 025 30	capacity at a non- DOE facility and monitored for occupational				characterization related and not facility related.
		radiation exposure provide the monitoring results to their employer				
		within 30 days of receipt? How does DOE and NWP instruct				
		individuals of this responsibility prior to directing individuals to				
		conduct such activities at a non-DOE facility?				
12	4.c Attachment 4, paragarph 2c	Do procedures exist to effectively support the collection of dosimetry	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
	1 - Charlen Frank, San Frank, Star 1997 (1997) - St	records in support of this Order's requirements? Are they verified on				characterization related and not facility related.
		a regular basis?				
13	4.e and Attachment 5	Do WIPP's operations involve the management of radioactive sealed	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is
		sources meeting the requirements of IAEA Category 1 and 2				characterization related and not facility related.
		radioactive sealed sources, such that reporting to the NRC National				
		Source Tracking System (NSTS) is required? If so, are the				
		requirements of this Order being met? How is this verified?				

	Triennial Review	Checklist				
	DOE O 458.1 - Ra	idiation Protection of the Public and the Environment				
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK LIST REVIEW TOPIC	Radiological Protection				
	Citation					Notes/Comments
Number	Citation	In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE	NO	inotes/Comments
1	Changes	Is there a program in place to ensure that changes to DOE 458.1 are incorporated into flow-down requirements such as procedures, program documents, instructions?				
2	4.a.(1)(a,b)	Has WIPP established an Environmental Radiological Protection Program that (a) fully meets requirements of the Order and (b) is tailored to the hazard and risks of radiological activities conducted at	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
3	4.b.(1)(a)	Are WIPP's operations conducted in manner such that exposure to the pubic to ionizing radiation will (a) i (a) not cause TEC exceeding 100 mrem/yr (and equivalent doses to eye lens and skin)? How is this documented and evaluated?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
4	4.d.	How are the ALARA requirements in paragraph 4.d. of this Order satisfied?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
5	4.e(1-10)	Are dose evaluations completed to demonstrate compliance with the public dose limit in paragraph 4.b? Are they conducted to assess collective dose in order to meet requirements of this pargaragh 4.e.(10) of this Order?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
6	4.e(6)	Is the WIPP model used for dose evaluation calculations appropriate for its purpose? Is it codified or approved for use by regulators of DOE ? Where is this documented?	NA			RES Manager - This is out of scope. This is characterization related and not facility related.
7	4.f.	(1) Are WIPP's radiological activities conducted in a manner such that the release of radioactive material to the atmosphere are maintained ALARA? (2) Are airborne radioactive effluents controlled to avoid radom 222 flux rates in excess of 20 pCi)/m2-sec? (3) Do airborne effluents meet compliance agreements under 40 CFR Part 61, Subparts H, Q, and T?(4) Are airborne radioactive effluents controlled to not cause the radon-220 and radon-222 decay product concentration, including background, to exceed 0.03 WL in buildings that are being released from DOE control? (5) to not exceed 3 pCi/L annual average radon-220 and radon-222 concentration, not including background, at the site boundary if DOE activities release radom 220 and radon-222 or their decay products?	-			
8	4.g	Are WIPP liquid discharge activities conducted in compliance with all requirements of this paragraph, including: (4) ensuring that liquid discharges containing radionuclides from DOE activities do not exceed an annual average (at the point of discharge) of either of the following: (a) 5 pCi (0.2 Bq) per gram above background of settleable solids for alpha-emitting radionuclides. (b) 50 pCi (2 Bq) per gram above background of settleable solids for beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides?				

	Triennial Review	Checklist				
	DOE O 458.1 - Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK LIST					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Radiological Protection				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE	NO	
9	4.h(1)(a)	Are WIPP's radiological activities conducted in a manner such that radiation exposure to members of the public from management and storage of radioactive waste complies with ALARA process requirements and does not result in a TED greater than 25 mrem (0.25 mSv) in a year from all exposure pathways and radiation sources associated with the waste, except for transportation and radon and its decay products? How is this evaluated and documented?				
10	4.h(1)(b)	Is management oftransuranic waste at a WIPP (a disposal facility not regulated by the NRC) compliant with the requirements of this Order and 40 CFR Part 191, Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Management and Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel, High-level and Transuranic Radioactive Wastes?				
11	4.h(1)(c)	Are LLW's generated by WIPP managed and stored in a manner such that exposure to members of the public to radiation from radioactive waste complies with mrem (0.25 mSv) in a year from all exposure pathways and radiation sources associated with the waste, except for transportation and radon and its decay				
12	4.i (2)	What actions are taken and processes in place to protect groundwater near WIPP from radiological contamination and ensure compliance with dose limits in the Order and consistent with ALARA process requirements? How does WIPP ensure that:(a)baseline conditions of the ground water quantity and quality are documented? (bPossible sources of, and potential for, radiological contamination are identified and assessed? (c)Strategies to control radiological contamination are documented and implemented? (d) Monitoring methodologies are documented and implemented? and (e) Ground water monitoring activities are integrated with other environmental monitoring activities?				
13	4.j (1)	Has WIPP identified biota that may be impacted by WIPP's radiological activities? How are WIPP's activities conducted in a manner that protects populations of aquatic animals, terrestrial plants, and terrestrial animals in local ecosystems from adverse effects due to radiation and radioactive material released from DOE operations?				
14	4.k	To the extent that WIPP receives or generates personal property that contains or is continuated with residual readioactive materials, how is such property managed and cleared/released?				
15	4.1	 (1) Does WIPP maintain sufficient records to document compliance with the requirements of this Order? (2) Do these records include: (a) Information and data necessary to identify and characterize releases of radioactive material to the environment, their fate in the environment, and their probable impact on radiation dose to members of the public, and any impacts on ecological systems; (b) Documentation of individual and collective dose to members of the public due to radiological activities;(d) identification of radiological activities subject to environmental radiological protection program requirements, and descriptions of the measures to be used in implementing these requirements) 				

2	Triennial Review Checklist DOE O 458.1 - Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment					
	WASTE ISOLATION PILOT PLANT 2018 TRIENNIAL REVIEW CHECK LIST					
	REVIEW TOPIC	Radiological Protection				
	Citation	Required Program				Notes/Comments
Number		In Compliance? NA=Not Applicable ND=Not Determined	NA or ND	YE S	NO	
16	4.1 (continued)	 (1) Does WIPP maintain sufficient records to document compliance with the requirements of this Order? (2) Do these records include: (e) documentation of actions taken to implement the ALARA process; (f) documentation of actions taken to demonstrate compliance with the public dose limit; (h) effluent monitoring and environmental surveillance information and data; (i) Documentation related to the long-term management of radioactive waste and residual radioactive material; (j) final documentation for clearance of property containing residual radioactive material? 				
17	CRD (1)(b) - General Requirements	(b) Has NWP provided DOE a schedule for full implementation of the Specific Requirements of this Order?				
18	CRD (1)(b) - General Requirements	(d) Has NWP obtained DOE line management approval of the documentation demonstrating compliance with the Specific Requirements in this CRD?				



ATTACHMENT D

REVIEW TEAM QUALIFICATIONS





TRANSPORTATION COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST

SUMMARY

- Ms. Weaver has over 25 years of diverse experience in Transportation and Waste Management for government and commercially generated wastes.
- She has worked on multiple Department of Energy (DOE) and Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) sites, and managed shipment of wastes/materials to all U.S. treatment and disposal facilities.
- Experience providing regulatory compliance for transport, packaging and waste characterization for radioactive (including transuranic) and hazardous wastes/materials including profiling to landfills/disposal sites including providing shipping documentation, package design and transportation. Expert in radioactive air transport to meet international standards.

EDUCATION

B.A., English & Biology University of Alabama

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Separations Process Research Unit (SPRU) - Niskayuna, New York D&D Project: AECOM contract consisted of Managing IWITS database, managing mixed waste 90day storage area and Hazardous waste storage areas. Performed shipments of Mercury (Hg) mixed waste to Perma-Fix treatment facility. Site moved from small quantity generator to large generator.

NNSA/Department of Energy: Characterized, profiled, packaged, manifested and dispositioned DOE equipment fabricated by AEC containing radioactive sealed sources to Nevada Nuclear Security Site (NNSS).

Safety-Light Corporation: Provided technical support for waste profiling and transportation to Energy Solutions for EPA site remediation project located in state of Pennsylvania.

BWXT Y-12/NNSA: Project consisted of equipment removal from various contaminated buildings at the Y-12 Security Complex. Performed shipments of surface contaminated equipment from the Y-12 site to Energy Solutions (Bear Creek and Clive). In addition, applied for a DOT Special Permit for the use of Type A packages for fissile material. Wrote the waste profile for the microencapsulation at Energy Solutions.

National Aeronautics Space Administration (NASA): Characterized, profiled, designed and oversaw fabrication of inner package for Type A cask transport and manifest for transport of Cadmium Control Rods removed from the Plumbrook Reactor Station at Sandusky, Ohio. Duties included researching to prove a DOE nexus for NNSS disposal.

ANNE WEAVER



TRANSPORTATION COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST

CDM Federal: Generated mixed waste profile for treatment of multiple waste streams generated at Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant. Prepared Hazardous wastes manifests for mixed waste and rad waste shipments to Energy Solutions in Clive, Utah.

Performance Development Corporation: Compliantly shipped Low Level Waste (LLW) repackaged waste from DOE Oak Ridge K-25 facilities to Energy Solutions of Utah. Duties included selection of compliant containers, dispatching carriers, ensuring waste stream conformed to Bechtel Jacobs Corporation (BJC) LLW profiles, marking/labeling/placarding shipments, and ensured load was compliant with Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations. Duties also consisted of shipping nonconforming items removed from the LLW waste stream, air shipments for sample analysis, and sample validation shipments for mixed waste treatment and disposal facilities.

US Navy, Rock Island Arsenal: Performed Historical Radiological Assessments for several Naval Bases on the California Coast and Desert. Duties consisted of accumulating records from National Archive storage areas, each site, and RASO. Provided assessments of radioisotopes of potential concern and writing a comprehensive report for submittal and approval of uses and determination of areas that contain residual radioactive contamination.

Canberra: Provided assessment and managed a Type B shipment of five high activity calibration sources using the 10-160B cask from Dover, NJ to Oak Ridge, TN. This was safely achieved with very dated and little or no fabrication data.

DEMCO: Profiled and shipped lead Shielding contaminated from the Hydrofracture Facility at Oak Ridge National Laboratory to Energy Solutions (Clive) for macroencapsulation.

DRMS: Responsible for profiling, providing the hazardous waste manifest and shipment/disposal of Department of Defense (DOD) generated hazardous waste for three Tennessee locations.

FLUOR: Responsible for shipping and transporting contaminated equipment for reuse from the Fernald Closure Project to Energy Solutions. Performed the determination of proper packaging for surface contaminated objects to be recycled.

National Institute of Standards and Technologies: Contract consisted of designing packages and shipping fresh fuel elements from BWXT-Lynchburg to the National Institute of Standards and Technology. Activities included safeguarded shipment in accordance with NRC regulations, and performed escort activities with team driving activities. Performed all NRC advance and post-delivery notifications within OCONUS domestic transport IDIQ Scope of Work.





TRANSPORTATION COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST

INNSA/GTRI: Duties include the following:

- Assessments for UF₆ recovery in international locations;
- Physical assessments of Co⁶⁰ and Cs¹³⁷ sealed sources stored in medical and industrial equipment in various locations across the continental U.S.;
- Provided costing, characterization, and disposition activities, such as interim storage, recycle/reuse options, and final disposal at DOE-owned for sealed sources on the Source Device Registry.
- Managed a Gammacell 1000 Blood Irradiator Recovery from Tufts New England Medical Center in Boston, MA, which contained a Type B quantity of Cs¹³⁷ and performed shipment and transport activities to Southwest Research Institute.

NNSA/FRRF: Foreign Repatriation of Spent Nuclear Fuel from Indonesia to Savannah River Site. Duties included OCONUS transportation plan write up, NRC Route approval, NRC notifications, three domestic shipments of Spent Nuclear Fuel, highway route controlled quantities, and three equipment shipments from the Naval Weapons Station – Charleston port to Savannah River Site.

Northrup Grumman: Managed and performed site assessment, and decontamination activities to remove spent hazardous and radioactive infrared lenses from defense-related target systems for recycle and reuse project.

Technical Writer/Trainer, WESKEM, LLC

Prepared waste management administrative and operating procedures for the Waste Disposition project for ETTP, ORNL, and Y-12 with WESKEM guidelines. Incorporated Safety Determinations and criticality evaluations within facility operating procedures.

Created lesson plans to incorporate training for upper-tier procedures written by the BJC and WESKEM.

- Prepared WESKEM for Readiness Reviews to perform new scopes of work for spent fuel canister retrievals and shipment to Idaho (ORNL); Chemical Detonation
- Facility (ORNL), Shock Sensitive treatment activities (OR Reservation), Closure of Mixed Oxide Vaults (Y-12), and flammable facility construction (ETTP).
- Assisted with the implementation, readiness, and approval of BJC NTS Generator Program.

ANNE WEAVER



TRANSPORTATION COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST

- Provided technical support for the Nuclear Facilities Assessment for the precursor to the Defense Board Audit for ORNL Nuclear Facilities.
- Reviewed technical changes submitted by the Unreviewed Safety Question Determination (USQD) process, writing USQD screens for all procedural changes.

CERTIFICATIONS, AWARDS, AND TRAINING

Radiological Worker II NQA-1 Lead Auditor Training Nuclear Criticality Safety Training Nuclear Material Control and Accountability Material Balance Custodian and Waste Account Rep. Waste Certification Program Awareness Training Energetic Hazardous Materials Basic Chemistry Training McCoy's RCRA Training **RCRA Satellite Accumulation Area** PCB Awareness Training **Basic Hazardous Materials Transportation** IATA: Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air Shipper Certification IMDG: International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code Security of Nuclear and Other Radioactive Materials During Transport Advanced DOT Hazardous Material Workshop Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations Unreviewed Safety Question Determination Facility Authorization Basis Training **Technical Safety Requirements Training** Hazard Review Beryllium-Safe Handling HAZWOPER 40-Hr. Training


BEN C. ROGERS, PHD, CHMM, REP

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST

SUMMARY

- Internationally known Subject Matter Expert (SME) in radioactive and hazardous waste management; process knowledge; forensic analysis of nuclear processes; and, preparation of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation including supplemental analyses.
- Over 40 years' experience in managing radioactive, mixed and transuranic wastes; nuclear facility operations; decontamination and decommissioning of nuclear facilities; and, declassification and demilitarization of nuclear weapons components.
- Senior Scientist, Advisor and Mentor to Transuranic Waste Processing Facility, Oak Ridge, TN.
- Experienced in health and safety compliance, health physics, nuclear criticality safety calculations, quality assurance program writing, and training program development.

EDUCATION

Ph.D., Environmental Sciences, 2003 Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, TN

Radiochemistry, Certificate, 1975 Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA

B.S., Chemistry, 1972 University of Memphis, Memphis, TN

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Dr. Rogers has more than forty years of experience in handling and managing radioactive, mixed and TRU waste including plant operations, decommissioning, and decontamination of nuclear facilities as well as the declassification and demilitarization of nuclear weapons components. He is a subject matter expert (SME) in process knowledge, forensic analysis of nuclear processes and components and preparation of NEPA documentation. Most recently he served as the Senior Scientist, Advisor and Mentor to the Transuranic Waste Processing Complex at Oak Ridge, TN. He served as NDA Manager for the D&D of K-25 in the identification of uranium oxide holdup residue. Dr. Rogers was project manager for the 2003 Mercury Due Diligence Study of the lithium isotope separation process at the Y-12 Plant. Proficient user of RESRAD and RADCALC analytical software tools for the management and analysis of residual radioactive materials.



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST

During 2016, Dr. Rogers was project coordinator of the Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report for 2015¹. He has experience in classroom settings having taught the elements of water chemistry and radiochemistry to radiochemical laboratory technician candidates at various nuclear power facilities including TVA's Sequoyah & Watts Bar nuclear plants and Koeberg nuclear power station, Cape town, South Africa. He also served as Ad Hoc Instructor at Chattanooga State Community College 40-Hour hazardous materials management refresher courses. He has experience in site remediation work which includes recovery from emergency situations, removal and replacement of radioactive and hazardous process systems, on-site water treatment, excavation of buried debris, packaging and transport of radioactive and hazardous materials, feasibility studies, records of decision, and site closures. He has a detailed working knowledge of federal, state, and local environmental laws and applicable U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Orders and requirements at DOE facilities. He has experience in spent fuel handling, high density spent fuel rack design and installation, and volume reduction of spent fuel channels for disposal. He also has experience in health and safety compliance, health physics, nuclear criticality safety calculations, quality assurance program writing training program development, procedure writing, alternative disposal options, and preparation of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation including supplemental analyses and supplemental regulations.

Dr. Rogers was a member of the *Panel of Fourteen* subject matter experts, 2001-2002, from the U.S., Canada, UK, Germany, France, China and Austria who reviewed the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor technology design for the South African Electricity Supply Commission and the Atomic Energy Commission of South Africa.

Dr. Rogers is a former voting member of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) International Committee D34 on Waste Management. He is experienced in dealing with national and international regulatory agencies, supporting foreign corporations, governments and appearing as a technical witness.

Dr. Rogers was a contributing author and reviewer of NUREG/CR-4450 on the use of solidification agents including cement. His PhD Thesis presented a solidification method for the stabilization of declassified electronic components using unsaturated polyester thermoset resin to allow passage of the TCLP.

Dr. Rogers conducted detailed studies for the use of high-integrity containers for the disposal of radioactive materials for the Central Electricity Generating Board of the United Kingdom. In 1990, he developed a process for profiling and removing precious and strategic materials from nuclear weapons components and successfully applied the process, at DOE Pantex Plant, to more than 250 metric tons of retired and obsolete nuclear weapons components for the DOE.

Dr. Rogers served as the Deputy Project Manager for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Northwest Scrap Yard Project and as the SME for the decommissioning of the Homogeneous Reactor Experiment evaporator at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). He also provided guidance and direction for the decommissioning and demolition of the High Flux Isotope Reactor ponds at ORNL. Included in this work were the demolition of a 200,000 ft³ cryogenically frozen

¹ HTTPS://DOEIC.SCIENCE.ENERGY.GOV/ASER/ASER2015/INDEX.HTML

¹¹³ E. Harper Ave S Maryville, TN 37804 S Office Phone 865.233.5049 Toll Free Phone and Fax 1.866.313.2580 INFO@FIREWATERLLC.COM WWW.FIREWATERLLC.COM



ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST

pond that accepted radioactive liquids from the HRE and other locations during the period of 1951 to 1964.

Dr. Rogers served as Senior Consultant for rigor of operations assessments at ETTP and Portsmouth and Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plants, prepared four analysis tools to evaluate the rigor of operations process, and prepared a database in accordance with management oversight and risk tree analysis techniques. As Senior Environmental Consultant and Project Manager, he was responsible for providing consultation and direction for the construction of the Bear Creek Environmental Management Waste Management Facility (EMWMF), a mixed waste disposal facility, and for preparing the Report to Congress.

Dr. Rogers served as Technical Director for the Central Interstate Compact Commission in siting and licensing of a 10 CFR Part 61 radioactive waste disposal facility. He also directed an emergency response action at the Maxey Flats LLRW Disposal Facility and designed and contracted the manufacture of reusable molds used in stabilizing 250,000 gallons of tritium contaminated water. He also served as Site Manager, North Hampton, MA in a national cleanup of Polonium-210 contamination caused by multiple ionization-chamber failures.

As Waste Management Discipline Head for the South African Electricity Supply Commission he provided technical guidance in developing a radioactive waste management training program for the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station.

As Manager of Radwaste Operations for TVA he was responsible for managing central office radwaste operations staff, including responsibility for design, review, and operations of radioactive waste systems and transportation casks for seven nuclear power plants.

CERTIFICATIONS, AWARDS, AND TRAINING

- Certified Hazardous Materials Manager, Masters Level
- Hazardous Materials and Radiochemistry Training Ad Hoc Instructor, Chattanooga
 State Community College.
- Member of "Panel of Fourteen" SME for review of the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor technology design for South African Electricity Supply Commission and the Atomic Energy Commission of South Africa.
- Voting member of American Society for Testing and Materials International Committee D34 o Waste Management.
- Registered Environmental Professional. Prior Q-level security clearance



CHRISTINE MARIE GELLES

EXPERTISE

Christine Gelles has nearly 25 years experience in the US Department of Energy. Her core skills include;

- strategic program planning, policy development and problem solving
- project planning, management, budget formulation, execution and review
- program management and assessment
- comprehensive understanding of environmental laws, regulations and policies, as well as federal budgetary and accounting policy.
- extensive knowledge and understanding of the Department of Energy's waste management and nuclear materials management programs.

Christine has exceptional oral and written communication skills and is a very experienced and accomplished public speaker. She has testified before the US Congress and has provided many briefings to Members of Congress, their staff, and senior officials within U.S. Government.

Christine is experienced in domestic and international cooperative and interagency efforts, including serving as Chairperson of the International Atomic Energy Agency's (IAEA's) PRISM project (Practical Implementation of Safety Case Methodology on Near Surface Disposal Facilities), Chairperson of IAEA's CIDER project (Constraints in Decommissioning and Environmental Remediation) and providing subject matter expertise as a consultant on specific IAEA waste related efforts.

DETAILED EXPERIENCE

Senior Vice President for Operations Longenecker & Associates

March 2017-Present

In this Operations role, Christine coordinates and oversees the L&A program and project managers responsible for implementation of L&A activities, which span a broad range of technical, programmatic and policy support functions. L&A's portfolio includes both prime contracts to DOE and NNSA programs, including numerous complex-wide vehicles, and subcontracts with a large number of strategic industry partners. She ensures L&A's performance consistently exceeds client expectations and works closely with L&A personnel to ensure project and contract success. She is actively engaged in the design of expanded roles for L&A in future DOE and NNSA site contracts and leads corporate efforts to prepare for those future roles, including all required human capital, acquisition and programs support activities. In this position, she works seamlessly with L&A's senior leadership team to continuously assess contract compliance, financial performance and risk management.



Corporate Vice President and Chief Strategy Officer Longenecker & Associates

March 2016-March 2017

Christine led L&A activities to define and implement corporate programs and policies necessary to support company growth. She recruited highly qualified and recognized experts to the team, and expanded L&A's project portfolio in the areas of radioactive waste management and environmental remediation support. She also diversified L&A's commercial industry client base.

Deputy Assistant Secretary (DAS) for Waste Management (ACTING)November 2015-March 2016Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy

Christine led the Office for Waste Management's three diverse technical and policy offices;

- Disposal Operations
- Disposition Policy and Planning and Packaging
- Transportation

Christine's responsibilities included broad policy and resource responsibilities associated with a dozen of EM's cleanup sites including Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Los Alamos, Nevada National Security Site, Idaho and all small sites and projects. She was also responsible for oversight and resource direction for all EM radioactive waste management projects and programs.

Manager, Environmental Management Los Alamos Field Office (ACTING) March 2015-September 2015 Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy

After leading transition planning efforts from EM Headquarters, including development of the reorganization actions necessary to stand up the EM Los Alamos Field Office, Christine served as the interim site manager while a permanent manager was recruited and selected and led the new organization through a turbulent transition period. In this role, her leadership accomplishments spanned programmatic and organizational, regulatory, technical, acquisition and stakeholder matters. Significant examples include:

- Negotiation of sole-source, prime contract for environmental cleanup activities pending the competition of the future contract
- Development of the first lifecycle baseline update for legacy cleanup since 2008
- Negotiation and approval of a Memorandum of Understanding with the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Field Office, to delineate organizational responsibilities and authorities related to site activities
- Development of the Phase 2 organizational proposal for the EM Los Alamos Field Office, including detailed skills and strategic analysis, for long term program success
- Oversight of the EM budget for Los Alamos activities, including reallocation of FY 2015 funds to address emergent risks of problematic transuranic wastes and contaminated ground water issues, defense of the FY 2016 and formulation of the FY 2017 budget requests



- Led EM efforts to develop corrective action plan in response to the Accident Investigation Board reports on WIPP radiological release event
- Guided EM efforts to develop management and treatment strategies for nitrate salt bearing wastes, the waste stream involved in the WIPP radiological release
- Review and approval of all regulatory documents associated with Los Alamos Consent Order and Individual Permit for Stormwater Management, including development of the characterization and interim measures work plan for chromium plume and the associated Environmental Assessment
- Established a strong working relationship with the site regulator, New Mexico Environment Department, and helped to restore credibility to DOE following the significant regulatory non-compliances associated with the WIPP radiological release and Los Alamos permit violations
- Led EM efforts, in conjunction with NNSA, on the "extent of condition" reviews to identify range of regulatory noncompliance and safety issues
- Led planning and initial regulatory interactions with New Mexico Environment Department negotiation of Los Alamos Consent Order
- Represented DOE in interactions with neighboring Tribal Pueblos, including extensive consultation with Governor of Pueblo de San Ildefonso on development of groundwater monitoring well on Pueblo land and negotiation and signature of updated Memorandum of Understanding
- Extensive interactions with local governments and community organizations
- Extensive interactions with New Mexico Congressional delegates and staff

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary (ADAS) for Waste Management February 2012-March 2016 Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy

As the ADAS, Christine guided the focus and operations of three diverse technical offices -- Office of Disposal Operations, Office of Disposition Policy and Planning, and Office of Packaging and Transportation. Her responsibilities included

- development and implementation of waste treatment, transportation and disposal strategies and plans as well as leadership and advocacy for EM funded activities at nearly a dozen EM sites.
- implementation and oversight of several DOE Orders
- co-lead of a senior management effort to synthesize the transportation related orders between EM and the National Nuclear Security Agency.

The breadth of the office portfolio spanned uranium management, scrap metal management, low-level waste, transuranic (TRU) waste, and high level waste management, including the optimization of operations of over a dozen treatment and/or disposal facilities. Christine provided leadership to the National TRU Waste Management Program, which includes operation of the nation's sole geologic disposal facility. Her Office also maintains and risk-informs the technical requirements for disposal of high level waste and spent nuclear fuel and fulfills the Department of Energy's statutory responsibilities related to commercial low-level waste disposal, including development of a disposal facility for greater-than-class C low-level waste and the associated environmental impact analyses.





Christine also guided the human capital strategy for the Office of Waste Management, ensuring technical and professional staff is fully trained and capable of fulfilling the important missions of the office. Christine has recruited diverse technical staff over the last two years and diversified the skills and competencies of our Federal staff in order to ensure continued success despite the high rate of federal retirements from incumbent staff.

Director, Office of Disposal Operations

Office of Environmental Management, US Department of Energy

As the Office Director, Christine directed and oversaw EM's complex-wide radioactive waste management efforts and led fifteen senior technical staff assigned to these activities. Christine developed policies, procedures and guidance for EM sites' high-level, transuranic, mixed and low-level waste disposition projects. Christine integrated site-specific project plans and conduct analysis on the complex-wide waste disposition system. Christine continuously reviewed projects to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and the Department's radioactive waste management policies and also reviewed cost- benefit analyses and provided guidance on evaluation and selection of waste management alternatives.

In this role Christine;

- reviewed and approved annual execution plans related to waste disposition, including the treatment schedule for the Department's sole radioactive waste incinerator (the Toxic Substances Control Act Incinerator) and the shipping and disposal plans for the nation's sole geologic repository (the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant) and the Department's two regional lowlevel waste disposal facilities.
- continuously analyzed and monitored EM sites' baseline and contract plans to ensure wastes generated through environmental cleanup activities are safely and efficiently managed and disposed.
- led EM's efforts to identify problematic waste streams and develop treatment and disposal solutions.
- served as the primary liaison with the other Departmental organizations on planning for future high level waste disposal and repository planning and compliance.
- developed and maintained a partnership with the leading companies within the commercial radioactive waste management industry, including treatment vendors, brokers, transporters and disposal facilities.
- developed and maintained a strong working relationship with the key State and Federal regulators involved in radioactive waste management and interacted extensively with other Federal agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- was responsible for the implementation of the Department's statutory responsibilities pursuant to the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Act Amendments of 1985.

May 2006-January 2012



- directed and oversaw the development of the Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposition of Greater-Than-Class C Low Level Waste, and managed the Department's interactions with State and Regional Disposal Compacts.
- led the EM program's efforts to minimize volumes of wastes requiring disposal. These
 efforts include leadership of a complex-wide project to decontaminate and convert
 contaminated scrap metals recovered through cleanup activities, include a large inventory of
 high-value nickel. Additionally, Christine promoted utilization of alternate disposition
 methods, to reduce costs and enable project acceleration.

Director, Office of Commercial Disposition OptionsDecember 2003-May 2006Office of Environmental Management, US Department of Energy

As the Office Director, Christine led a staff of ten technical professionals and directed and oversaw EM's low-level and mixed-low level waste efforts, complex-wide. The mission of this office was to identify opportunities to utilize commercial treatment and disposal capabilities to optimize Environmental Management waste management and site cleanup projects. Under her leadership, the office defined the inventory of problematic wastes requiring specialized treatment and disposal solutions. Christine convened and led two national conferences focused on these waste challenges. As a result, dozens of challenging waste streams were resolved and disposed. Additionally, a strong partnership among Federal and commercial waste managers was established.

This office also fulfilled the Department of Energy's statutory responsibilities related to the Low-Level Waste Policy Act. Christine testified before Congress on matters related to the disposition of greaterthan-class C (GTCC) wastes. Following direction from the Secretary of Energy, her office assumed responsibilities for the development of the Environmental Impact Statement on the Disposal of GTCC wastes.

Manager, Corporate Project for Integrated/Risk-Driven Disposition of Spent Nuclear Fuel Office of Environmental Management, U.S. Department of Energy July 2002-December 2003

As Project Manager, Christine led EM's efforts to develop an integrated, national plan for the interim storage and management of approximately 2,500 metric tons of spent nuclear fuel (SNF), a highly-radioactive waste stream. Christine led an integrated project team in the development of the Corporate SNF Disposition Strategy that will align the Department of Energy SNF-related activities with the national priorities of accelerated risk-reduction and cleanup, schedule acceleration and life-cycle cost reduction. Strategy development included the development of integrated project management tools including integrated work breakdown structure, schedules, basis of estimate, and risk assessment. The content of these tools included the management of liquid high-level waste and certain excess special nuclear material inventories, because these wastes are also targeted for geologic disposal and must be integrated with the SNF project plans. The sum of these tools provides a framework for a Department-wide systems integration of all waste management and disposal activities.

Christine influenced Department of Energy policy through the development of recommendations related to the management and treatment of SNF. Christine identified alternatives to current waste



management strategies and developed baseline change proposals based on comprehensive costs/schedule benefit analysis that further optimize Department of Energy activities. Christine also provided recommendations regarding functional and managerial realignments required to incentivize continual schedule and cost improvements.

The proposed strategy supported additional risk reduction, schedule acceleration and cost savings beyond those identified in the EM Sites' accelerated cleanup plans. When fully implemented, the corporate strategy integrated the activities of four major Department of Energy organizations, and will significant strengthen the Department's waste management and disposal programs.

Program Analyst, Office of Site Closure – Rocky Flats Project OfficeJuly 1999-July 2002Office of Environmental Management, US Department of EnergyJuly 1999-July 2002

As program analyst, Christine was responsible for the review, tracking and reporting of cleanup activities underway at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Christine reviewed and analyzed the technical baseline and cost estimate for a \$4 billion nuclear production site cleanup project. She codeveloped a bottoms-up schedule including the formulation of detailed work breakdown structure, project management plan, resource documentation and risk analysis for technical activities required by the Department of Energy complex to support the execution and management of the Rocky Flats Closure Project. This effort was widely recognized by Congressional reviews and senior management within the Department. It entailed the coordination and integration of multiple sites and organizations within the Department, requiring the negotiation and reprioritization Department-wide resources.

Christine coordinated the use of earned value analysis in assessing the Site's execution of the approved baseline. Christine also monitored the project performance against the contract terms and goals, as well as the identified performance goals. Her analysis was considered in the contracting officers' assessment and determination of the contractor's fee earnings.

Christine also served as the Headquarters lead on four major remediation projects underway at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. Additionally, Christine was responsible for the integration of other projects at the site in support of accelerated cleanup a major priority within the Administration.

Christine was responsible for the review of all major policy documents and development of policy positions, presentations and recommendations. Christine coordinated the activities of other staff necessary to monitor and execute the Rocky Flats Closure Project. Christine coordinated the use of earned value analysis in assessing the Site's execution of the approved baseline.

Budget Analyst, Office of Budget, Office of the Chief Financial Officer U.S. Department of Energy

June 1993-July 1999

As budget analyst, Christine provided financial oversight of the Office of EM (~\$6.0B), the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (~\$400M), and the Office of Environment, Safety and Health (~\$150M) through continuous budgetary review and programmatic analysis. Christine understood and considered activities and priorities comprising these programs, as well as the external legislative and political drivers affecting them.

Her responsibilities in the areas of budget formulation included



- conducting in-depth analysis and presenting funding recommendations to the CFO and senior management
- providing guidance and authoritative advice to program personnel
- ensuring requests met the requirements of the U.S. Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

In the execution of these responsibilities, Christine planned, modified and re-sequenced the work program of these major environmental programs.

Christine assisted in the development of policy related to the pricing of international spent nuclear fuel disposition activities, the documentation and justification of complex-wide nuclear materials management, and the coordination and allocation of complex-wide resources required to conduct environmental cleanup activities.

Her responsibilities in the area of program execution included the review and approval of contracts, programmatic reports, and Congressional correspondence and reports, as well as participation on various executive boards and work groups. She regularly represented the CFO on teams, in policy meetings and in coordination with Congress and OMB.

Christine has also formulated the proposals required to adjust formal work plans during execution, including very detailed reprogrammings and appropriation transfer actions. Christine has frequently been consulted on providing training on these processes, due to her experience and success.

Christine has monitored the execution of the programs, including a detailed assessment of project performance against project baselines and pre-defined goals and performance objectives.

Christine also conducted complex-wide in-field audits of budget and program execution, participated in budget drills to ensure proper management of federally appropriated funds. On multiple occasions, these audits provided the basis for Departmental policy and budget justification.

Christine often developed and provided presentations and training on the federal budget process and contributed to the development of the Department of Energy budget guidance.

EDUCATION

Mount Saint Mary's College, Emmitsburg, MD

Bachelor of English, magna cum laude, 1992

Federal Executive Institute, Leadership for a Democratic Society, Charlottesville, VA (2005)

Completed coursework in *Environmental Law; Project Management, Federal Financial Management; Cost Estimation and Project Validation*; and *Principles of Appropriation Law*

AWARDS AND HONORS

Richard S. Hodes Award for Innovation in LLW Management, from the SE Compact Commission (February 2011)

Secretary of Energy Pride Award



Recognition in the Congressional Record for contribution to closure of Rocky Flats

Outstanding National Achievement Award

Outstanding Performance Awards and Special Act Awards

College Honors Program graduate

Who's Who Among Students in American Colleges and Universities

OTHER LEADERSHIP ROLES

Advisory Board for Liberal Arts College, Mt. St. Mary's University (2014-present)

Adjunct Professor, Catholic University Masters Program, Nuclear Environmental Protection (2014, 2012)

Chair, IAEA Project on Practical Illustration of Safety Case Methodology for Near Surface Disposal Facilities (PRISM)

Chair, IAEA Project on Constraints in Decommissioning and Environmental Remediation Programs (CIDER)

Chair, Planning Committee for International Conference on Decommissioning and Environmental Remediation Programs

Chair of various consultancies and working groups to address IAEA efforts in waste safety and waste technology projects



SUMMARY

- Over 40 years' experience in management, operations, and oversight of nuclear and chemical facility operations. This experience includes operations at DOE Category 2 nuclear facilities.
- In depth knowledge of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency "Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)" and "Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)" regulations.
- Managed transition of waste treatment and disposal operations at the DOE Hanford Site to ensure continuity of service for onsite waste generators upon award of the Plateau cleanup contract to CHPRC.
 - Developed multiple treatment strategies for orphan mixed radioactive waste streams facilitating disposition of waste streams from DOE sites around the complex.

Experience with radioactive, mixed, and transuranic operations, packaging, transportation, and regulatory compliance evaluations to identify compliance and improvement for commercial nuclear treatment companies.

- Subject Matter Expert testimony for environmental remediation of radioactive, mixed, and transuranic wastes.
- Design, installation of soil and groundwater remediation programs at a variety of hazardous and radioactively contaminated sites utilizing a wide variety of technologies tailored to specific site conditions.

SECURITY CLEARANCE

Uncleared

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Science, Chemical Engineering Stevens Institute of Technology, New Jersey

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Independent Consultant, Knoxville TN

Management consulting for organizational structure, acquisition evaluation, efficiency/cost improvement, systems development, contract dispute resolution and management. Proposal support including technical approach, cost estimating, schedule development and Technical and Cost Volume for waste management and nuclear facility operations. Current projects include consultation to Oak Ridge National Laboratory on development of waste management

¹¹³ E. Harper Ave S Maryville, TN 37804 S Office Phone 865.233.5049 Toll Free Phone and Fax 1.866.313.2580 INFO@FIREWATERLLC.COM WWW.FIREWATERLLC.COM



OPERATIONS ENGINEER

strategy for high activity transuranic waste.

Vice President, Manager of Projects, Nuclear Services Perma-Fix Environmental Services, Knoxville, TN

Responsible for all aspects of the operation of the Nuclear Services Division, including proposal development, estimating, pricing, procedure and program development, project execution, personnel management and financial performance. Responsible for providing project controls, estimating and scheduling support for Nuclear Services and Waste Services projects.

Led company transition as part of the CH2M Plateau Remediation Company (CHPRC) cleanup team at the Hanford Site. Perma-Fix scope included operation of onsite waste treatment and disposal operations including managing TRU operations at T Plant (Cat 2 nuclear facility), and the Low-Level (LLW) and Mixed Low-Level (MLLW) burial grounds. Led the effort to develop a new strategy for offsite treatment of large CH-TRU containers at the Perma-Fix commercial nuclear facility. This strategy saved DOE over \$350Millon by avoiding the cost of building new onsite treatment capabilities for CH TRU wastes.

Developed, engineered, and deployed technical solutions for complex mixed wastes generated during cleanup at government, commercial and international facilities. Examples included developing a system used at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant for down-blending highly enriched Uranium; Mercury (Hg) amalgamation mobile system for treatment of United Kingdom Elemental Hg wastes; and, a system to identify and remove Plutonium contaminated soils on a commercial industrial site.

Responsible for regulatory interfaces, plan development through regulatory approval for remediation of soil and groundwater at Perma-Fix owned sites, as well as Perma-Fix work at customer sites, including both hazardous and radioactive constituents of concern. Managed associated regulatory relationships through acceptance of final closure.

Senior Vice President ATG Corporation, Oak Ridge TN and Richland WA

Responsible for marketing, sales, strategic planning and material stewardship. Responsible for the full-service radioactive, wet waste processing, equipment and remediation facilities in Tennessee. Responsible for field service operations in the commercial nuclear power and decommissioning arenas. Overall management of the Richland, WA facility, including all aspects of the Low Level and Mixed Radioactive Waste processing facilities, including physical completion and startup of Non-Thermal and Thermal Mixed Waste operations.



OPERATIONS ENGINEER

Chief Operating Officer

Med Images, Inc., Knoxville, TN

Managed all operations for this image-based, integrated medical documentation and information management startup. Developed software to prolong the life of installed technology.

Consultant KRR Group

Provided senior level management and technical consulting support to companies involved in industrial manufacturing and services.

Chief Operating Officer Quadrex Corporation, Oak Ridge, TN

Responsible for all operating divisions of this Corporation, including environmental, nuclear waste processing and nuclear engineering divisions. Responsible for over 500 engineering, technical, health and safety, quality assurance, regulatory compliance, operations, maintenance and accounting personnel. Responsible for turnaround and ultimate success of Quadrex's full-service nuclear decontamination facility in Oak Ridge, TN. The facility operations, governed by radioactive materials licenses in highly regulated and audited industries, served the nuclear power, DOE, and commercial nuclear wasteindustries.

Plant Manger FMC Corporation – Chemicals Group

Managed multiple U.S. and international chemical plants.

CERTIFICATIONS, AWARDS, AND TRAINING

- Patent US9,381,552B1 Method and Apparatus for Recovery of Subsurface Free Mercury and Decontaminating a Substrate
- Radworker II



KATHRYN ROBERTS

EXPERIENCE SUMMARY

Ms. Roberts is a recognized regulatory and public outreach expert with more than 16 years of environmental compliance and technical expertise related to regulatory compliance (e.g., RCRA, CERCLA, NEPA). In addition to serving as a cabinet-appointed regulatory division director, her experience includes 12 years managing, coordinating and communicating between State and Federal agencies (EPA, DOE), Congressional delegation, State legislators and a wide range of stakeholders, including activist groups and local Indian Pueblos. In addition to regulatory compliance and outreach, Ms. Roberts performed within QA/QC/CAS programs at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), and has extensive environmental remediation field experience in New Mexico and New York State.

DETAILED EXPERIENCE

Senior Associate– Longenecker & Associates, Inc.

January 2017- present

January 2017 June 2017: Public Outreach Liaison for one of the four Deep Borehole Field Test (DBFT) sites awarded by DOE. The DBFT project was designed to study the feasibility of engineering deep boreholes. One of the field test's main purposes was to collect data on the type of rocks, the chemistry of the water, the depths to these rocks and water, the temperature of the rocks and other geologic data to see if nuclear waste disposal would be feasible in this kind of geology. Ms. Roberts was responsible for planning and managing the public outreach activities for the project. She routinely responded to stakeholder inquiries; developed public meeting presentations, coordinated with NM State legislators, local municipal leaders and business owners; and consistently responded to media inquiries.

Director, Resource Protection Division – New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Santa Fe, NM

January 2015-January 2017

Performed oversight of four Bureaus (Hazardous Waste; Solid Waste, Petroleum Storage Tanks; DOE-Oversight) comprising the Resource Protection Division of the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). Oversaw regulatory oversight of generators and owners/operators of RCRA permitted facilities, all owners/operators of solid waste facilities, all owners/operators of underground and aboveground petroleum storage tanks, and independent air, water and soil sampling at federal facilities (Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Sandia and Waste Isolation Pilot Plant) to support both federal and state regulatory decisions. Was also the New Mexico representative to the Rocky Mountain Low Level Radioactive Waste Board.

- Primary liaison for the Resource Protection Division with local stakeholders, community groups, regulated entities, State legislators and New Mexico's Congressional delegation on a wide range of environmental compliance issues associated with hazardous waste management and storage facilities. Interactions occurred on a daily basis.
- Frequently requested to present at State Legislative Committees, community meetings and to the public to address hazardous waste management, waste storage and related implementing laws and regulations.



- Successfully negotiated/implemented the following:
 - Settlement agreements between the State of New Mexico and the DOE for both LANL and WIPP for violations of RCRA.
 - Oversaw development of the Work Plans and Scope and Guidelines documents for the Supplemental Environmental Projects and Triennial Reviews at WIPP and LANL.
 - o 2016 Compliance Order On Consent (Consent Order) for LANL legacy cleanup.
 - Cooperative Agreement and Memorandum of Agreement with the United States Air Force for \$750K in supplemental fees to fund NMED staff.
 - o Settlement of legal mediation with Western Refining, Inc.

Group Leader – Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)-Environmental Programs Los Alamos, NM

September 2010-December 2014

Served as Group Leader for the Regulatory Support and Performance group within the Associate Directorate for Environmental Programs (ADEP) at LANL. Responsible for interpretation, development and implementation of regulatory programs under RCRA and the Clean Water Act (CWA) including strategic direction and implementation. Managed performance evaluations and work assignments for 20 people. Managed regulatory support and deliverable compliance for legacy cleanup work conducted under the Consent Order, CWA and the processing and shipping of hazardous waste associated with those projects. Acted as primary liaison between LANL, state agencies and stakeholders including elected officials, community groups, and Native American Pueblos bordering LANL on a wide range of environmental compliance issues. Negotiated with state and federal agencies (e.g., NMED, DOE, and EPA) on technical and regulatory issues related to environmental cleanup. Provided regulatory support and expertise for remediation projects across LANL. Managed the Quality Assurance (QA) and Contractor Assurance programs and staff for ADEP. Managed budget, schedule, cost commitments and resource planning.

Supervisor – New Mexico Environment Department (NMED)-Hazardous Waste Bureau Santa Fe, NM

May 2004-September 2010

Supervised four project leaders on corrective action under the Consent Order and permitting activities for LANL and White Sands Missile Range under the RCRA. Reviewed all assigned permit applications, permit modification requests, corrective action work plans, corrective action reports, and other documents submitted by LANL for evaluation of technical adequacy. Drafted correspondence such as, Notices of Deficiency, letters of approval and disapproval regarding technical and regulatory adequacy issues, Notices of Violation, and other compliance and enforcement documents. Inspected, toured, and consulted with LANL regarding various corrective action sites. Frequent interactions and meetings between DOE (and its contractor).

Environmental Compliance Analyst – Hawk Engineering, P.C. Binghamton, NY

June 2001-November 2003

Completed Environmental Assessment Forms, Draft Environmental Impact Statements (DEIS) and Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessments; performed annual inspections for landfills involved in post-closure care activities and supervised drilling operations, evaluated soil samples and supervised installation of



groundwater monitoring wells.

EDUCATION

Master of Science, Environmental Management, 2011, Duke University Bachelor of Arts, Environmental Geography, 2001, Colgate University

SPECIAL TRAINING

- OSHA-40 Hour Hazwoper
- RCRA Hazardous Waste Regulations
- Former Q Clearance



QUALITY ASSURANCE PROFESSIONAL

SUMMARY

- 30 years of quality assurance, auditing, and quality control experience at commercial, industrial, institutional, and US DOE nuclear facilities and activities
- 30 years of successful implementation of various QA Programs in order to reduce risk of personnel injury and equipment failure
 - 29 years of DOE construction experience including:
 - Development of the quality program for Spallation Neutron Source Target Systems' group,
 - over-sight of all SNS conventional facilities construction and engineering performed by Jacobs Construction Company and its subcontractors leading to successful startup and operation of the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)
 - NQA-1 certified Lead Auditor identifying organizations' vulnerabilities for 30 years
 - 5 years of QA over-sight of Waste Management/Waste Disposition, and D&D Projects at East Tennessee Technology Park, including Melton Valley Hydrologic Isolation cap construction at ORNL
 - Implementation of QA Programs for all construction, and operations support at Transuranic Waste Processing Facilities
 - Numerous Commercial Grade Surveys and Vendor Site Source Verifications as part of Commercial Grade Dedication for NQA-1 Category II Nuclear Systems, Structures, and Components
 - Over 100 Supplier Quality Evaluations and inspections at subcontractor's sites in Europe and North America
 - Project management and project controls responsibilities with relation to planning, estimating, scheduling, cost control, as well as coordination of industrial projects
 - Implementation of construction and fabrication codes and standards including ACI, ASTM, ASME Section VIII B&PVC, AWS D1.1, AWS D1.6, ASME B31.3, ASME B31.1 and ISO 9001Standards.

SECURITY CLEARANCE

Current DOE "Q" Clearance



QUALITY ASSURANCE PROFESSIONAL

EDUCATION

University of Tennessee Bachelor of Science – Sciences

University of Tennessee Master's Program Courses - Statistics

Kennedy Western University Reliability Engineering Courses

U.S. Navy Reserve Honorable Discharge

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

QUALITY CONSULTANT Knoxville, TN

Perform full programmatic audits, small scale "snap shot" surveillances, nuclear commercial grade surveys, commercial grade item dedication, source verification, and readiness reviews to identify organizational vulnerabilities so that management can mitigate risks in order to improve safety and performance. Auditing standards used include DOE 414.1D, ISO 9001:1994, 2000, 2008 (QMS) and NQA-1 since 1989. Codes include 10CFR 830 Subpart A, 10 CFR 835, and ASME Section VIII B&PVC (QCS).

QUALITY ENGINEER, INSPECTION LEAD TRAN<mark>SURANIC WASTE</mark> PROCESSING CENTER (TWPC), OAK RIDGE, TN

Responsible for administering and overseeing QA Program implementation and compliance for construction projects at ETTP, Y12, and ORNL. Performed numerous vendor source verifications of non-NQA-1 suppliers and witnessed factory acceptance tests for unique equipment for installation in facilities with failing infrastructure.

Responsible for administering and overseeing QA Program implementation and compliance for storage, disposition, and shipping of CH-TRU, RH-TRU, Newly Generated, legacy and MLLW, and site construction. Lead Auditor for audits of TWPC QA Program including elements of the WIPP Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC). Responsible for auditing to requirements NQA-1, 10 CFR 830.122, and DOE 0 414.1D for TWPC Operations, SWSA-5, Melton Valley Sludge Project, and all other construction/fabrication projects and commercial grade dedication.

William E.A. Palmer



QUALITY ASSURANCE PROFESSIONAL

OA PROJECT MANAGER II – WASTE MANAGEMENT WASTE MGMT/WASTE DISPOSITION (BECHTEL JACOBS CO. LLC) OAK RIDGE, TN

Responsible for administering and overseeing QA Program for D&D, storage, disposition, and shipping of CH-TRU, RH-TRU, Newly Generated, legacy and MLLW. Maintained NQA-1 Lead Auditor status through this period.

QA PROJECT MGR II/ QC ENGINEER - CAP CONSTRUCTION MELTON VALLEY HYDROLOGIC ISOLATION PROJECT (BJCLLC), OAK RIDGE, TN

Responsible for inspecting ongoing construction by BJC and its subcontractors for D&D Projects under Civil and Structural codes, standards, drawings and specifications. Additional responsibilities included managing the QA Subcontractor performing Title III Inspection of construction; as well as serving as subcontract coordinator, responsible for performing accruals, writing daily reports, approving invoices for payment, STAR data base input, and final contract closeout. Closure of environmental caps was successful with DOE, EPA, and TDEC all approving final disposition.

QA PROJECT MANAGER II – REMEDIAL ACTION ETTP CLOSURE (BJCLLC), OAK RIDGE, TN

Responsible for overseeing the BIC asbestos abatement contractor, Duratek Federal Services, and other subcontractors' quality assurance programs and administrative controls for K-25 building demolition and remedial action projects.

SENIOR TECHNICAL STAFF - QUALITY ASSURANCE REP SPALLATION NEUTRON SOURCE (UT-Battelle), OAK RIDGE, TN

Responsible for writing and managing quality assurance program and administrative controls for design, fabrication, and construction of equipment associated with the Target Systems' portion of the SNS Project. Providing over-sight of the conventional facilities group and field implementation of subcontractors' construction QA/QC programs including approval of technical specifications, drawings, and calculations. Responsible for performing numerous evaluations and audits of prospective suppliers in the USA, Canada, and Europe to requirements including ISO 9001, NQA-1, ASME B&PVC, and 10CFR 830.120. Validated the execution of WBS cost account plans and schedule commitments using P3 and MS Project. Training all personnel to the QA, ASME, ACI, and ANSI administrative controls. Interfaced directly with diverse disciplines including scientists, engineers, project controllers, procurement, manufacturers, and fabricators. Performed shop inspections, validation of tests, weld inspection oversight, and supplier audits of vendors in the United States and Europe. Operated metrology equipment such as CMM, optical comparator, micrometers, and other equipment in order to verify adequacy of fabricated components. Other responsibilities: tracking, trending, and closing issues within the Target Systems design group. Over-laid the



QUALITY ASSURANCE PROFESSIONAL

QA Program for the Target Test Facility to prove that Mercury (Hg) can be safely pumped through a piping loop through electrical transients, leading to successful proof for the SNS Target System operation.

TRU/SNF QA OFFICER – WASTE MANAGEMENT AND REMEDIAL ACTION DIVISION OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY, OAK RIDGE, TN

Responsible for managing compliance assurance through NQA-1 audits of 42 nuclear facilities. Developed compliance programs & NQA-1 Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPjP) for ORNL Transuranic Waste Operations working with WIPP QA Staff in Carlsbad, as well as the RW-0333P QA program for National Spent Nuclear Fuel Program. Provided QA approval of design drawings, specifications & calculations for various Waste Management Projects. Participated on numerous start-up & readiness review teams interfacing with central engineering & Department of Energy (DOE). Involved in commercial grade dedication for liquid storage instrumentation. Developed lesson plans and provided training to Division personnel. Worked within the issues management system (ESAMS) to close numerous issues and corrective actions.

Lockheed Martin Energy Systems (LMES) ASME SECTION VIII CODE MANAGER OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY, OAK RIDGE, TN

Assigned to manage LMES ASME Section VIII Code Program and lead the program through two successful renewals of ASME "R" and "U" stamps. Responsibilities included tracking expenditures through cost accounts, scheduling all activities including pressure vessel design, manufacturing plan development, material receipt, welding, AI hold-points, and reviews. Performed over-sight of fabrication of pressure vessels. Provided technical support to ORNL Quality Director.

DEPARTMENT MANAGER/QUALITY MANAGER LOCKWOOD GREENE ENGINEERS, INC., OAK RIDGE, TN

Began as an estimator primarily for DOE CERCLA and CAPCA projects. Appointed to positions including Manager of Specifications, Data Processing, Quality Assurance Departments. Implemented NQA-1, DOE 0 5700.6A and B, construction codes and standards and various industrial Quality assurance programs. Developed, reviewed, and approved CSI specifications for industrial (Boeing, Saturn), government (DOE), and institutional (Oak Ridge Schools) clients. Developed and administered Issue Management system to track, close, and trend issues.



QUALITY ASSURANCE PROFESSIONAL

CERTIFICATIONS, AWARDS, AND TRAINING

MEMBERSHIPS

- American Society for Testing and Materials (25 YEARS) • (Secretary of Committee C26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle)
- American Society for Quality (26 YEARS) (Past Chair of Local Chapter 1105)
- American Welding Society

TRAINING/CERTIFICATIONS/PROFICIENCIES

Customer/Supplier Relationships (ASQ) Kepner Trego (Problem Solving/Decision Making) Seven Habits Workshop **Basic Instructor Training** ISO 9001 Lead Auditor Training **Certified Lead Auditor Since 1989** Hazwoper Radworker II Yellow Belt **VPP** Advocate **Certified Auditor for DOE Consolidated Audit Program**

Commercial Grade Item Dedication (CGID) **Commercial Grade Surveys and Source Verification:**

MBRAUN Corporation (Safety Class Gloveboxes) MetFab Fabrications (Glovebox Shell Fabrication) ABC Testing (BBA Leak Testing) Leak Testing Specialists (Helium Leak Test) SAS Industries (O-rings and gaskets for Gloveboxes) Visible Edge (Seismic Design) Westlake Plastics (Glovebox Windows) Sigma Power Plant Components (Dedication of swagelok fittings) Thermo Fisher Scientific (PTFE) Ithaca Material Research (IMR) (Laboratory Analysis) Massachusetts Material Research (MMR) (Laboratory Analysis) Perine Danforth Fasteners

Supplier Audits:

Air Liquide - Grenoble, France (SNS Refrigeration System) Pilkington British Shielding Windows - Northern Wales (Solid Leaded Hot Cell Windows) Phonix Armaturen, Volkmarsen, Germany (SNS Valves hydrogen service)

113 E. HARPER AVE 🌒 MARYVILLE, TN 37804 🎈 OFFICE PHONE 865.233.5049 🌖 TOLL FREE PHONE AND FAX 1.866,313.2580 INFO@FIREWATERLLC.COM 9 WWW.FIREWATERLLC.COM



QUALITY ASSURANCE PROFESSIONAL

Flow Serve (Valves) Mid-Columbia Engineering (MCE) (Shielding Blocks) Edderer Cranes (Target Building Crane) PaR Systems (Remote manipulator for SNS Hot Cell and TWPC HC) Eaton Corporation (Motor Control Centers, MCC) Global Power Components (MCC enclosures) Avantech (Piping and equipment Skids)

113 E. Harper Ave Maryville, TN 37804 Office Phone 865.233.5049 Toll Free Phone and Fax 1.866.313.2580 INFO@FIREWATERLLC.COM WWW.FIREWATERLLC.COM PAGE 6