I have reviewed each of the selected peer review panel member's (Florie Caporuscio, John Gibbons, Eric Oswald, and Chunhong Li) backgrounds and employment histories. I have also interviewed each of them to determine if they have any organizational conflict of interest or a bias for, or against, the WIPP facility as a nuclear waste repository. Through these background investigations and interviews I have determined that none of the selected peer review panel members has a bias or an organizational conflict of interest related to the Salado Flow Conceptual Models Peer Review.

A ... 02/07/03 1.C John A. Thies, Peer Review Manager

MP No. 10.5	Revision 4	Page 14 of 17
D -4		ATTACHMENT III Page 1 of 1
	of Peer Review Panel Member Ir	
	DOE \underline{Ab} or a DOE Contractor \underline{A}	1/2 Yes / No
Were you employed by DOE c (If yes, give dates, location, or	or a DOE Contractor <u>previously</u> ? ganization, position, and type of wo	Yes / No /
Do you have or have you had work under review? (If yes, describe the involveme	any direct involvement or financial i ent)	interest in the Yes No
If there any reason why you ca (If yes, state the reason(s))	annot perform an impartial peer rev	iew? Yes / No
Is there any aspect of your pa results of your peer review? (If yes, describe)	st that may lead to a perception of a	Yes No
l pledge that my review of this solely on the information avail	s work will be completely impartial a lable during the review.	nd based
Signature:		
Print Name CHUNH	ONG LI	
	సలచి స్త్రామంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత్రాయంత	

MP No. 10.5	Revision 4	Page 14 of 17
	an an fair an a fair an an an a fair an	ATTACHMENT III Page 1 of 1
Determination	on of Peer Review Panel Member Independen	ce Form
Are you currently employed	by DOE or a DOE Contractor X	YesNo
(If yes, give dates, location, $(1993 - 1996)$ AS	or a DOE Contractor <u>previously</u> ? organization, position, and type of work performe I - ER project at LANL matrics - WIPP peer Review line Services - Gamma Spectroscopy , two months writing procedures for The to first WIPP shipment.	
	d any direct involvement or financial interest in th	\sim
If there any reason why you (If yes, state the reason(s))	cannot perform an impartial peer review?	Yes /No
Is there any aspect of your p results of your peer review? (If yes, describe)	ast that may lead to a perception of a bias in the	Yes No
I pledge that my review of th solely on the information ava Signature: <u>Horie</u> Print Name <u>Florie</u> Date:	O Caponisco	

MP No. 10.5	Revision 4	Page 14 of 17
		ATTACHMENT III Page 1 of 1
Determination of	Peer Review Panel Member Inde	ependence Form
Are you currently employed by DO	E or a DOE Contractor	Yes No
Were you employed by DOE or a l (If yes, give dates, location, organi		Performed).
A previous employed may. Time, on may be a DOE c involved in any aspect	have been a DOE contraction contractor now, but I w of DOE work	tas, at one nas not
Do you have or have you had any work under review? (If yes, describe the involvement)	direct involvement or financial inte	erest in the Yes No
If there any reason why you canno (If yes, state the reason(s))	ot perform an impartial peer review	v? Yes No
Is there any aspect of your past the results of your peer review? (If yes, describe)	at may lead to a perception of a b	vias in the
(
I pledge that my review of this wor solely on the information available		based
Signature: Jul Cla	ald	
Print Name: Eric B Oswa		
Date: <u>23 January</u> 2	2003	

MP No. 10.5	Revision 4	Page 14 of 17		
ATTACHMENT III Page 1 of 1				
	Peer Review Panel Member Ind	ependence Form		
Are you currently employed by D		Yes (No)		
Were you employed by DOE or a DOE Contractor <u>previously</u> ? (If yes, give dates, location, organization, position, and type of work performed). <i>YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT</i> Apr 1999 Through act 312002 DATA REVIEW SIFISUICOGLIET POWMENT Preparoton PROVIDENTIAL POWMENT PROVOSION				
Prev Review wipp 1996.1997-1995				
Do you have or have you had any direct involvement or financial interest in the Yes (No) work under review? (If yes, describe the involvement)				
If there any reason why you cann (If yes, state the reason(s))	ot perform an impartial peer reviev	v? Yes / No		
Is there any aspect of your past the results of your peer review? (If yes, describe)	nat may lead to a perception of a b	Yes No		
I pledge that my review of this work will be completely impartial and based solely on the information available during the review.				
Signature: John Aublent				
Print Name John F. GIBbons				
Date: $1/25/03$				