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In this study, solubility measurements regarding boracite \([\text{Mg}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{Cl} (\text{cr})]\) and aksaite \([\text{MgB}_6\text{O}_7(\text{OH})_6\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{cr})]\) from the direction of supersaturation were conducted at 22.5 ± 0.5°C. The equilibrium constant (\(\log_{10} K^0\)) for boracite in terms of the following reaction,

\[
\text{Mg}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{Cl} (\text{cr}) + 15\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Mg}^{2+} + 7\text{B(OH)}_4^- + \text{Cl}^- + 2\text{H}^+
\]

is determined as –29.49 ± 0.39 (2\(\sigma\)) in this study. The equilibrium constant for aksaite according to the following reaction,

\[
\text{MgB}_6\text{O}_7(\text{OH})_6\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{cr}) + 9\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}^{2+} + 6\text{B(OH)}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+
\]

is determined as –44.41 ± 0.41 (2\(\sigma\)) in this work.

This work recommends a complete set of thermodynamic properties for aksaite at 25°C and 1 bar as follows: \(\Delta H_f^0 = -6063.70 \pm 4.85 \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\), \(\Delta G_f^0 = -5492.55 \pm 2.32 \text{kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\), and \(S^0 = 344.62 \pm 1.85 \text{J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\). Among them, \(\Delta G_f^0\) is derived from the equilibrium constant for aksaite determined by this study; \(\Delta H_f^0\) is from the literature, determined by calorimetry; and \(S^0\) is computed in the present work from \(\Delta G_f^0\) and \(\Delta H_f^0\).
This investigation also recommends a complete set of thermodynamic properties for boracite at 25°C and 1 bar as follows: $\Delta H_f^0 = -6575.02 \pm 2.25 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta G_f^0 = -6178.35 \pm 2.25 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, and $S^0 = 253.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$. Among them, $\Delta G_f^0$ is derived from the equilibrium constant for boracite determined by this study; $S^0$ is from the literature, determined by calorimetry; and $\Delta H_f^0$ is computed in this work from $\Delta G_f^0$ and $S^0$.

The thermodynamic properties determined in this study can find applications in many fields. For instance, in the field of material science, boracite has many useful properties including ferroelectric and ferroelastic properties. The equilibrium constant of boracite determined in this work will provide guidance for economic synthesis of boracite in an aqueous medium. Similarly, in the field of nuclear waste management, iodide boracite $\text{[Mg}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{I} \text{(cr)}]$ is proposed as a waste form for radioactive $^{129}\text{I}$. Therefore, the solubility constant for chloride boracite $\text{[Mg}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{Cl} \text{(cr)}]$ will provide the guidance for the performance of iodide boracite in geological repositories. Boracite/aksaite themselves in geological repositories in salt formations may be solubility-controlling phase(s) for borate. Consequently, solubility constants of boracite and aksaite will enable researchers to predict borate concentrations in equilibrium with boracite/aksaite in salt formations.

52  INTRODUCTION

Boracites with a general formula $\text{M}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{X}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Mg}$, and transition elements $\text{Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, or Cd}$; $\text{X} = \text{halide, F, Cl, Br, or I}$) constitute a large group of
isomorphous compounds with more than 20 species (Li et al., 2003). Among them, the
boracite end member with Mg and Cl, i.e., Mg$_3$B$_7$O$_{13}$Cl, is an important borate mineral.
(In the following, unless otherwise noted, boracite refers to the end member with Mg and
Cl for simplicity.) It occurs in evaporate deposits in salt formations (e.g., Phillips, 1947;
Green, 2010; Gao, et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013), and the description about its
occurrence appeared in the literature as early as in the nineteen century (Magtear, 1869;
Cadell, 1885), and it is also present in salt lakes (e.g., Heggemann et al., 1994; Zheng,
1997). In the field of material science, boracites have many useful properties including
ferroelectric and ferroelastic properties (e.g., Torre et al., 1972).

Aksaite with a structural formula of MgB$_6$O$_7$(OH)$_6$•2H$_2$O(cr) is a magnesium
borate mineral, which was discovered in 1960’s (Clark and Erd, 1963; Dal Negro et al.,
1971). It is also present in evaporate deposits in salt formations (Valeyev et al., 1973;
Garrett, 1998), and in salt lakes (Li et al., 2012).

In the field of nuclear waste management, as boracite and aksaite are present in
evaporate deposits in salt formations mentioned before, they are potentially important to
gеological repositories in salt formations. Salt formations are considered to be ideal for
nuclear waste isolation (National Academy of Science, 1957). Recent investigations
have suggested that borate could potentially complex with Nd(III) (Borkowski et al.,
2010; Xiong, 2017), an analog to Am(III) in chemical behavior. Hence, a comprehensive
understanding of interactions of borate with major ions in brines as well as the potential
solubility-controlling phase(s) for borate is needed to accurately describe the
contributions of borate to the potential solubility of Am(III) in brines in salt formations,
as they contain significant concentrations of borate. In brines associated with salt
formations, they contain high concentrations of chloride along with significant concentrations of boron and magnesium. For instance, at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP), a U.S. Department of Energy geological repository for the permanent disposal of defense-related transuranic (TRU) waste (U.S. DOE, 1996), the Generic Weep Brine (GWB) and Energy Research and Development Administration Well 6 (ERDA-6), contain high concentrations of chloride, borate and magnesium (Xiong and Lord, 2008). Consequently, in geological repositories in salt formations, the interactions among chloride, borate, and magnesium, will be important to the accurate description of the contributions of borate to the solubility of Am(III) in brines in salt formations.

In addition, iodide-boracites, \((M_3B_7O_{13}I, \text{ where } M \text{ represents various divalent metal ions})\), have been proposed as a waste form for radioactive iodine, \(^{129}\text{I}\), in the field of nuclear waste management (e.g., Vance et al., 1981).

Thermodynamic properties of boracite is not well known. Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) listed the Gibbs free energy of formation for boracite from an unpublished source from Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova (see Page 196, 252 in Anovitz and Hemingway, 2002). Regarding aksaite, Jia et al. (1999) determined its enthalpy of formation using the calorimetric method. However, its Gibbs free energy of formation has not been determined, and therefore its solubility constant is unknown. The knowledge of the complete sets of thermodynamic properties for aksaite and boracite will be useful to many fields. For this reason, in this work, we determine the solubility constants of boracite and aksaite. Then, based on our solubility constants, we are able to provide the complete sets of thermodynamic properties for aksaite and boracite.
EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

In our solubility experiments, we performed the solubility measurements from the direction of supersaturation. All chemicals used in our experiment were ACS reagent grade.

In our supersaturation experiment, we first placed 250 mL of a 1.0 mol•kg\(^{-1}\) MgCl\(_2\) solution into a glass beaker with a stir bar. Then, 8.5023 grams of H\(_3\)BO\(_3\) was added into the above solution. The solution was well mixed until all of H\(_3\)BO\(_3\) was dissolved. After that, 2.0114 mol•dm\(^{-3}\) NaOH was dropwise added into the above solution to initiate precipitation. Finally, the solution with precipitates was transferred from the glass beaker into a 500 mL plastic bottle for storage of the supersaturation experiment at 22.5 ± 0.5°C. The experiment was not sampled until the experimental duration lasted at least for 970 days.

The pH readings were measured with an Orion-Ross combination pH glass electrode, coupled with an Orion Research EA 940 pH meter that was calibrated with three pH buffers (pH 4, pH 7, and pH 10). Negative logarithms of hydrogen-ion concentrations on molar scale (pcH) were determined from pH readings by using correction factors (Hansen, 2001). Based on the equation in Xiong et al. (2010), pcHs are converted to negative logarithms of hydrogen-ion concentrations on molal scale, pH\(_m\), a notation from Oak Ridge National Laboratory/University of Idaho (e.g., Wood et al., 2002).

Solution samples were periodically withdrawn from experimental runs. Before solution samples were taken, pH readings of experimental runs were first measured. The sample size was usually 3 mL. After a solution sample was withdrawn from an
experiment and filtered with a 0.2 μm syringe filter, the filtered solution was then weighed, acidified with 0.5 mL of concentrated TraceMetal® grade HNO₃ from Fisher Scientific, and finally diluted to a volume of 10 mL with DI water. If subsequent dilutions were needed, aliquots were taken from the first dilution samples for the second dilution, and aliquots of the second dilution were then taken for the further dilution. Boron, sodium and magnesium concentrations of solutions were analyzed with a Perkin Elmer dual-view inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES) (Perkin Elmer DV 8300). Calibration blanks and standards were precisely matched with experimental matrices. The linear correlation coefficients of calibration curves in all measurements were better than 0.9995. The analytical precision for ICP-AES is better than 1.00% in terms of the relative standard deviation (RSD) based on replicate analyses. Chloride concentrations were analyzed with a DIONEX ion chromatograph (IC) (DIONEX IC 3000).

The solid phase identification was performed by using a Bruker AXS, Inc., D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer (XRD) with a Sol-X detector. XRD patterns were collected using CuKα radiation at a scanning rate of 1.33°/min for a 2θ range of 10–90°.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns for our supersaturation experiment with an initial concentration of 1.0 mol·kg⁻¹ MgCl₂ solution. Figure 1 shows that boracite along with aksaite crystallized from the solution. Notice that the peaks characteristic of boracite and aksaite, are present in the XRD patterns for our experiment (Figure 1).
Experimental results are tabulated in Table 1. In Figure 2, total boron, chloride, magnesium and sodium concentrations as a function of experimental time are displayed respectively. From Figure 2, we can see that the equilibrium was established after about 900 days. The duration of our experiment was long, and it was up to 1,642 days (Table 1, Figure 2).

THERMODYNAMIC CALCULATIONS, DISCUSSIONS, AND APPLICATIONS

The dissolution reactions for boracite and aksaite, and the equilibrium between boracite and aksaite, can be represented by the following reactions,

1. \( \text{Mg}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{Cl}(cr) + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Mg}^{2+} + 7\text{B(OH)}_4^- + \text{Cl}^- + 2\text{H}^+ \) (1)

2. \( \text{MgB}_6\text{O}_{7}(\text{OH})_6\text{•2H}_2\text{O}(cr) + 9\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}^{2+} + 6\text{B(OH)}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ \) (2)

3. \( \text{Mg}_3\text{B}_7\text{O}_{13}\text{Cl}(cr) + 10\text{H}^+ + 11\text{B(OH)}_4^- \rightleftharpoons 3\text{MgB}_6\text{O}_{7}(\text{OH})_6\text{•2H}_2\text{O}(cr) + 12\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) + \text{Cl}^- \) (3)

Regarding Reaction (1), its equilibrium constant at infinite dilution can be expressed as follows,
Similarly, the equilibrium constant at infinite dilution for Reaction (2) can be cast as follows,

\[ K_2^0 = \frac{(a_{Mg^{2+}})^2 \times (a_{B(OH)_4}^6) \times (a_{CT})^4 \times (a_{H^+})^4}{(a_{H_2O})^{15}} \]  

Finally, the equilibrium constant at infinite dilution for Reaction (3) is written as follows,

\[ K_3^0 = \frac{(a_{H_2O})^{12} \times (a_{CT})^{10} \times (a_{B(OH)_4})^{10}}{(a_{H^+})^{10} \times (a_{B(OH)_4})^{11}} \]

In Equations (4) through (6), \( a_i \) is an activity of the \( i \)-th species calculated with a thermodynamic model; \( a_{H_2O} \) activity of water.

Activities of \( Mg^{2+} \), \( B(OH)_4^- \), \( Cl^- \), \( H^+ \) and water in the experimental system are calculated by using the computer code EQ3/6 Version 8.0a (Wolery et al., 2010; Xiong, 2011a). The database used for calculations was DATA0.FM2 (Xiong and Domski, 2016), which utilizes the Pitzer model for calculations of activity coefficients of aqueous species with updates for borate chemistry from Xiong et al. (2013).

Based on the activities calculated using EQ3/6 Version 8.0a, the \( \log K_1^0 \) and \( \log K_2^0 \) at infinite dilution are calculated in accordance with Equations (4) and (5).
The equilibrium constant for Reaction (3) can be derived from $\log K_1^0$ and $\log K_2^0$, or calculated from Equation (6).

The equilibrium constants for boracite and aksaite determined by this study provide the best opportunity in evaluating the Gibbs free energies of these phases from the unpublished source and estimates in the literature. According to the unpublished source, Khodakosky, Semenov and Aksaenova calculated the $\Delta G_f^0$ of boracite as -6178.4 kJ•mol$^{-1}$ (cited in Anovitz and Hemingway, 2002), based on their unpublished calorimetric data for the enthalpy and entropy of boracite. The equilibrium constant ($\log_{10} K^0$) regarding Reaction (1) calculated from the $\Delta G_f^0$ from Khodakosky, Semenov and Aksaenova is –29.50 (Table 3). In the calculations, the Gibbs free energies for other species in Reaction (1) are taken from the NBS Thermodynamic Tables (Wagman et al., 1982), as Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) implied that the thermodynamic properties of boracite from Khodakosky, Semenov and Aksaenova are consistent with the NBS Thermodynamic Tables. In comparison with $\log_{10} K^0$ of –29.50 ± 0.39 determined by this study, the value (–29.50) calculated from the $\Delta G_f^0$ from Khodakosky, Semenov and Aksaenova is in excellent agreement with our value.

Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) estimated the $\Delta G_f^0$ of boracite as –6184.7 kJ•mol$^{-1}$. The equilibrium constant in 10-based logarithmic unit calculated from their estimated $\Delta G_f^0$ is –30.61. This value differs from our experimental value by about one order of magnitude.

Li et al. (2000) calculated the $\Delta G_f^0$ of aksaite as –5495.64 kJ•mol$^{-1}$, based on their group contribution method for hydrated borates. The equilibrium constant
(log_{10} K^0) regarding Reaction (2) calculated from the $\Delta G_f^0$ from Li et al. (2002) is -44.95 (Table 3). In the calculations, the Gibbs free energies for other species in Reaction (2) are taken from the NBS Thermodynamic Tables (Wagman et al., 1982), as the group contribution method they developed is consistent with the NBS Thermodynamic Tables. Interestingly, this value (-44.95) compares favorably with our experimental value of -44.41 ± 0.41.

Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) estimated the $\Delta G_f^0$ of aksaite as -5569 kJ•mol\(^{-1}\). Based on this value for $\Delta G_f^0$, the equilibrium constant for Reaction (2) is calculated to be -57.81 (Table 3). In the calculations, the Gibbs free energies for other species in Reaction (2) are taken from the NBS Thermodynamic Tables (Wagman et al., 1982), as the method of Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) was developed based on the database of the NBS Thermodynamic Tables. Obviously, the equilibrium constant (-57.81) for Reaction (2) according to the $\Delta G_f^0$ of aksaite estimated from the method of Anovitz and Hemingway is too low in comparison with our experimental value (-44.41 ± 0.41) and the value (-44.95) calculated from the $\Delta G_f^0$ from Li et al. (2000).

In accordance with the equilibrium constants for boracite and aksaite determined in this study, we recommend the complete sets of thermodynamic properties for boracite and aksaite, in combination with $S^0$ for boracite from Khodakovskiy, Semenov and Aksaenova (cited in Anovitz and Hemingway) and $\Delta H_f^0$ for aksaite from Jia et al. (1999) (Table 4).

Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) estimated the enthalpy ($\Delta H_f^0$) and Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G_f^0$), of formation, for aksaite based on their estimation method. The
estimation method of Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) for borates was developed from
the approaches of Robinson and Haas (1983), Chermak and Rimstidt (1989), and
Hemingway (1982). These approaches are mainly related to silicate minerals.
Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) estimated the $\Delta H^0_f$ and $\Delta G^0_f$ of aksaite as $-6135$
kJ•mol$^{-1}$ and $-5569$ kJ•mol$^{-1}$ (Table 4), respectively.
Jia et al. (1999) experimentally determined the $\Delta H^0_f$ of aksaite as $-6063.65 \pm$
4.85 kJ•mol$^{-1}$ (Table 4). In comparison, the estimated value provided by
Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) differs from the experimental value of Jia et al. (1999)
by 71 kJ•mol$^{-1}$. Li et al. (2000) calculated the $\Delta H^0_f$ of aksaite as $-6007.00$ kJ•mol$^{-1}$.
The $\Delta H^0_f$ calculated by Li et al. (2000) differs from the experimental value by 56
kJ•mol$^{-1}$. In addition, Li et al. (2000) also calculated the $\Delta G^0_f$ of aksaite to be $-5495.64$
kJ•mol$^{-1}$. The $\Delta H^0_f$ and $\Delta G^0_f$ values calculated by Li et al. (2000) are based on the
group contribution method they developed for hydrated borates. The $\Delta G^0_f$ value
estimated by Anovitz and Hemingway (2002) differs from the calculated value of Li et al.
(1999) by 73 kJ•mol$^{-1}$.
The $\Delta G^0_f$ of aksaite computed from the equilibrium constant determined in this
study is $-5492.55 \pm 2.32$ kJ•mol$^{-1}$. In the computation, the thermodynamic properties for
the species except aksaite in Reaction (2) are taken from the NBS Tables (Wagman et al.,
1982). This is done in order to be consistent with the thermodynamic database of
Anovitz and Hemingway (2002), Jia et al. (1999), and Li et al. (2002); all of them are
consistent with the NBS Tables. It is clear from Table 4 that the $\Delta G^0_f$ value for aksaite
derived from the equilibrium constant determined by this work is in very good agreement
with that from Li et al. (2000), but differs significantly from that from Anovitz and
Hemingway (2002). Therefore, it seems that the method of Li et al. (2000) is more
reliable for estimating thermodynamic properties of hydrated borates.

In summary, the equilibrium constant for boracite determined by this study is in
excellent agreement with the $\Delta G^0$ derived from the calorimetric measurements, from
Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova. The equilibrium constant for aksaite
determined in this work is in close agreement with the $\Delta G^0$ calculated from the group
contribution method (Li et al., 2002). The good agreement between the equilibrium
measurements and calorimetric measurements for boracite also provides the additional
credits and independent validation for the aqueous chemistry model including borate
species that has been employed for equilibrium calculations.

The complete sets of thermodynamic properties for boracite and aksaite may find
applications in many fields. For instance, aksaite double salt has been observed in Da
Chaidam Salt Lake and Xiao Chaidam Salt Lake (Li et al., 2012). Therefore, the
thermodynamic properties of aksaite can be used to elucidate the conditions for the
formation of aksaite in those salt lakes, including temperature variations.

Boracite appears in salt formations in various assemblages. In the salt formation
in the Khorat basin in Thailand, boracite co-exists with carnallite (K$\text{MgCl}_3$•6$\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and
bischofite (M$\text{gCl}_2$•6$\text{H}_2\text{O}$) (Le, 1986).
In this study, the equilibrium constants for boracite and aksaite have been determined in supersaturation experiments. The equilibrium constant for boracite obtained in this study based on the equilibrium aqueous chemistry model including borate species is in excellent agreement with the value calculated from the thermodynamic properties of boracite determined by Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova using the calorimetric method. The equilibrium constant for aksaite determined in this work is also in close agreement with the $\Delta G^0_f$ calculated from the group contribution method (Li et al., 2002). Therefore, our equilibrium measurements are consistent with the calorimetric measurement.

Based on our equilibrium measurements, we recommend the complete sets of thermodynamic properties for boracite and aksaite, which are consistent with the calorimetric measurements.
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Table 1. Experimental results produced in this study at 22.5 ± 0.5 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Number</th>
<th>Experimental time, days</th>
<th>pH (_m^*)</th>
<th>Molal total Magnesium concentrations, m(_\Sigma)Mg, mol•kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>Molal total boron concentrations, m(_\Sigma)B, mol•kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>Molal total sodium concentrations, m(_\Sigma)Na, mol•kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>Molal total chloride concentrations, m(_\Sigma)Cl, mol•kg(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYN-Boracite</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>8.69E-01</td>
<td>5.21E-01</td>
<td>3.28E-01</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>8.52E-01</td>
<td>5.85E-01</td>
<td>3.26E-01</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>8.66E-01</td>
<td>6.03E-01</td>
<td>2.98E-01</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>8.63E-01</td>
<td>5.89E-01</td>
<td>3.30E-01</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>8.58E-01</td>
<td>5.66E-01</td>
<td>N/A ^</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>8.58E-01</td>
<td>5.10E-01</td>
<td>N/A ^</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>8.66E-01</td>
<td>4.88E-01</td>
<td>3.09E-01</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>8.74E-01</td>
<td>5.29E-01</td>
<td>3.20E-01</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)pcH are first calculated based on pH readings and correction factors for MgCl\(_2\) solutions from Hansen (2001), and then pcH are converted to pH\(_m^*\) based on the equation from Xiong et a. (2010). As the experimental solutions contain significant amounts of sodium and borate as well as the supporting medium, MgCl\(_2\), the pH\(_m^*\)’s calculated based on the correction factor for pure MgCl\(_2\) might contain some additional experimental uncertainties. The uncertainties for pH\(_m^*\) by using the correction factor for pure MgCl\(_2\) are estimated to be less than ± 0.08 according to the comparison with the correction factors for NaCl used in Xiong (2008) at the ionic strengths of the experiments in this work. In the thermodynamic calculations, the uncertainties include those for pH\(_m^*\).

^ In the corresponding EQ3NR input files, the sodium concentration at 1,302 days was used.
Table 2. Equilibrium constants at infinite dilution for boracite and aksaite at 25°C and 1 bar determined in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( \log_{10} K^0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>3\text{B}<em>7\text{O}</em>{13}\text{Cl}</em>{\text{cr}} + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Mg}^{2+} + 7\text{B(OH)}_4^- + \text{Cl}^- + 2\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>(-29.50 \pm 0.39) (2( \sigma ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MgB}_6\text{O}_7\text{(OH)}_6\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}(cr) + 9\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}^{2+} + 6\text{B(OH)}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>(-44.41 \pm 0.41) (2( \sigma ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 3\text{MgB}_6\text{O}_7\text{(OH)}_6\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}(cr) + 12\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) + \text{Cl}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}<em>3\text{B}<em>7\text{O}</em>{13}\text{Cl}</em>{\text{cr}} + 10\text{H}^+ + 11\text{B(OH)}_4^- )</td>
<td>(103.90 \pm 0.57) (2( \sigma ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A The equilibrium constants were calculated based on all of the experimental data tabulated in Table 1. The uncertainty in terms of 2\( \sigma \) includes that for the small extrapolation from 22.5°C to the standard temperature of 25°C, using the equation,

\[
\Delta G_f^o = \Delta G^o_{298.15} - (T - 298.15)\Delta S^o_{298.15} + \int_{298.15}^T \Delta C^o_p dT - T \int_{298.15}^T \Delta C^o_p d\ln T ,
\]

assuming that the heat capacity change is zero in this temperature range.

B Notice that the EQ3/6 files used for calculations of activities of H\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), B(OH)_4\(^-\), Cl\(^-\) and activities of water for extrapolation to infinite dilution, are internally, electronically archived under “/nfs/data/CVSLIB/WIPP_EXTERNAL/ap155/Files”. It is conducted under Task 1 in AP-155 (Xiong, 2014).

Table 3. Equilibrium constants at infinite dilution for boracite and aksaite at 25°C and 1 bar calculated from the Gibbs free energies from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( \log_{10} K^0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>3\text{B}<em>7\text{O}</em>{13}\text{Cl}</em>{\text{cr}} + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Mg}^{2+} + 7\text{B(OH)}_4^- + \text{Cl}^- + 2\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>(-29.50 ) (^A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Mg}<em>3\text{B}<em>7\text{O}</em>{13}\text{Cl}</em>{\text{cr}} + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Mg}^{2+} + 7\text{B(OH)}_4^- + \text{Cl}^- + 2\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>(-30.61 ) (^B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MgB}_6\text{O}_7\text{(OH)}_6\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}(cr) + 9\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}^{2+} + 6\text{B(OH)}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>(-44.95 ) (^C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{MgB}_6\text{O}_7\text{(OH)}_6\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}(cr) + 9\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightleftharpoons \text{Mg}^{2+} + 6\text{B(OH)}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ )</td>
<td>(-57.80 ) (^B)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A The equilibrium constant was calculated from the \( \Delta G_f^o \) from Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova (unpublished data, cited by Anovitz and Hemingway. 2002), consistent with the NBS Thermodynamic Tables (Wagman et al., 1982). In Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova, \( \Delta G_f^o \) was calculated from the \( \Delta H_f^0 \) and \( S^0 \) data measured with the calorimetric method.
B The equilibrium constant was calculated from the estimated $\Delta G_f^0$ from Anovitz and Hemingway (2002), consistent with the NBS Thermodynamic Tables (Wagman et al., 1982).

C The equilibrium constant was calculated from the estimated $\Delta G_f^0$ from Li et al. (2000), consistent with the NBS Thermodynamic Tables (Wagman et al., 1982).

Table 4. Thermodynamic properties of aksaite and boracite at 298.15 K and 1 bar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$\Delta H_f^0$, kJ/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta G_f^0$, kJ/mol</th>
<th>$S^0$, J/mol$\cdot$K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>References and Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aksaite</td>
<td>$-6135$</td>
<td>$-5569$</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>Anovitz and Hemingway (2002). See footnote A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aksaite</td>
<td>$-6063.65 \pm 4.85$</td>
<td>$-5495.64$</td>
<td>353.6</td>
<td>Jia et al. (1999). See footnote B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aksaite</td>
<td>$-6007.00$</td>
<td>$-5495.64$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Li et al. (1999). See footnote C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aksaite</td>
<td>$-6063.65 \pm 4.85$</td>
<td>$-5493.16 \pm 2.16$</td>
<td>346.69 $\pm 1.85$</td>
<td>This work. See footnote D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boracite</td>
<td>$-6575.0 \pm 9$</td>
<td>$-6178.4 \pm 9$</td>
<td>253.6 $\pm 0.5$</td>
<td>Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova (unpublished data, cited by Anovitz and Hemingway, 2002). See footnote E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boracite</td>
<td>$-6565.3$</td>
<td>$-6184.7$</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>Anovitz and Hemingway (2002). See footnote F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boracite</td>
<td>$-6575.93 \pm 2.03$</td>
<td>$-6179.25 \pm 2.02$</td>
<td>253.6 $\pm 0.5$</td>
<td>This work. See footnote G.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A All properties were estimated.
B Enthalpy was experimentally determined. Gibbs free energy was calculated using the group contribution method of Li et al. (1999). Entropy was calculated from experimental enthalpy and estimated Gibbs free energy.
C All properties were estimated.
D Enthalpy is from the experimental value of Jia et al. (1999) using the calorimetric method. Gibbs free energy was computed from the experimentally determined equilibrium constant from this work. Entropy is calculated from the experimental enthalpy from Jia et al. (1999) and the derived Gibbs free energy from the experimental equilibrium constant from this work.
E Unpublished data from Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova using the calorimetric method (cited by Anovitz and Hemingway. 2002).
F All properties were estimated.
G Entropy is from the experimental value of Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova using the calorimetric method (cited by Anovitz and Hemingway. 2002). Gibbs free
energy was computed from the experimentally determined equilibrium constant from this work. Enthalpy is calculated from the experimental enthalpy from Khodakovsky, Semenov and Aksaenova using the calorimetric method (cited by Anovitz and Hemingway. 2002) and the derived Gibbs free energy from the experimental equilibrium constant from this work.
Figure Captions

Figure 1. XRD patterns of the solid phases in the experiments. Notice that the vertical lines in pink are the reference peaks of boracite, and the vertical lines in red are the reference peaks of aksaite. There are two reference standard for boracite. One is from the online database, RRUFF (http://rruff.info/), accessed on February 9, 2017, and the other is from the database of the International Centre for Diffraction Data, ICDD. The reference peaks for boracite from ICDD are represented by the vertical lines in pink, and the reference peaks for boracite from RRUFF are represented by the pattern in blue.

Figure 2. A plot showing experimental total boron, chloride, magnesium and sodium concentrations as a function of experimental time.
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